R.1 Review of age-appropriateness of formulations on the EMLc					
Reviewer summary	Supportive of the proposal				
	\square Not supportive of the proposal				
	Justification (based on considerations of the dimensions described below):				
	The aim of this application is only to change formulations to be more suitable for children for medications that were already in the EMLc.				
	The review for the formulation change follows a rigorous process utilizing a standardized tool.				
	Issues regarding price, cost-effectiveness and budget implication consulted and deemed as still acceptable.	ding price, cost-effectiveness and budget implications in different setting have been nd deemed as still acceptable.			
	For some age-appropriate formulations that might still not wide been made so it could be promoted for development to fill price population.	-			
Does the EML and/or EMLc currently recommend alternative medicines for the proposed indication that can be considered therapeutic alternatives?		☐ Yes	□ No	⋈ Not applicable	
(https://list.essentialmeds.org/)					
The aim of this application is only to change formulations to be more suitable for children for medications that were already in the EMLc.					
Does adequate evidence exist for the efficacy/effectiveness of the medicine for the proposed indication?		⊠ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable	
(e.g., evidence originating from multiple high-quality studies with sufficient follow up. This may be evidence included in the application, and/or additional evidence identified during the review process;)					
All medicines included in the formulation change have been through rigorous process previously to review its efficacy/effectiveness. The review for the formulation change follows a rigorous process utilizing a standardized tool.					
Does adequate evidence exist for the safety/harms associated with the proposed medicine?		⊠ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable	
(e.g., evidence originating from multiple high-quality studies with sufficient follow up. This may be evidence included in the application, and/or additional evidence identified during the review process;)					
All medicines included in the formulation change have been through rigorous process previously to review its safety and harm.					
Overall, does the proposed medicine have a favourable and meaningful balance of benefits to harms?		⊠ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable	
The balance between benefits and harms have been considered for all the medicines included in the EMLc.					
Are there any special requirements for the safe, effective and appropriate use of the medicines?		☐ Yes	□ No	Not applicable	
(e.g. laboratory diagnostic and/or monitoring tests, specialized training for health providers, etc)					
Depends on the medication; some of them have specific requirements for their administration. However, all medications included have been deemed as suitable to be included in the EMLc.					

25^{th} WHO Expert Committee on Selection and Use of Essential Medicines Expert review

Are there any issues regarding price, cost-effectiveness and budget implications in different settings?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not applicable		
Issues regarding price, cost-effectiveness and budget implications in different setting			
have been reviewed during the experts committee meeting and all medications			
included have been deemed as suitable to be included in the EMLc.			
Is the medicine available and accessible across countries?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not applicable		
	Some medications or formulations		
(e.g. shortages, generics and biosimilars, pooled procurement programmes, access	might still not widely available,		
programmes)	however some recommendations		
	have been made for identified gaps in		
Some medications or formulations might still not widely available, however some	terms of age-appropriate		
recommendations have been made for identified gaps in terms of age-appropriate	formulations that are not currently		
formulations that are not currently available so it could be promoted for development	available so it could be promoted for		
to fill priority unmet needs for the paediatric population.	development to fill priority unmet		
	needs for the paediatric population.		
Does the medicine have wide regulatory approval?	\square Yes, for the proposed indication		
	□ Vos. but only for other indications		
	☐ Yes, but only for other indications (off-label for proposed indication)		
	(on-laber for proposed malcation)		
	☐ No ⊠ Not applicable		