F.8 Ethinylestradiol + etonogestrel – Contraception (item number) Does the application adequately Yes address the issue of the public health ☐ No need for the medicine? ☐ Not applicable Comments: Unintended pregnancy is a serious public health issue, associated with adverse physical, mental, social and economic outcomes. Modern methods of contraception have an important role in preventing unintended pregnancies. Still, the adherence to the many alternatives is compromised due to the woman's health concerns by the patient, side effects or inconvenience of use. Briefly summarize the role of the There are several contraceptives in the EML, including the following presentation proposed medicine(s) relative to other formats: oral hormonal, Injectable hormonal, intrauterine devices, barrier methods, therapeutic agents currently included in implantable, and intravaginal hormone devices. The ethinylestradiol + etonogestrel the Model List, or available in the vaginal ring would be used as an alternative to those methods or an adjuvant. market. Have all important studies and all ☐ Yes relevant evidence been included in the No application? ☐ Not applicable If no, please provide brief comments on any relevant studies or evidence that have not been included: A Cochrane Systematic Review [Lopez 2013] was not included in the application. This review included 11 RCTs and only 4 of them were reported on the application. Another systematic review [Lopez-Picado 2017] including 14 randomized clinical trials was also not included. Does the application provide adequate ☐ Yes evidence of efficacy/effectiveness of the No medicine for the proposed indication? ☐ Not applicable Briefly summarize the reported benefits (e.g. hard clinical versus surrogate outcomes) and comment, where possible on the actual magnitude and clinical relevance of benefit associated with use of the medicine(s). A systematic review [Lopez-Picado 2017], including 14 randomized clinical trials (RCTs), assessing contraceptive vaginal ring (CVR) with ethynilestradiol + etonogestrel versus oral hormone contraceptive (OHC) concluded that: CVR may slightly reduce or have no effect on the risk of pregnancy (Peto OR: $0.52 [95\% CI: 0.26-1.04; 10 RCT; 246 art5cipants; I^2 = 0\%]$ CVR may reduce the presence of nausea (Peto OR: 0.66 [95% CI: 0.46-0.93]). CVR may reduce the risk of breakthrough bleeding during the use (Peto OR: 0.68 [95% CI: 0.51-0.91]). CVR may reduce, increase or even have no effect on compliance rate (Peto OR 0.99; 95% CI 0.33-2.94) CVR may reduce, increase or even have no effect on the risk of withdrawal bleeding in the hormone free interval (Peto OR: 0.81; 95% CI 0.44-1.49).

2021 Expert Committee on Selection and Use of Essential Medicines Application review

	 CVR may reduce, increase or even have no effect on the risk of headache as a side effect (Peto OR 1.09; 95% CI: 0.88–1.34). Serious adverse events (as thrombosis and cardiovascular events) were not considered by the review authors. GRADE was not performed to assess the evidence certainty, reasonable to expect that the evidence could be downgraded due to methodological limitations, inconsistency and imprecision for most of outcomes).
	The evidence presented by the applicant is limited about the comparative efficacy and safety of CVR when compared with Injectable hormonal contraceptives, Intrauterine devices, barrier methods and barrier methods.
	Is there evidence of efficacy in diverse settings (e.g. low-resource settings) and/or populations (e.g. children, the elderly, pregnant patients)?
	Subgroup analyses were not performed by the systematic review stated above addressing diverse settings and participants
Does the application provide adequate evidence of the safety and adverse effects associated with the medicine?	 Yes □ No □ Not applicable Comments: The main safety concerns are the same to any ethynilestradiol + etonogestrel contraceptives. Local events are also common (reported by 5~6% of women), including vaginal infection and vaginal discharge.
Are there any adverse effects of concern, or that may require special monitoring?	■ Yes □ No □ Not applicable Comments: An increased risk of arterial and venous thrombotic and thromboembolic events, including myocardial infarction, stroke, transient ischemic attacks, venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism has been observed in women using CHCs (combined hormonal contraceptives). Therefore, in general, this type of contraception should not be recommended for patients with high risk or with a direct personal or familiar history of thromboembolic events.
Briefly summarize your assessment of the overall benefit to risk ratio of the medicine (e.g. favourable, uncertain, etc.)	The overall benefit to risk ratio is favourable, but the underling quality of the evidence limits this finding.
Briefly summarize your assessment of the overall quality of the evidence for the medicine(s) (e.g. high, moderate, low etc.)	The overall quality of the evidence is probably low or very low due to methodological limitations, inconsistency and imprecision from the available RCT.

2021 Expert Committee on Selection and Use of Essential Medicines Application review

program th	icable The intervention should be promoted only with a health-educational at will allow the correct use of the vaginal ring. When offered this on method, women need to be instructed on the correct use mode.
rou aware of any issues regarding egistration of the medicine by anal regulatory authorities? accelerated approval, lack of atory approval, off-label indication) Comments:	icable
https://ww "Pending fu applies to ti have the si considered become avo (2) WHO. Si 2016. http://apps	Medical eligibility criteria for contraceptive use. Available from: w.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241549158. In ther evidence, the GDG concluded that the evidence available for COCs are combined contraceptive P and CVR, and therefore the P and CVR should arme categories as COCs. The assigned categories should, therefore, be a preliminary, best judgement, which will be re-evaluated as new data
ssues regarding access, cost and dability of the medicine in different that vagina angs. It is reasona more experi	ant presented a cost-effectiveness analysis performed at Spain that raginal ring to oral contraception or patch [Guerra 2015], and concluded I ring is the most cost-effective option. Table to expect that ethinylestradiol + etonogestrel vaginal ring is relatively sive than other contraception alternatives such as oral contraception with ous accessibility and affordability in different countries.
additional comments	
cation, and any additional evidence evant information identified during eview process, briefly summarize proposed recommendation to the rt Committee, including the orting rationale for your lusions, and any doubts/concerns ring is modularities favourable favourable. It is worth by the list. Therefore,	confidence on the evidence that ethinylestradiol + etonogestrel vaginal recording or equally effective than other contraception methods and the respective that cost-effectiveness in diverse settings prevents a recommendation to include this intervention on the EML. To notice that other effective contraception methods are already covered the proposed recommendation to the Expert Committee is to not ethinylestradiol + etonogestrel vaginal ring on the EML.
applies to the have the seconsidered become average (2) WHO. Seconsidered become average (2) WHO. Secons (2) W	The combined contraceptive P and CVR, and therefore the P and CVR shapped categories as COCs. The assigned categories should, therefore, a preliminary, best judgement, which will be re-evaluated as new categories." The combined categories as COCs. The assigned categories should, therefore, a preliminary, best judgement, which will be re-evaluated as new categories as presented as new categories. Third edit Available from the contraceptive use. Third edit Available from the contrace of the contract of the contract of the

2021 Expert Committee on Selection and Use of Essential Medicines Application review

References (if required)	Guerra S, Sánchez F, Encinas A, Ugarte L, Barbadillo N, Lete I. Costes de la anticoncepción hormonal combinada en España: ¿hay algún método más costeefectivo?.Progresos de Obstetricia y Ginecología. 2015; 58(5); 221-226.
	Lopez LM, Grimes DA, Gallo MF, Stockton LL, Schulz KF. Skin patch and vaginal ring versus combined oral contraceptives for contraception. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013 Apr 30;2013(4):CD003552.
	López-Picado A, Lapuente O, Lete I. Efficacy and side-effects profile of the ethinylestradiol and etonogestrel contraceptive vaginal ring: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care. 2017 Apr;22(2):131-146. doi: 10.1080/13625187.2017.1287351. Epub 2017 Feb 14. PMID: 28256919.