24<sup>th</sup> WHO Expert Committee on Selection and Use of Essential Medicines Expert review

D.5	Dasabuvir, ombitasvir + paritaprevir + ritonavir, pegylated interferon alfa (2a & 2b) – hepatitis C virus infection – EML	
Draft recommendation		<ul> <li>☑ Recommended</li> <li>☐ Not recommended</li> <li>Justification: Pangenotypic DAAs available and recommended</li> <li>Per INF - low efficacy, high toxicity</li> </ul>
Does the proposed medicine address a relevant public health need?		☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable Comments:
Does adequate evidence exist for the efficacy/effectiveness of the medicine for the proposed indication?  (this may be evidence included in the application, and/or additional evidence identified during the review process)		☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable Comments:
Does adequate evidence exist for the safety/harms associated with the proposed medicine?  (this may be evidence included in the application, and/or additional evidence identified during the review process)		☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable Comments:
Are there any adverse effects of concern, or that may require special monitoring?		☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable Comments:

## $24^{\text{th}}$ WHO Expert Committee on Selection and Use of Essential Medicines Expert review

Are there any special requirements for the safe, effective and appropriate use	□ Yes
of the medicines?  (e.g. laboratory diagnostic and/or monitoring tests, specialized training for health providers, etc)	□ No □ Not applicable Comments:
Are there any issues regarding cost, cost- effectiveness, affordability and/or access for the medicine in different settings?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable Comments:
Are there any issues regarding the registration of the medicine by national regulatory authorities?  (e.g. accelerated approval, lack of regulatory approval, off-label indication)	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable Comments:
Is the proposed medicine recommended	