

Considerations on Case Definitions and Potential Objectives & Endpoints

Workshop on Accelerating the Licensure of Lassa Vaccines
25th & 26th October 2022 - Abuja, Nigeria

Paul Oloo - CEPI Clinical Development Lead



Lassa Fever Case Definition in ENABLE study

- Case definition to be used and validated in the ENABLE epidemiology study
- High sensitivity, suitable for active / passive case detection
- Severity of disease not differentiated in the incident case definition

1. Acute febrile illness case:

Self reported fever >48 hours (2 consecutive nights) + 1 sign/symptom listed below

Signs and symptoms associated with Lassa fever disease	
Headache	Abnormal bleeding (mouth, nose, rectum and/or vagina)
Cough	Oedema of neck and face
Vomiting	Conjunctival/sub-conjunctival haemorrhage
Chest pain	Buzzing in ears or acute deafness
Sore throat	Spontaneous abortion
Abdominal pain	Jaundice
Muscle pain or Joint pain	Hypotension

2. Confirmed Lassa fever clinical disease:

Acute febrile illness case + positive Lassa RT-PCR

Alternative Lassa Fever Case Definition

- Similar to case definitions previously used e.g. in phase 3 vaccine efficacy trials for dengue and Ebola ring vaccination trials

1. Acute febrile illness case:

Febrile illness on any 2 of 3 consecutive days ($\geq 38^{\circ}\text{C}$) or illness clinically suspected to be Lassa Fever by the investigator

2. Confirmed Lassa fever clinical disease case:

Acute febrile illness case + positive Lassa RT-PCR

Primary Objective/Endpoint to Consider for Lassa Vaccine Phase 3 Clinical Trial

Objective	Endpoint
Evaluate vaccine efficacy against confirmed Lassa fever (LF) of any severity / any lineage occurring 14 days after last dose in all trial participants	Cumulative incidence based on RT-PCR confirmed LF clinical disease of any severity, due to any LASV strain, with or without serological evidence of past Lassa virus (LASV) infection

- Efficacy against LF clinical disease due to ‘any strain’ is relevant from a public health perspective
- Enrolment of all-comers – secondary analyses to be stratified by serostatus at baseline
- Based on preliminary ENABLE data up to 1/4 of trial subjects will be seropositive at baseline
 - Dependant on definite Phase 3 trial population

Potential Secondary Objectives/Endpoints to Consider for Lassa Vaccine Phase 3 Clinical Trial (1/3)

Objectives	Endpoints
CLINICAL	
Evaluate vaccine efficacy against confirmed LF of any severity / any lineage occurring 14 days after last dose <u>without</u> evidence of infection before vaccination	Cumulative incidence based on PCR-confirmed LF clinical disease irrespective of disease severity, due to any LASV strain, without serological evidence of past LASV infection
Evaluate vaccine efficacy against confirmed LF of any severity / any LASV lineage occurring 14 days after last dose <u>with</u> evidence of infection before vaccination	Cumulative incidence based on PCR-confirmed LF clinical disease irrespective of disease severity, due to any LASV strain, with serological evidence of past LASV infection
Evaluate vaccine efficacy against confirmed LF of any severity separately for lineages occurring 14 days after last dose stratified by presence / absence of evidence for previous infection	Cumulative incidence based on PCR-confirmed LF clinical disease irrespective of disease severity, separately for LASV strains, in all as well as baseline seropositives / seronegatives

Potential Secondary Objectives/Endpoints to Consider for Lassa Vaccine Phase 3 Clinical Trial (2/3)

Objective	Endpoint
CLINICAL	
Evaluate vaccine efficacy against severe LF (TBD), any lineage, occurring 14 days after last dose stratified by presence / absence of evidence for previous infection	Cumulative incidence based on PCR-confirmed LF clinical disease meeting criteria of severe disease (TBD), due to any LASV strain, in all as well as baseline seropositives / seronegatives
Evaluate vaccine efficacy against hospitalisation due to LF, any lineage, occurring 14 days after last dose stratified by presence / absence of evidence for previous infection	Cumulative incidence based on PCR-confirmed LF clinical disease in trials participants hospitalised for LF clinical illness, due to any LASV strain, in all as well as baseline seropositives / seronegatives
Evaluate vaccine efficacy against confirmed LF of any severity / any lineage occurring immediately after last dose in all trial participants	Cumulative incidence based on RT-PCR confirmed LF clinical disease of any severity, due to any LASV strain, with or without serological evidence of past LASV infection

Potential Secondary Objectives/Endpoints to Consider for Lassa Vaccine Phase 3 Clinical Trial (3/3)

Objective	Endpoint
IMMUNOLOGIC (immunogenicity subset)	
Assess post vaccination immune response based on binding antibodies (bAbs) against LASV glycoprotein	<u>Seropositivity rate (SPR)</u>: Percentage of participants with measurable serum IgG levels to LASV glycoprotein by ELISA 28 days after last dose <u>Seroconversion rate (SCR)</u>: Percentage of participants, 28 days after last dose, with ➤ measurable serum IgG in baseline seronegatives or ➤ 2-fold serum IgG titre increase in baseline seropositives <u>Geometric mean fold rise (GMFR)</u>: fold change of GMTs at baseline versus 28 days after last dose
Assess post vaccination immune response based on neutralizing antibodies (nABs) against LASV	<u>Seropositivity rate (SPR)</u>: Percentage of participants with measurable serum nAb (wild-type or pseudo virus assay, TBD) levels to LASV 28 days after last dose <u>Seroconversion rate (SCR)</u>: Percentage of participants, 28 days after last dose, with ➤ measurable serum nAb in baseline seronegatives or ➤ 2-fold serum nAb titre increase in baseline seropositives - GMTs at 28 days after last dose

Seropositive @ baseline = measurable anti-GP bAb prior to 1st dose

Potential Exploratory Objectives to Consider for Lassa Vaccine Phase 3 Clinical Trial

- Cellular immune response incl. T-/B-cell memory
- Long-term protection against hospitalisation
- Vaccine efficacy against LF-associated death
- Vaccine efficacy against asymptomatic Lassa infections
- Review data for potential evidence for a CoP (would require post vaccination blood sample from every trial participant to analyse breakthrough cases)
- Other?

Further Stratifications for Consideration

- Gender (m / f)
- Age (TBD in line with trial population age range)
- Country
- Time post vaccination (short-term, intermediate term, long-term - TBD)
- Other?

Discussion Points

- Trial population age range
- Post vaccination **immune response assessment** in a subset of participants (but collect serum samples from ALL subjects to enable subsequent CoP analyses in LF cases)?
 - Humoral (binding Abs, neutralising Abs)
 - CMI (incl. memory)
- Definition **baseline seropositivity**: anti-GP bAbs versus nAbs / lineage-specific assessments?
- Baseline seropositivity testing (IgG only?) in **every subject for secondary objectives**?
- Include prevention of “death” as a secondary endpoint
- **Long-term VE**: Shall the VE trial be split into **2 phases** – similar to dengue VE trials:
 - Part 1 (active phase) = active LF case detection until 12 months post completing primary immunisation in each individual trial participant
 - Part 2 (passive phase) = participants hospitalised for LF until End of Study, e.g. 3 years after last-subject-in (LSI)
- **Malaria testing** in every suspected every LF case and referral for treatment
- Other?

CEPI