A.27	Nirmatrelvir and ritonavir – COVID-19 – EML and EMLc		
Draft recommendation		⊠ Recommended	
		□ Not recommended	
		Justification:	
		Nirmatrelvir is a SARS-CoV-2 protease inhibitor, inhibiting viral replication. It is an orally active analogue of an intravenous prodrug. It is co-administered with ritonavir, a well-established HIV protease inhibitor, which boosts the levels of nirmatrelvir without any anti-viral activity. It is dosed twice daily for five days in adults and for children over 12 years of age. Treatment should be started ideally within 5 days of symptom onset.	
		The Covid-19 WHO Living Guideline evidence summary included data from two trials with 3100 patients in the meta-analysis. There was low level evidence of no important difference in mortality, with high uncertainty for mechanical ventilation. There was moderate level evidence of around 30/1000 fewer hospital admissions in the trial population, and around 50/1000 fewer admissions in the high-risk population.	
		A Cochrane review noted significantly higher taste and GI symptoms in patients receiving nirmatrelvir (given with a higher dose of ritonavir than used in HIV patients) than placebo with higher rates of drug discontinuation due to adverse events.	
		In high-risk populations (age >60 years, unvaccinated, immunosuppressed, chronic disease) there was a strong recommendation in favour of the drug.	
	osed medicine address a	⊠ Yes	
relevant public health need?		□ No	
		□ Not applicable	
		Comments:	
		Covid-19 is estimated to have caused over 600 million infections with over 6 million deaths globally.	
Does adequate evidence exist for the efficacy/effectiveness of the medicine for the proposed indication?		□ Yes	
		□ No	
		□ Not applicable	
	vidence included in the nd/or additional evidence ng the review process)	Comments:	
1 1		The Covid-19 WHO Living Guideline evidence summary included data from two trials with 3100 patients in the meta-analysis. There was low level evidence of no important difference in mortality, with high uncertainty for mechanical ventilation. There was moderate level evidence of around 30/1000 fewer hospital admissions in the trial population, and around 50/1000 fewer admissions in the high-risk population. In high-risk populations (age >60 years, unvaccinated, immunosuppressed, chronic disease) there was a strong recommendation in favour of the drug.	
		,	

24^{th} WHO Expert Committee on Selection and Use of Essential Medicines Expert review

Does adequate evidence exist for the	⊠ Yes
safety/harms associated with the proposed medicine?	□No
	□ Not applicable
(this may be evidence included in the application, and/or additional evidence	Comments:
identified during the review process)	A Cochrane review noted significantly higher taste and GI symptoms in patients receiving nirmatrelvir (given with a higher dose of ritonavir than used in HIV patients) than placebo with higher rates of drug discontinuation due to adverse events.
Are there any adverse effects of	⊠ Yes
concern, or that may require special monitoring?	□No
-	□ Not applicable
	Comments:
	There are multiple potential drug interactions, especially through CYP3A inhibition.
Are there any special requirements for	⊠ Yes
the safe, effective and appropriate use of the medicines?	□No
	□ Not applicable
(e.g. laboratory diagnostic and/or monitoring tests, specialized training for health providers, etc)	Comments: Rapid Diagnostic Tests to confirm a Covid-19 diagnosis assists with early treatment of symptomatic disease.
Are there any issues regarding cost,	⊠ Yes
cost-effectiveness, affordability and/or access for the medicine in different	□No
settings?	☐ Not applicable
	Comments:
	The drug is not licensed yet by the FDA for pregnant women, or children younger than 12 years.
Are there any issues regarding the	□ Yes
registration of the medicine by national regulatory authorities?	⊠ No
(e.g. accelerated approval, lack of	□ Not applicable
regulatory approval, off-label indication)	Comments:
	The ACT-Accelerator is pushing for generic product availability. The MPP holds a licence agreement for 95 countries.

24^{th} WHO Expert Committee on Selection and Use of Essential Medicines Expert review

Is the proposed medicine	⊠ Yes
recommended for use in a current WHO guideline?	□ No
(refer to:	□ Not applicable
https://www.who.int/publications/who-	Comments:
guidelines)	The WHO Covid-19 living guideline has a strong recommendation in favour of the drug for high-risk populations (age >60 years, unvaccinated, immunosuppressed, chronic disease).