25^{th} WHO Expert Committee on Selection and Use of Essential Medicines Expert review

| A.2 Aripiprazole – EML | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------|------------------|--|--|
| Reviewer summary | ⊠ Supportive of the proposal | | | | | |
| | ☐ Not supportive of the proposal | | | | | |
| | Justification (based on considerations of the dimensions described below): | | | | | |
| | Improved benefits with comparable harm. More alternatives in due to the heterogeneity of treatment response. | mparable harm. More alternatives in schizophrenia treatment is needed of treatment response. | | | | |
| Does the EML and/or EMI | Lc currently recommend alternative medicines for the | ⊠ Yes | □ No | ☐ Not applicable | | |
| proposed indication that can be considered therapeutic alternatives? | | Several alternatives, both oral and | | | | |
| (https://list.essentialmeds.org/) | | injection. | | | | |
| For injection: risperidone LAI | | | | | | |
| Does adequate evidence exist for the efficacy/effectiveness of the medicine for the | | ⊠ Yes | □ No | ☐ Not applicable | | |
| proposed indication? | | | | | | |
| (e.g., evidence originating from multiple high-quality studies with sufficient follow up. This may be evidence included in the application, and/or additional evidence identified during the review process;) | | | | | | |
| Evidence from several RCTs and real-world studies, as well as systematic review. | | | | | | |
| Does adequate evidence e medicine? | exist for the safety/harms associated with the proposed | ⊠ Yes | □ No | ☐ Not applicable | | |
| (e.g., evidence originating from multiple high-quality studies with sufficient follow up. This may be evidence included in the application, and/or additional evidence identified during the review process;) | | | | | | |
| Safety is comparable or ev | ven better than current available treatment in EML. | | | | | |
| Overall, does the proposed medicine have a favourable and meaningful balance of benefits to harms? | | ⊠ Yes | □ No | ☐ Not applicable | | |
| Better benefits with comparable harms. Are there any special requirements for the safe, effective and appropriate use of the | | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | ☐ Not applicable | | |
| medicines? | | | 2 110 | _ Not applicable | | |
| (e.g. laboratory diagnostic providers, etc) | and/or monitoring tests, specialized training for health | | | | | |
| A lthough as injection it must be administered by an HCP, yet the frequency is only once a month. | | | | | | |
| There are no requirements for diagnostic tests, specialised treatment facilities, or skill level of health care providers for the use of AOM. There are no requirements for post-dose monitoring with AOM. | | | | | | |
| Are there any issues regarding price, cost-effectiveness and budget implications in different settings? Although treatment with AOM increased schizophrenia-related drug costs (oral SOC and AOM) per patient in the prospective period, this increment was offset by a reduction in psychiatric hospitalisation-related charged amounts in the retrospective period. Patent expiration is in October 2024 | | □ Yes | ⊠ No | □ Not applicable | | |

25^{th} WHO Expert Committee on Selection and Use of Essential Medicines Expert review

| Is the medicine available and accessible across countries? | ⊠ Yes | □ No | \square Not applicable |
|--|-------|------------|---|
| (e.g. shortages, generics and biosimilars, pooled procurement programmes, access programmes) | | | |
| Available in Asia Pacific, Europe, Middle East and Africa, and North America. | | | |
| Does the medicine have wide regulatory approval? | | or the pro | posed indication |
| Approved in 62 countries/ territories globally | | - | r other indications osed indication) |
| | □ No | ☐ Not ap | plicable |
| | | | |