A **candidate/investigational/experimental** MCMs is **NOT** yet licensed because it lacks evidence on efficacy and safety

The **architecture** exists

The **scientific** critical mass is ready to contribute

The experience indicates it is possible to integrate **high-quality research** into outbreak response

We have all a mandate and the ethical responsibility to ensure the best science, the most promising candidates are evaluated using the most robust methods.

Shared stewardship is required



Commit to stewardship during outbreaks

DURING EPIDEMIC

Fast access to interventions

1 Research priorities setting for the specific outbreak including experts and researchers from affected country(ies)

2 Independent recommendations on which candidate MCMs should be evaluated first in the context of the outbreak

3 Independent recommendations on CORE protocols to assess candidate MCMs

4 Scientific support for implementation of priority research



5 Strategic coordination of various global stakeholders and communication regarding outbreak status



https://www.perplexity.ai/computer/a/who-r-d-blueprint-pandemic-pre-U6sBQhiuS7SjQB5iLasIBg