A.17	Flomoxef sodium - and EMLc	- intraabdominal and upper urinary tract infections – EML
Draft recommendation		□ Recommended
		☑ Not recommended
		Justification:
		ESBL-E contributes to AMR deaths following the Carbapenem resistant infections globally. Flomoxef though has a carbapenem sparing activity against the ESBL-E causing IAI and upper UTI but the clinical data for efficacy is limited, especially in severe cases where it would be useful to use and spare Carbapenems. The available data is mostly limited to a cluster of Asian countries where it is currently approved.
		Clinical breakpoints for susceptibility testing are not available by the CLSI and neither by the EUCAST guidelines.
Does the proposed medicine address a relevant public health need?		⊠ Yes
		□No
		□ Not applicable
		Comments:
		ESBL -E causes the second highest AMR attributable deaths as per the GRAM study
Does adequate evidence exist for the efficacy/effectiveness of the medicine for the proposed indication? (this may be evidence included in the application, and/or additional evidence identified during the review process)		☐ Yes
		⊠ No
		□ Not applicable
		Comments:
		Limited clinical studies and limited to few Asian countries (Japan, China, South Korea)
Does adequate evidence exist for the		⊠ Yes
proposed med	associated with the dicine?	□No
/+la:		□ Not applicable
(this may be evidence included in the application, and/or additional evidence identified during the review process)		Comments:
Are there any adverse effects of		☐ Yes
concern, or th monitoring?	at may require special	⊠ No
J. 0.		☐ Not applicable
		Comments:

24^{th} WHO Expert Committee on Selection and Use of Essential Medicines Expert review

Are there any special requirements for the safe, effective and appropriate use of the medicines? (e.g. laboratory diagnostic and/or monitoring tests, specialized training for health providers, etc)	 ✓ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable Comments: Requires susceptibility testing which is not available in both CLSI and EUCAST guidelines
Are there any issues regarding cost, cost-effectiveness, affordability and/or access for the medicine in different settings?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable Comments:
Are there any issues regarding the registration of the medicine by national regulatory authorities? (e.g. accelerated approval, lack of regulatory approval, off-label indication)	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable Comments:
Is the proposed medicine recommended for use in a current WHO guideline? (refer to: https://www.who.int/publications/whoguidelines)	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable Comments: