

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

NEUROVIRULENCE TEST OF TYPES 1, 2 OR 3 LIVE ATTENUATED POLIOMYELITIS VACCINES (ORAL) IN MONKEYS

Version, 2012

Table of contents

Part 1. Description of the test

- 1.1 Number of monkeys
- 1.2 Virus content of vaccines and reference preparations inoculated
- 1.3 Observation of monkeys
- 1.4 Number of sections examined
- 1.5 Scoring of virus activity
- 1.6 Evaluation of neurovirulence test

Part 2. Criteria for the acceptance of vaccines after neurovirulence testing

Part 3. Form on which to report the score of virus activity for each histological section from all monkeys included in the neurovirulence test

Certificate of compliance with the recommendations for the neurovirulence testing of monovalent OPV bulks in monkeys

Authors

References

Part 1. Description of the test

Monkeys used for neurovirulence tests should satisfy the relevant sections of the recommendations in Part E, section E.4.1.1 of the Recommendations to Assure the Quality, Safety and Efficacy of Live Attenuated Poliomyelitis Vaccine (oral) (1), and weigh not less than 1.5 kg.

The pathogenicity of the filtered monovalent bulk or seed for *Macaca* or *Cercopithecus* monkeys should be tested in comparison with that of a reference virus preparation for neurovirulence testing (see Part A, section A.1.3 of the Recommendations to Assure the Quality, Safety and Efficacy of Live Attenuated Poliomyelitis Vaccine (oral) (1)) by inoculation into the lumbar region of the central nervous system. A pre-injection serum sample obtained from each monkey should be shown not to contain any neutralizing antibody in a dilution of 1:4 when tested against no more than 1000 CCID₅₀ of each of the three types of poliovirus.

If only the manufacturer performs the neurovirulence test, the histological sections should be made available to the national regulatory authority for evaluation. All steps of the test must be performed by competent operators who have been trained by performing the test under supervision of experiences operators. Implementation and maintenance of competence should be documented.

1.1 Number of monkeys

It is recommended that a vaccine and the appropriate homotypic reference virus should, whenever possible, be tested concurrently in a single group of monkeys. Equal numbers of animals should be inoculated with the reference virus and the vaccine being tested. Monkeys should be allocated to vaccine or reference virus and to particular cages using a randomization procedure.

The number of monkeys inoculated should be such that at least 11 positive monkeys are included in the evaluation of the vaccine and at least 11 positive monkeys are included for the reference preparation for virus types 1 and 2. For virus type 3, there should be at least 18 positive monkeys for the reference preparation and a further 18 positive monkeys for the vaccine. A "positive" monkey is one in which neuronal lesions characteristic of poliovirus are

seen in the central nervous system. A monkey with neuronal lesions in the sections but which shows no needle tract should be regarded as positive. A monkey showing a needle tract in the sections but no neuronal lesions should not be regarded as positive. All positive monkeys should be analyzed and included in the calculations. More than one vaccine lot may be tested with the same homotypic reference. The monkeys should, when possible, be from the same quarantine group and should be allocated randomly to the preparations. If it is not possible to use monkeys from the same quarantine group for both the homotypic reference and the test vaccine, monkeys from two quarantine groups should undergo tests with each of the preparations (with as close to equal numbers as possible from each quarantine group). If a test is done on two working days, equal numbers of monkeys should be inoculated with the vaccine and the homotypic reference on each working day.

In order to obtain 11 and 18 positive monkeys, it is usual to inoculate 12 and 20 monkeys, respectively.

The monkeys are sedated with ketamine hydrochloride or any other substance that has been shown to be suitable.

1.2 Virus content of vaccines and reference preparations inoculated

The virus contents of the vaccine and the homotypic reference preparation should be adjusted to be as similar as possible and should be between 5.5 and 6.5 $\log_{10}/0.1$ ml, based on the virus concentration determined as described in Part A, section A.4.4.4 of the Recommendations to Assure the Quality, Safety and Efficacy of Live Attenuated Poliomyelitis Vaccine (oral) (1). A target titer of 6.0 $\log_{10}/0.1$ ml should be prepared. Monkeys should be inoculated with only one concentration of virus. A back titration of the inoculum should be done after the inoculation step is completed. The MNVT is valid if the back titration result is within +/-0.5 of 6.0 $\log_{10}/0.1$ ml, i.e. if it is between 5.5 and 6.5 $\log_{10}/0.1$ ml.

If the result of the back-titration is found to be out of specification, a repeat of the back-titration is possible and the results of both titrations could be pooled and reassessed in accordance with the standard retest policy. If the titre of any of the vaccine doses used is found to be outside of the required limits after retesting, a statistical review of the data should be performed to decide whether the MNVT needs repeating and new dilutions prepared.

1.3 Observation of monkeys

All monkeys should be observed for 17—22 days for symptoms suggestive of poliomyelitis or other virus infection. Monkeys that survive the first 24 hours but die before the 11th day after inoculation should be autopsied to determine whether poliomyelitis was the cause of death. Those that have died from causes other than poliomyelitis should be excluded from the evaluation.

Animals that become moribund or are severely paralysed should be humanely killed and autopsied.

All monkeys that survive the observation period should be euthanized and processed for analysis.

For the test to be valid, no more than 20% of the animals in each group should show signs of a concurrent infection during the observation period.

1.4 Number of sections examined

The lumbar cord, the cervical cord, the lower and upper medulla oblongata, the mesencephalon, the thalamus, and the motor cortex of each monkey, as a minimum, should be subjected to histological examination.

Sections should be cut at a thickness of $15\mu m$ and stained with gallocyanin. If adequately justified, sections may be cut at a thickness of $8-15\mu m$, and Nissl staining may be used as an alternative to gallocyanin.

The minimum number of sections examined should be as follows:

- 12 sections representative of the whole of the lumbar enlargement
- 10 sections representative of the whole of the cervical enlargement
- 2 sections from the medulla oblongata
- 1 section from the pons and cerebellum
- 1 section from the midbrain
- 1 section each from the left and the right of the thalamus and cerebral cortex.

1.5 Scoring of virus activity

In the evaluation of virus activity in the hemisections of the spinal cord and brain stem, a method of scoring the severity of the lesions should be used. Since the type of damage, whether cellular infiltration or destruction of neurons, is important, the lesions should be scored as follows:

Score

Grade 1: Cellular infiltration only e.g. perivascular cuffing; low, moderate or severe cellular infiltration without evidence of neuronal damage (this is not sufficient for the monkey to be considered as positive).

Grade 2: Cellular infiltration with minimal neuronal lesions

Grade 3: Cellular infiltration with extensive neuronal damage

Grade 4: Massive neuronal damage with or without cellular infiltration.

A panel of slides is available for training purposes through WHO.

The scores obtained should be recorded on a standard form (see Part 3).

A monkey with neuronal lesions in the sections but which shows no needle tract should be regarded as positive.

A monkey showing a needle tract in the sections but no neuronal lesions should not be regarded as positive.

A section that shows damage due to trauma but no specific virus lesion is not included in the score.

Severity scores are based on hemisection readings of the lumbar (L), cervical (C), and brain (B) histological sections. The lesion score (LS) for each positive monkey is calculated as follows:

$$LS = \left\{ \left[\frac{\sum \text{ of L scores}}{\text{No.of}} \right] + \left[\frac{\sum \text{ of C scores}}{\text{No.of}} \right] + \left[\frac{\sum \text{ of B scores}}{\text{No. of}} \right] \right\} \div 3$$

A mean lesion score is calculated for each group of positive monkeys.

1.6 Evaluation of neurovirulence test

The comparison of the virus activity in the vaccine and the reference preparation should be based on the activity in the lumbar enlargement of the cord and the degree of spread of activity from this region to the cervical enlargement and the brain.

The acceptance or rejection of the vaccine should be based on the total score of all the positive test animals. Individual animals showing unusually high activity, either in the lumbar region or as the result of spread from this region, should also be taken into consideration in the final evaluation.

The filtered monovalent bulk passes the test if the required number of animals is positive and if none of the clinical and histopathological examinations shows a significant difference in pathogenicity between the vaccine virus and the reference material.

Criteria for the acceptance of vaccines after neurovirulence testing are given in Part 2.

Part 2. Criteria for the acceptance of vaccines after neurovirulence testing

When implementing the test, it is recommended that each laboratory should perform a minimum of four neurovirulence tests (referred to here as "qualifying" tests) on each reference vaccine (Types 1, 2, and 3) to provide sufficient data on the activity of such reference vaccines for the development of criteria for the acceptability of test vaccines. On practical grounds, each of these tests may include a homotypic lot of production vaccine tested concurrently with the reference so that the results of the tests may be used in assessing vaccines in addition to providing information on the reference. The minimum number of animals in each of these tests is as specified in section 1.1 for each poliovirus type. The overall mean Lesion Score (M) for the replicate tests on each reference virus is calculated together with the pooled estimate (s²) of the within-test variance and the within-test deviation (s).

Criteria for the validity of the results of a test of a reference preparation can be determined by each laboratory only on the basis of the data accumulated after the four qualifying tests. No generally applicable criteria can therefore be given. For laboratories with limited experience with neurovirulence testing, the following empirical method of establishing acceptable limits for the mean Lesion Score for the reference (\bar{X}_{ref}) may be helpful:

	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
For Types 1 and 2	M-s	M + s
For Type 3	$M - \frac{s}{2}$	M + s

A neurovirulence test in which the mean Lesion Score for the reference (\bar{X}_{ref}) is not consistent with previous experience (within the acceptable limits referred to above) should not be used for assessing a test vaccine.

If the test is valid, the mean Lesion Score for the test vaccine (\bar{X}_{test}) is compared with that of the homotypic reference vaccine (\bar{X}_{ref}) as follows $(C_1, C_2 \text{ and } C_3 \text{ are constants defined below})$.

The vaccine is not acceptable if:

$$\bar{X}_{test}$$
 - \bar{X}_{ref} > C_1

The vaccine may be retested once at the discretion of the national regulatory authority if:

$$C_1 < \bar{X}_{test} - \bar{X}_{ref} < C_2$$

If the vaccine is retested, the means of the Lesion scores for the test and reference vaccines are recalculated, combining the data from both tests, and the vaccine is rejected if:

$$\bar{X}_{test1+test2} - \bar{X}_{ref1+ref2} > C_3$$

The constants C_1 , C_2 and C_3 are calculated as follows:

$$C_I = 2.3 \sqrt{\frac{2s^2}{N_1}}$$

$$C_2 = 2.6 \sqrt{\frac{2s^2}{N_1}}$$

$$C_3 = 1.6 \sqrt{\frac{2s^2}{N_2}}$$

Where N_1 = number of positive monkeys per group per vaccine test,

 N_2 = number of positive monkeys per group for the two tests combined,

2.3 =normal deviate at the 1% level,

2.6 = normal deviate at the 0.5% level,

1.6 = normal deviate at the 5% level.

In some countries, the national regulatory authority may permit an experienced manufacturer to accumulate data on the qualifying tests of the Types 1 and 2 international preparations for neurovirulence as serial batches of vaccine are tested and released, rather than wait until the data are available from the four qualifying tests before the release of any future vaccine.

It is assumed that the values of the constants C_1 , C_2 , and C_3 will be calculated by each laboratory for each reference vaccine. As experience with the reference accumulates, it is recommended that laboratories should recalculate the values of s^2 and M using data from their last 10 tests only, and update their values of C_1 , C_2 , and C_3 accordingly.

Estimates of the probability that test vaccines with a true Lesion Score double that of the reference vaccine will be rejected, are given in Table 1 for different coefficients of variation.

The number of animals needed for the test have been chosen so that, for a single test, the analysis recommended above will result in the rejection of approximately 1% of test vaccines that are identical to the homotypic reference, on the assumption that, in each laboratory, the within-test variation is similar to that observed in the qualifying tests with that reference.

Table 1

Estimated probability that test vaccines with a true Lesion Score double that of the reference vaccine will be rejected for different coefficients of variation.

Total number of positive animals	Coefficient of Variation ^a														
per test	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.4									
24 ^b	99%	96% c	77%	55%	39%	28%									
40	99%	99%	95%	80% d	62%	47%									

^a The coefficient of variation is defined as the within-test standard deviation divided by the mean Lesion Score. Boxes show acceptable coefficients of variation.

In tests on vaccines which satisfy the above criteria of acceptability, individual animals may occasionally develop extremely high Lesion Scores. Such findings should be taken into consideration in evaluating the acceptability of vaccines, but precise criteria for use in making a decision are difficult to define.

Sample calculations are shown in Tables 2 and 3.

^b Divided equally between tests on Type 1 and 2 vaccines.

^c Corresponds to tests on Type 1 and 2 vaccines.

^d Corresponds to tests on Type 3 vaccines.

Table 2. Sample calculations of results of qualifying tests of reference

Basic data		Type 1	Type 2	Type 3
Overall mean Lesion Score (<i>M</i>) (initial four tests)		1.110	0.878	1.043
Within-test pooled variance (s^2) of M		0.444	0.236	0.686
Within-test pooled standard deviation (s) (square root of s^2)		0.666	0.486	0.828
Coefficient of variation (CV) (CV = s/M)		$\frac{0.666}{1.110} = 0.60$	$\frac{0.486}{0.878} = 0.55$	$\frac{0.828}{1.043} = 0.79$
Upper and lower limits for satisfactory test result. Mean Lesion Score of reference vaccine (\bar{X}_{ref})		M + s = 1.110 + 0.666 $= 1.776$ $M - s = 1.110 - 0.666$ $= 0.444$	M + s = 0.878 + 0.486 $= 1.364$ $M - s = 0.878 - 0.486$ $= 0.392$	$M + s = 1.043 + 0.828$ $= 1.871$ $M - \frac{s}{2} = 1.043 - \frac{0.828}{2}$ $= 0.629$
Constants for assessing acceptability of difference between mean Lesion Score of test vaccine (\bar{X}_{test}) and mean Lesion score of reference	$C_I = 2.3 \sqrt{\frac{2s^2}{N_1}}$	$2.3\sqrt{\frac{2\times0.444}{12}} = 2.3\sqrt{0.074}$ $= 0.626$	$2.3\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 0.236}{12}} = 2.3\sqrt{0.039}$ $= 0.456$	$2.3\sqrt{\frac{2\times0.686}{20}} = 2.3\sqrt{0.069}$ $= 0.602$
vaccine (\bar{X}_{ref})	$C_2 = 2.6 \sqrt{\frac{2s^2}{N_1}}$	$2.6\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 0.444}{12}} = 2.6\sqrt{0.074}$ $= 0.707$	$2.6\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 0.236}{12}} = 2.6\sqrt{0.039}$ $= 0.516$	$2.6\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 0.686}{20}} = 2.6\sqrt{0.069}$ $= 0.681$
	$C_3 = 1.6 \sqrt{\frac{2s^2}{N_2}}$ Page 12 of	$1.6\sqrt{\frac{2\times0.444}{24}} = 1.6\sqrt{0.037}$ $18/0.308$	$1.6\sqrt{\frac{2\times0.236}{24}} = 1.6\sqrt{0.020}$ $= 0.224$	$1.6\sqrt{\frac{2\times0.686}{40}} = 1.6\sqrt{0.034}$ $= 0.296$

Table 3
Examples of tests with Type 1 reference and vaccine

Example	Mean Lesio	n Scores	Difference between vaccine and reference	Conclusion/Action ^a
	Reference	Reference	-	
	(\bar{X}_{ref})	(\bar{X}_{test})	$(ar{X}_{test}$ - $ar{X}_{ref})$	
One test	0.826	1.188	0.362	Less than C_I : vaccine acceptable
Two tests 1 st	0.826	1.493	0.667	More than C_1 but less than C_2 : Vaccine unacceptable: retest is permissable
2^{nd}	1.285	1.209	-0.076	-
Combined tests	1.056	1.351	0.295	Less than C_3 : vaccine acceptable
Two tests 1 st	0.826	1.493	0.667	More than C_1 but less than C_2 : Vaccine unacceptable: retest is permissable
$2^{\rm nd}$	1.285	1.405	0.120	-
Combined tests	1.056	1.449	0.393	More than C_3 : vaccine fails

^a For values of C_1 , C_2 and C_3 see Table 2

Part 3. Form on which to report the score of virus activity for each histological section from all monkeys included in the neurovirulence test

Although the test requires that at least 11 monkeys should be positive after inoculation with the vaccine and reference virus for Types 1 and Types 2, provision is made on the following form (pp. 14-15) for recording results for 12 monkeys that may be inoculated and survive the test. A separate form to record the lesions in 20 monkeys will be required for Type 3.

On the forms, the method of scoring the lesions used for all sections from all areas is that already indicated in Part 1.5, namely:

Grade 1: Cellular infiltration only e.g.: perivascular cuffing; low, moderate or sever cellular infiltration without evidence of neuronal damage. (this is not sufficient for the monkey to be considered as positive)

Grade 2: Cellular infiltration with minimal neuronal lesions

Grade 3: Cellular infiltration with extensive neuronal damage

Grade 4: Massive neuronal damage with or without cellular infiltration.

A model of the certificate of compliance with the international requirements for the neurovirulence testing of monovalent OPV bulks in monkeys is given below.

Certificate of compliance with the recommendations for the neurovirulence testing of monovalent OPV bulks in monkeys

Monovalent bulk or seed number.
Date of certification
I certify that the above monovalent bulk or seed complies with the recommendations
for tests in monkeys for neurovirulence published in WHO Technical Report Series,
No. xxx. A.4.4.5.2
Signature
Name (typed
Date

	keys must be recorded, which may requestly is must be recorded on a separate for	<u>e</u>		
•	Type			Monkey Species
Post-inoculation (Bac	k titration) titre: log ₁₀ CCID ₅₀ /ml		Dilution	
Date of inoculation _		Date of end of test		_

	3.7														His	stol	log	ica	l le	sic	ns	du	e t	ор	oli	om	yel	itis	S											Lesion
N	V							L	um	bar	enl	arg	em	ent									C	erv	ica	ıl e	nla	rge	eme	nt				В	rai	in				score
			1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 1 1									A	1	2	3		1 5	5 6	7	8	9) 1	0	Av	Med	i	С	P	N	Т		A								
												0	1	2					V													1 2		b	-			0	V	
																								_				_				1								
		L																																						
		R																																						
		L																																						
		R																																						
		L																																						
		R																																						
		L																																						
		R																																						
		L																																						
		R																																						
		L																																						
		R																																						

(continued on next page)

			Histological lesions due to poliomyelitis														Lesion																								
N	V							L	∠un	nba	r e	nla	rge	eme	ent								(Cei	rvic	cal	en	lar	ger	nent	ţ				В	3ra	iin				score
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Č	9	1	1	1				A		. 2	2				6		8	9	10		Av	Med	d	C]	P	M		A	
													0	1	2				V														1	2	b				0	V	
		-							-	-							-		-				_										1				_		\bot		
		L																																							
		R									1									_	_															_			_		
		L																																							
		R	_																																						
		L																																							
		R																																							
		L																																							
		R																																							
		L																																							
		R																																							
i																																	Me	an l	esio	n s	sco	re			

KEY			
N	= Monkey number		
V	= Valid		
L	= Left		
R	= Right		
Av	= Average		
Med	= Medulla		
Cb	= Cerebellum		
P	= Pons	Signature	Date
M	= Midbrain		
T	= Thalamus		
Co	= Cortex	Name (typed)	

Authors

The first version of the protocol for the Monkey Neurovirulence Test which is based on the text and appendices from WHO TRS 904 (Annex 1) was prepared by Dr Morag Ferguson, Horning, UK, Dr Javier Martin, Ms Glynis Dunn and Mr Alan Heath, National Institute of Biological Standards and Control, South Mimms, UK with input from Dr Ghazi Auda, National Institute of Biological Standards and Control, South Mimms, UK; Dr Laetitia Agostini Bigger who compiled comments from IFPMA Vaccines Committee, Geneva, Switzerland; Dr Iin Susanti Budiharto, Bio Farma, Bandung, Indonesia; Dr Emmanuelle Coppens, Sanofi Pasteur, France; Dr. Amando Meneses Vionet. Laboratorios de Biológicos y Reactivos de México, México; Ms Virginie Pithon, Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire des Produits de Santé, Lyon, France; Dr Anna Laura Salvati, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Roma, Italy; Dr. Minerva J. Uribe Serralde, Laboratorios de Biológicos y Reactivos de México, México; Dr Hui Wang, Beijing TianTan Biological Products Co., Ltd Beijing, P.R. of China; Dr Geneviève Waeterloos, Scientific Institute of Public Health, Brussels, Belgium and Dr TieQun Zhou, Quality, Safety and Standards/Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals /Family, Women's and Children's Health, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.

The first version was reviewed and comments taken into consideration at a WHO consultation held on 27-29 March 2012 attended by: Dr Shinobu Abe, Japan Poliomyelitis Research Institte, (JPRI), Tokyo, Japan; Dr Maria Baca-Estrada, Biologics and Genetic Therapies Directorate, Ottawa, Canada; Dr Wilfried A.M. Bakker, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands; Dr Jacqueline Fournier-Caruana, Quality, Safety, and Standards, Immunization, Vaccines, Biologicals, Family, Women's and Children's Health, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; Mr Bhupender Singh Chauhan, Bharat Biotech International Limited, Hyderabad, India; Dr Konstantin Chumakov, Office of Vaccine Research and Review, Food & Drug Administration Bethesda, USA; Dr Emmanuelle Coppens, Sanofi Pasteur, Marcy L'Etoile, France; Dr Michel Duchêne, GSK Biologicals, Wavre, Belgium; Ms Glynis Dunn, National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, South Mimms, UK; Dr Diana Felnerova, Crucell, Berne, Switzerland; Dr Lucia Fiore, Istituto Superiore di Sanita, Roma, Italy; Mr José Bugarín González, Laboratorios de Biológicos y Reactivos de México S.A. de C.V. México D.F., Mexico; Dr Morag Ferguson (Lennon), Horning, United Kingdom Dr Martha Ayala Gonzalez, Federal Commission for the Protection from Sanitary Risks (COFEPRIS), Ministry of Health, Mexico; Prof Victor Grachev, Russian Academy of Medical Sciences (RAMS), Moscow Region, Russian Federation; Ms Wang Hui, Tiantan Biological Products Co., Ltd., Beijing, China; Mrs Teeranart Jivapaisarnpong, Department of Medical Sciences, Ministry of Public Health, Bangkok, Thailand; Dr Ivana Knezevic, Quality, Safety, and Standards, Immunization, Vaccines, and Biologicals, Family, Women's and Children's Health, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; Dr Hiromasa Okayasu, Research, Policy and Product Development, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; Dr Dede Kusmiaty, National Quality Control Laboratory of Drug and Food, Ministry of Health, Jakarta, Indonesia; Dr Kazuhiko Katayama, National Institute of Infectious Diseases (NIID), Tokyo, Japan; Dr Changgui Li, National Institutes for Food and Drug Control (NIFDC), Beijing, P.R.China; Dr Javier Martin, National Institute for Biological Standards and Control (NIBSC), South Mimms, UK; Dr Catherine Milne, European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines and HealthCare (EDQM), Strasbourg, France; Dr Rajiv Modi, Cadila Pharmaceuticals Limited, Ahmedabad, India; Ms Elisabeth Niogret, Sanofi Pasteur, Marcy L'Etoile, France; Dr Le Van Phung, National Institute for Control of Vaccine and Biologicals, Hanoi, Vietnam; Dr Virginie Pithon, Agence Française

de Sécurité Sanitaire des Produits de Santé (AFSSAPS), Lyon, France; Dr Alexandra Sinyugina, Federal State Unitary Enterprise of Chumakov Institute of Poliomyelitis and Viral Encephalitides, Russian Academy of Medical Sciences (RAMS), Moscow Region, Russian Federation; Dr Roland Sutter, Research, Policy and Product Development, PEC/POL/RAP, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; Mr Dori Ugiyadi, BioFarma, Bandung, Indonesia; Dr Geneviève Waeterloos, Scientific Institute of Public Health, Brussels, Belgium; Dr Shudo Yamazaki, Japan Poliomyelitis Research Institute, (JPRI), Tokyo, Japan; Mr Li Yi, Institute of Medical Biology, Kunming, P.R. China; Dr Standards/Immunization, TieQun Zhou, Quality, Safety and Vaccines Biologicals/Family, Women's and Children's Health, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.

Further changes were made, taking into consideration comments received during the public consultation along with the WHO/BS/2012.2185, and following the review by the 63rd Expert Committee on Biological Standardization, 15-19 October 2012, resulting in the present document.

Reference

1. Recommendations to Assure the Quality, Safety and Efficacy of Live Attenuated Poliomyelitis Vaccine (oral). Proposed replacement of: TRS 904, Annex 1 and Addendum TRS 910, Annex 1. *Expert Committee on Biological Standardization* 2012. World Health Organization.