A.14 Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists – obesity – EML						
Reviewer summary	⊠ Supportive of the proposal					
	☐ Not supportive of the proposal					
	Justification (based on considerations of the dimensions described below):					
	I consider this medication should be included for obese patient since clear benefits (such as CV events) have been shown.	s with inc	reased CV	risk including T2DM		
5. 11 5.41 1/ 5.4		I <u> </u>				
Does the EML and/or EMLc currently recommend alternative medicines for the proposed indication that can be considered therapeutic alternatives?		☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Not applicable		
(https://list.essentialmeds.org/)						
Does adequate evidence exist for the efficacy/effectiveness of the medicine for the		⊠ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable		
proposed indication?		⊠ res				
(e.g., evidence originating from multiple high-quality studies with sufficient follow up.						
This may be evidence included in the application, and/or additional evidence identified during the review process;)						
	be beneficial for weight loss in adults with obesity with ty evidence. They also lead to improvement in waist					
circumference, fat mass,						
No significant change was seen in all-cause mortality. Only Semaglutide showed an impact on the incidence of MI. No changes were seen in						
the incidence of non-fatal stroke.						
It is important to highlight that the SELECT trial showed that semaglutide 2.4 mg weekly						
significantly reduced major cardiovascular events (death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke) by 20% compared to placebo in						
overweight or obese indi	viduals with pre-existent CV disease without diabetes.					
Does adequate evidence medicine?	exist for the safety/harms associated with the proposed	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Not applicable		
	r from multiple bigh quality studies with sufficient following					
(e.g., evidence originating from multiple high-quality studies with sufficient follow up. This may be evidence included in the application, and/or additional evidence identified						
during the review process	s;)					
Short term side effects are well characterized. They are mostly predictable (GI						
benefits.	sues), and appear manageable relative to the substantial					
Unfortunately, there is lir	mited information regarding side effects when this medication					
is used for extended periods of time, which is likely the case.						
Overall, does the propose benefits to harms?	ed medicine have a favourable and meaningful balance of	⊠ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable		
Considering the available evidence they do have a favourable profile. This is more						
significant for patients with CV disease.  Based on the available evidence Semaglutide seems to be particularly beneficial						
	, ,					

## $25^{\text{th}}$ WHO Expert Committee on Selection and Use of Essential Medicines Expert review

Are there any special requirements for the safe, effective and appropriate use of the medicines?	⊠ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable	
(e.g. laboratory diagnostic and/or monitoring tests, specialized training for health providers, etc)				
<ul> <li>Monitoring and adjustment:</li> <li>Monitor for gastrointestinal side effects (nausea, vomiting) especially during dose escalation</li> <li>Renal function should be monitored periodically.</li> <li>Dose escalation is required</li> <li>Training and healthcare system needs:</li> <li>Subcutaneous injection training is necessary.</li> <li>Cold chain storage is required before first.</li> <li>Patients and providers should be trained to counsel patients about recognizing symptoms of pancreatitis and when to seek medical advice.</li> </ul>				
Are there any issues regarding price, cost-effectiveness and budget implications in different settings?	⊠ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable	
These drugs are expensive (particularly semaglutide and tirzepatide), with monthly costs estimated around \$400 to \$450 USD. Prices can vary across countries but are generally high globally, limiting affordability, especially in LMICs.				
In high-income countries, some analyses suggest that semaglutide may be cost-effective when accounting for long-term benefits like reduced cardiovascular events and improved quality of life. However, cost-effectiveness is less certain in LMICs, where healthcare budgets are more constrained, and affordability challenges are much greater.				
Is the medicine available and accessible across countries?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable	
(e.g. shortages, generics and biosimilars, pooled procurement programmes, access programmes)				
GLP-1s are available in many countries but not yet widely accessible. While they are available in HICs there are significant limitations in LMICs due to several factors such as: delays in regulatory approval, limited availability in public health systems and affordability barriers.				
Supply shortages and limited distribution have already been observed in some regions.				
oes the medicine have wide regulatory approval?		☑ Yes, for the proposed indication.		
GLP-1s (particularly semaglutide) have wide regulatory approval in high-income regions.		☐ Yes, but only for other indications (off-label for proposed indication)		
	□No	☐ Not ap	plicable	