

**Report from the World Health Organization's Third Product Development for Vaccines Advisory Committee (PDVAC) meeting, Geneva, 8-10<sup>th</sup> June 2016**

Birgitte K. Giersing<sup>a</sup>, Johan Vekemans<sup>a</sup>, Samantha Nava<sup>b</sup>, David C. Kaslow<sup>c</sup>, Vasee Moorthy<sup>a\*</sup> and the WHO Product Development for Vaccines Advisory Committee<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Initiative for Vaccine Research, World Health Organization, CH-1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland

<sup>b</sup> University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas, USA

<sup>c</sup> PATH, Seattle, WA 98109, USA

<sup>d</sup> WHO Product Development for Vaccines Product Development Advisory Committee:

Salim Abdulla (Ifakara Health Institute, Tanzania). Ashish Bavdekar (Kem Hospital and Research Center, Pune, India). Klaus Cichutek (Paul-Ehrlich-Institut, Langen, Germany). Alejandro Cravioto (Global Evaluative Sciences Inc., Seattle, WA, USA). Bernard Fritzell (Independent Consultant, Bordeaux, France) Barney S. Graham (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). Ruth Karron (Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA). Claudio F. Lanata (Instituto de Investigacion Nutricional, Lima, Peru; and US Naval Medical Research Unit No. 6, Callao, Peru). Yiming Shao (Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, People's Republic of China) Peter G. Smith (London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland)

\*Corresponding author: Vasee S. Moorthy; moorthyv@who.int

Mailing address: World Health Organization, Initiative for Vaccine Research, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211-CH 27 Geneva, Switzerland

Telephone: +41 22 791 4760

## Abstract

The third meeting of WHO's Product Development for Vaccines Advisory Committee (PDVAC) was held in June 2016, with a remit to revisit the pathogen areas for which significant progress has occurred since recommendations from the 2015 meeting, as well as to consider new advances in the development of vaccines against other pathogens. Since the previous meeting, significant progress has been made with regulatory approvals of the first malaria and dengue vaccines, and the first phase III trials of a respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) vaccine candidate has started in the elderly and pregnant women. In addition, PDVAC has also supported vaccine development efforts against important emerging pathogens, including Middle Eastern Coronavirus (MERS CoV) and Zika virus. Trials of HIV and tuberculosis vaccine candidates are steadily progressing towards pivotal data points, and the leading Norovirus vaccine candidate has entered a Phase IIb efficacy study. WHO's Immunization, Vaccine and Biologicals (IVB) department is actively working in several pathogen areas on the recommendation of PDVAC, as well as continuing horizon scanning for advances in the development of vaccines that may benefit low and middle income countries, such as the recent licensure of the enterovirus 71 (EV71) vaccine in China. Following on from discussions with WHO's Strategic Advisory Group of Experts (SAGE) on Immunization, PDVAC will also look beyond licensure and consider data needs for vaccine recommendation and implementation to reduce the delay between vaccine approval and vaccine impact.

## Context

WHO's PDVAC was established by the Department of Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals (IVB) in 2014, following a review of WHO's process for strategic priority setting for vaccines. The need for a group to advise WHO specifically on vaccine product development was highlighted, to accelerate vaccine availability and ensure accessibility of vaccines to low and middle income countries (LMICs). PDVAC's remit is to advise on the product development strategy of vaccine candidates at Phase 2 of clinical evaluation or earlier and to report its proceedings to the WHO's principal committee on immunization policy recommendations: the Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization (SAGE). The PDVAC committee has a critical role in assessing the evolving vaccine development landscape and in helping to define where and how WHO can be most impactful, according to three criteria:

- Unmet public health need for a vaccine focusing on the LMIC perspective,
- Likelihood of a product emerging from the pipeline, as defined by probability of technical and regulatory success, and the extent of awareness, activity and investment in given area,
- A clear role for WHO with perceived added value for engagement in the pathogen area

Typically WHO engages in a pathogen area by working with a broad set of key vaccine development stakeholders to develop consensus on pivotal clinical trial design, vaccine roadmaps, or guidance documents on desired vaccine properties, referred to as Preferred Product Characteristics (PPCs). PPCs define WHO preferences for the properties of vaccines to be used in LMICs that are 5-10 years from licensure, and inform target product profiles in use by manufacturers and funders for vaccines. PDVAC also encourages developers to be aware of the process and requirements for WHO prequalification (PQ). WHO Prequalification is a service to UNICEF and other UN agencies that purchase vaccines once they have been licensed, to determine the acceptability, in principle, of vaccines from different sources for supply to these agencies. It aims to ensure that diagnostics, medicines, vaccines and immunization-related equipment and devices for high burden diseases meet global standards of quality, safety and efficacy, and are appropriate for use in LMICs contexts in order to optimize the potential benefit of these interventions<sup>1</sup>.

### Vaccine product development milestones since the 2015 PDVAC meeting

The third PDVAC meeting was held in Geneva from 8-10<sup>th</sup> June 2016. Dr Jean-Marie Okwo-Bele, director of IVB, opened proceedings with a synopsis of the significant milestones in vaccine development in the nine months since the previous meeting in September 2015:

- the first dengue and malaria vaccines have been licensed or achieved the equivalent of licensure, respectively,
- the first RSV vaccine candidate has entered phase III studies in the elderly and pregnant women,
- the most advanced HIV vaccine candidate has met its endpoints in the interim analysis of a Phase II study, and preparations to commence an efficacy study are underway,
- WHO convened the MERS-Coronavirus R&D community, and a Phase 1 clinical study is now underway (NCT02670187),
- Ebola virus vaccines are under review for WHO Emergency Use Assessment and Listing (EUAL) and have progressed to the point of consideration for licensure in record time ,
- the Zika virus outbreak has been declared a Public Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC), and there are co-ordinated efforts to develop a vaccine as expeditiously as possible. PDVAC is contributing significantly through a PDVAC working group which has overseen the development of a Zika virus vaccine target product profile (TPP), and developed regulatory considerations towards phase I and emergency use authorization.

In addition to these significant advances in vaccine development, the UK government published in May 2016 the report on 'Tackling Drug-Resistant Infections Globally' it commissioned in collaboration with the Wellcome Trust<sup>2</sup>. The report highlights the urgent need to reduce reliance on currently available antimicrobials, without which today's 700,000 deaths per year from drug resistant microbes is forecast to increase to 10 million, by 2050. The cost in terms of lost global production due to infections that are not controllable due to antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is estimated to be \$100 trillion by 2050 if no action is taken<sup>2</sup>. The development of vaccines against pathogens that are

currently controlled by antimicrobials has become an imperative, as they have the potential to reduce the prevalence and spread of drug resistance, as well as to reduce the use of antimicrobials more broadly<sup>3</sup>.

The Decade for Vaccines' Global Vaccine Action Plan (GVAP) is approaching its mid-term review, requiring an assessment of progress against objectives since its inception in 2011, and strategic planning to achieve the stated targets within the remaining 5 years. Part of PDVAC's remit is to review the vaccine development pipeline and consider the priority activities for IVB, within this context. During the remaining timeframe, a number of vaccines could reach licensure, and WHO needs to ensure early engagement with policy makers regarding potential vaccine implementation, as well as alignment with GAVI's Vaccine Investment Strategy.

To facilitate information sharing, and tracking of progress within the global vaccine development community, the WHO has established and maintains an online 'Vaccine Pipeline Tracker' in which information regarding all current clinical studies in several different pathogen areas can be found<sup>4</sup>. In addition, landscape analyses for 25 pathogens from the 2015 meeting have been collated within a special issue of the journal 'Vaccine' and all are available through open access<sup>5</sup>. These documents are authored by independent subject matter experts and review the status of vaccine candidate development, as well as assessing possible pathways to regulatory approval.

### **Recommendations for PDVAC following Oct 2015 and April 2016 SAGE meetings**

PDVAC reports progress on the global vaccine development pipeline to WHO's Strategic Advisory Group of Experts (SAGE) on Immunization. At the most recent meeting in April 2016, advances in the development of interventions (vaccines and monoclonal antibodies) for Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) were presented for information. The reports from both SAGE meetings are available online<sup>6,7</sup>.

Much of the discussion focused on the increasing need for implementation science, as well as safety and efficacy data to support the assessment of a vaccine for policy recommendation. As emerging vaccines are likely to require new vaccination platforms, such as maternal immunization, or visits outside of the current vaccination schedule, such as for the recently licensed malaria vaccine RTS,S, cost-effectiveness data informing their optimal use and potential impact must be generated in line with conventional clinical data required for regulatory approval, to minimise the delay between vaccine licensure and uptake<sup>8</sup>.

### **The scope and objectives of the 2016 PDVAC meeting**

The goals of this third PDVAC meeting were to revisit the pathogen areas where there has been significant progress to report since recommendations from the 2015 meeting, as well as to:

- Review status of vaccine development in 7 new pathogen areas where there has been significant vaccine development progress, or where there is significant disease burden but R&D has stalled,
- Refine the workplan and strategic directions for IVB in specific pathogen areas,
- Identify cross-cutting issues that accelerate vaccine development or prepare for policy decisions,
- Where appropriate, consider how to better align PDVAC's vaccine development activities and strategies with other areas of research,
- To inform the vaccine development community regarding steps beyond vaccine licensure, and WHO processes for vaccine policy recommendation.

### **Vaccine development status and PDVAC recommendations, by pathogen**

#### **1. The Global Vaccine Action Plan (GVAP): progress towards malaria, HIV, tuberculosis and improved influenza vaccines**

The GVAP is a 10-year strategic framework derived from the Decade of Vaccines Collaboration<sup>9</sup> to prevent millions of deaths by 2020 through more equitable access to existing vaccines for people in all communities. Within this framework is a specific objective that supports research and development of innovations that will maximise the benefits of immunization, with indicators for progress towards development of HIV, malaria, tuberculosis and influenza vaccines. The GVAP is currently at its mid-term review stage, and following the 2015 recommendation

from SAGE<sup>10</sup>, the 2016 GVAP assessment will highlight advances made in these areas. These four pathogen areas are standing agenda items for discussion at PDVAC.

### 1.1 Tuberculosis

In 2014, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb) killed 1.5 million people (of whom 0.4 million were co-infected with HIV) and is now the world's most deadly infectious disease<sup>11</sup>. Approximately 480,000 cases/annum are multi-drug resistant (MDR) or extensively drug resistant (XDR) and some strains are untreatable. In 2014, six million new cases of Mtb were reported to WHO, fewer than two-thirds (63%) of the 9.6 million people estimated to have contracted the disease. This means that 37% of new cases were not detected or reported. A vaccine is imperative to achieving the End TB goals<sup>12</sup>, particularly through reaching the population who are undiagnosed and continue transmitting disease. As such, the TB vaccine development community has turned its focus to the development of vaccines targeted to adolescents and adults as the major source of Mtb transmission. Modelling studies suggest that prevention of pulmonary disease in this population from primary infection and from reinfection or reactivation of existing infections is the most effective strategy to prevent Mtb infection and disease in infants and children<sup>13</sup>. The most advanced vaccine candidates are targeting this indication, including current neonatal BCG replacement strategies that are also undergoing evaluation as a booster in later life. Several of these candidates are in proof-of-concept clinical studies and are approaching key endpoints through prevention of infection or disease, or prevention of disease due to reinfection in these target populations in the next 12-24 months<sup>14</sup>. With this in mind, PDVAC recommended that WHO prioritize and facilitate consensus building with respect to the development of strategic goal(s) and PPC(s) for vaccines targeted to adolescents and adults, in the first instance. There are several candidates and platforms in the pipeline targeting this goal in this population, and other important target populations<sup>4</sup> and PDVAC acknowledged the significant need for development for these vaccines in parallel, as well as continued efforts to understand the biological mechanism of disease to support the immunological rationalization of candidates.

### 1.2 HIV

The Pox-Protein Public Private Partnership (P5) consisting of Sanofi, GlaxoSmithKline (GSK), Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, the US Military HIV Research Program (MHRP), and the HIV Vaccine Trials Network (HVTN) have been collaborating with the US National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Disease (NIAID) to optimise and assess the efficacy of the ALVAC/heterologous prime boost approach, following the demonstration of partial efficacy in the RC144 trial in Thailand<sup>15</sup>. The interim data from a phase I/II study (HVTN 100) met its humoral and cellular immunological 'go' criteria, exceeding the RV144 responses against sub-Saharan clade C antigens. Extrapolation of these responses to those observed with RV144, suggest that the optimised vaccine could offer at least 50% protection following a 12 month booster. Based on these data, a randomised placebo controlled phase IIb/III efficacy trial (HVTN702) enrolling 5,400 subjects will be initiated in late 2016 in South Africa, and will evaluate ALVAC (clade C) prime/bivalent recombinant gp120 protein with MF59 adjuvant as a heterologous boost, as well as the effect of a booster at 12 months<sup>17</sup>. Futility analyses will be undertaken early in the 2 year follow-up period. Correlate of protection studies and assessment of cross-reactivity to other regional clades are included in the study design. Discussions with the South African Medicines Control Council (MCC) are ongoing, and licensure in South Africa could be as early as 2021.

Other vaccine candidates are in development, including Janssen's heterologous prime boost approach with Ad26/gp140, currently undergoing dose regimen selection in phase I/IIa trials.

Antibody-mediated prevention using broadly neutralizing, potent monoclonal antibody (bnMAbs) approaches are also undergoing Phase I/IIa clinical evaluation. The NIAID/Vaccine Research Centre's VRC01 broadly neutralising MAb is the most advanced candidate which has been shown to neutralise CD4 binding of 90% of viral isolates. HVTN 703 is a phase IIb study to evaluate the efficacy of VRC01 in reducing acquisition of HIV-1 infection in high risk populations in the Americas and sub-Saharan Africa, and started enrolment in 2016. If shown to be effective, administration of VRC01 could be positioned as a single dose supplement to increase effectiveness of anti-retrovirals.

PDVAC commended the advances in HIV vaccine development, and requested to be kept informed about progress with HVTN702. Currently, there are no known intentions for global studies with the P5 candidate vaccine, or to seek

WHO prequalification. PDVAC encourages the P5 partners and the South African HIV vaccine development community to keep WHO fully informed about progress with the trial. Concerns were expressed regarding the lack of follow-on studies in Thailand, given that the initial landmark RV144 trial was performed there.

### 1.3 Malaria

Despite the substantial reduction over the last 15 years (over 50% for global malaria mortality in children aged <5 years), mainly due to greater investments in malaria control, the WHO estimates there were 214 million malaria cases in 2015, 88% of these in Africa. Of the 438,000 people who died from the disease in 2015, 90% reside in Africa<sup>17</sup>. Given the increase in multi-drug and insecticide resistance, there remains an urgent need for a vaccine to combat malaria.

As reported in the 2015 PDVAC meeting summary<sup>18</sup>, the European Medicine's Agency (EMA) provided a positive scientific opinion, indicating a favorable assessment of the risk-benefit balance of RTS,S/AS01 from a regulatory perspective. In October 2015, two advisory bodies to WHO, namely SAGE and the Malaria Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC), recommended pilot implementation studies of the 4-dose schedule of the RTS,S/AS01 vaccine in 3–5 distinct epidemiological settings in sub-Saharan Africa, at sub-national level, covering moderate-to-high transmission settings, with three doses administered to children between 5 and 9 months of age, followed by a fourth dose 15–18 months later. The intent of these pilot studies is to assess:

- the feasibility of providing all four doses of RTS,S to the target age group through existing health services;
- the impact of RTS,S on child mortality;
- whether there are any safety issues, particularly evidence of any causal relationships between RTS,S administration and either meningitis or cerebral malaria (both signalled in the phase III trials),
- whether introduction of the vaccine impacts positively or negatively on existing country immunization programs and on the use of currently recommended malaria control measures.

Discussions regarding the financing of the pilot studies are ongoing.

In 2013, the Malaria Vaccine Technology Roadmap was updated to include licensure of vaccines targeting *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax* by 2030, with protective efficacy of at least 75% against clinical malaria, and that reduce transmission of the parasite and thereby substantially reduce the incidence of human malaria infection<sup>19</sup>. The vaccine candidate pipeline is robust, and includes novel antigens and platforms<sup>4</sup>. Second generation vaccines are expected to provide higher protection than RTS,S in the longer term. Optimised tools are needed to measure incremental improvements and predict potential cost effectiveness of new candidates. The development of controlled human malaria infection (CHMI) models, efforts to harmonize elements of clinical trial design and standardization of various assays continue.

PDVAC stressed the importance of the development of 2nd generation malaria vaccines in parallel to the pilot implementation programme for RTS,S, and proposed that the current version of the vaccine roadmap be updated, potentially in 2018, in light of the RTS,S implementation.

### 1.4 Improved Influenza vaccines

In 2015, PDVAC noted that development of universal influenza vaccines will be challenging and protracted, particularly due to the lack of a regulatory pathway for novel antigens that operate through induction of T-cell immunity. Rather, PDVAC recommended that there be a focus on the definition of, and the collection of data to support implementation of 'improved' seasonal flu vaccines that would offer more immediate impact in LMICs. PDVAC advised WHO to develop strategic public health goals and PPCs for improved seasonal influenza vaccines, and to provide guidance on data requirements that would be needed to establish improved performance of such vaccines.

A working group has been established, and has proposed a draft statement of unmet public health need: 'Safe and well-tolerated influenza vaccines that are effective at preventing severe influenza illness, that provide protection beyond a single year, and that are programmatically suitable for use, are needed for low- and middle-income countries.' Two draft strategic goals have also been developed: the first is to promote incremental advancement in

influenza vaccine performance (in line with the 2015 PDVAC recommendations), and the second is to promote major advances toward a more universal-like influenza vaccine (in line with Global Vaccine Action Plan goals). These strategic goals, and the draft PPC for vaccines that induce broadly protective and long-lasting immune responses will be presented at the upcoming Eighth WHO meeting on development of influenza vaccines<sup>20</sup>.

PDVAC reaffirmed the value of PPCs based on the two different approaches. There is a public health need to develop improved performance of currently available seasonal vaccines to offer protection over multiple seasons, and against drifted strains, with a view to generating shorter timelines to achieving availability and access in LMICs. As part of this effort, it will be necessary to define the criteria needed to demonstrate clinical benefit, and additional data requirements to support policy recommendations. Efforts to develop 'universal' vaccines that target conserved antigens, or conserved components of antigens, should continue in parallel, with a focus on identifying correlates of protection to support a regulatory pathway for this novel class of vaccines.

## 2. Enteric vaccine candidates

Diarrhea remains the second-highest killer of children due to infectious disease. Although mortality has declined over the past four decades, morbidity has not declined significantly, despite improvements in water and sanitation and benefits from oral rehydration therapy. There are nearly 2.7 billion cases of diarrheal disease every year, many with acute and chronic effects such as growth stunting and cognitive impairment. These long term sequelae significantly impact quality of life and economic potential, and are estimated to affect one-fifth of children globally. In 2015, PDVAC recommended that WHO expand its remit to include support for enteric vaccine development, particularly against Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) and *Shigella*.

### 2.1 Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) and *Shigella*

One of the main objectives of the planned WHO engagement in this area will be to ensure that the design of the phase III efficacy study, including definition of primary/secondary endpoints and long-term follow up, and the data generated, will be relevant to support a policy recommendation from SAGE. Activity is expected to begin in 4Q2016. Another key objective will be to develop a WHO Preferred Product Characteristics document which outlines WHO preferences, including considerations for development towards a potential combined vaccine.

Several vaccines are in development, with two ETEC candidates and seven *Shigella* candidates currently in clinical studies. For ETEC, the most advanced vaccine is ETVAX adjuvanted with dmLT, which is being developed for both a pediatric and traveller's indication. A phase I/II dose escalation, age de-escalation study in children is currently ongoing in Bangladesh, with intent to further age de-escalate into 6 week-old infants in late 2016. In parallel, a phase IIb study in travellers is planned to begin in 2017. Based on an encouraging phase IIb immunization and challenge study and additional positive protection studies in non-human primates (NHPs), an adhesin-based subunit ETEC vaccine (FTA) is moving forward with an accelerated clinical program designed to move a complete multi-valent vaccine into descending age field trials in 2020.

The most advanced *Shigella* candidate is Trivalent *Shigella* killed whole cell (TSWC) composed of formalin-inactivated *S. flexneri* 2a, *S. flexneri* 3a, and *S. sonnei*, expected to offer coverage across about 80% of isolates. A phase I study has been completed and a challenge trial with *S. flexneri* 2a prototype will begin in 4Q2016, followed by a study that will assess co-administration with ETVAX in 1Q2017. Both ETVAX and TSWC are being developed for oral administration.

Other promising *Shigella* vaccines in early stage clinical testing include two live attenuated vaccines, WRRS1 and ShigETEC. WRRS1 is in a descending age study in Bangladesh, while ShigETEC, which is a combination *Shigella*-ETEC combination vaccine, will begin a phase I study in early 2017. Three subunit approaches for *Shigella* are also in phase I/II studies; the prototype *S. flexneri* 2a bioconjugate vaccine (Flexyn2a), InvaPLex and the Generalized Module for Membrane Antigens (GMMA).

One of the critical strategic issues is whether to prioritize the licensure and approval of an ETEC vaccine, or to focus on the development of a combination with *Shigella* that will likely delay the timeline to vaccine availability. Epidemiologic data suggest that both intra- and inter-country disease heterogeneity is likely to exist and this may drive vaccine preferences, and presentation optimization. These data are critical to inform decision-making by country policymakers. For this reason, development of WHO derived preferred product characteristics for ETEC and *Shigella* vaccines, alone and in combination is needed.

## 2.2 Norovirus

In April 2016, PLOS released a collection on 'The Global Burden of Norovirus & Prospects for Vaccine Development'<sup>21</sup>, which includes the most current estimates on global Norovirus disease burden of over 200,000 deaths in low resource countries, and a global economic burden of more than \$60 billion<sup>22</sup>. Recent molecular analyses of samples from the community based longitudinal birth cohort MAL-ED study suggest that norovirus is the most common diarrheal pathogen in the first year of life, and the second most common in the second year of life.

There are 5 vaccine candidates in development, including three strategies to develop a combination vaccine against other enteric pathogens. However, only one candidate, which is composed of two VLPs based on the GI.1 and GII.4 norovirus genotypes, has entered clinical studies, a Phase IIb study began recently<sup>23</sup>. The advent of cell culture methods for norovirus will facilitate many advancements, including the optimization of a neutralization assay and enable the assessment of antisera against this vaccine to block binding of a diverse genotypes. In addition, in response to the 2015 PDVAC recommendation to consider incorporating norovirus surveillance within the WHO Global Rotavirus Surveillance Network, a survey of the capability and capacity at representative global sites has been performed to support a pilot study proposal.

The recently published epidemiology and burden of disease data indicate that norovirus fulfils the PDVAC criterion of unmet public health for a vaccine in LMICs. However, the ability of the candidates in the pipeline to offer protection over the range of circulating and emerging viral genotypes, and therefore the duration of protection of these vaccines, is currently unknown. It is conceivable that the vaccine will need to be periodically re-formulated, to include emerging genotypes. In addition to infants as a priority target population, adults and particularly the elderly are at risk, requiring the potential need for two vaccine formulations and/or presentations. Fortunately, at the current time, development of a norovirus vaccine that may offer efficacy in the context of low and middle income countries is proceeding with investment from the private sector, however an assessment of vaccine programmatic suitability and applicability to prequalification is needed, prior to Phase III trials to ensure the vaccine is appropriate for use in LMICs, assuming it is demonstrated to offer coverage over circulating genotypes within LMICs.

## 2.3 Second generation rotavirus

Rotavirus is the leading cause of severe diarrhea among all children below 5 years of age worldwide, causing 20-40% of severe diarrheal hospitalisations, and is associated with significant mortality, with the latest mortality estimates at 215,000 deaths in 2013<sup>24</sup>. The introduction of the live-attenuated oral rotavirus vaccines, RotaTeq and Rotarix, in 2008 has had significant direct and indirect impact in countries where they are in use, including saving lives and reducing hospitalizations. However, in GAVI-eligible and LMIC countries in Asia and Africa the vaccine effectiveness is lower, with protective efficacy observed from 40-70% against severe rotavirusdiarrhea over the first year of life. Waning of protection has also been observed in these settings, with lower protection rates (25-50%) in the second year of life. In comparison, in high-income countries protection is higher (70-90%) and persists into the second year of life.

Thus, despite the enormous success of the live oral rotavirus vaccines, several challenges and issues remain such as the lower protection in GAVI-eligible and LMIC countries in Africa and Asia, together with the high cost of available vaccines. Despite an overall acceptable safety profile, the intussusception rate seems to be slightly increased by vaccination (occurrence 1 to 3 /100 000 oral Rotavirus vaccine recipients) in high income countries.

Several new oral, live-attenuated vaccines, composed of alternative strains, are in mid- to late-stage clinical development. The current WHO guidance document for the quality, safety and efficacy of oral live attenuated



rotavirus vaccines<sup>25</sup> would be applicable for these next generation oral, live-attenuated vaccines. Of these new oral rotavirus vaccines, Rotavac 20C (developed by BBIL) is the only vaccine currently licensed for use in children, having been approved for use in India in 2014. This vaccine is available on the private market in India and staged roll out in public health system is planned in four states in India. Another live rotavirus vaccine is being evaluated in a randomised placebo controlled trials in India (NCT02133690) and in Niger (NCT02145000).

Efforts are underway to develop non-replicating rotavirus vaccines (NRRV) as second generation rotavirus vaccines, which may avoid the risk of intussusception. The most advanced candidate is P2-VP8\*, a trivalent truncated VP8\* of rotavirus genotypes P[8], P[4] and P[6], currently in phase II clinical testing with a parenteral route of administration (NCT02646891).

For both NRRVs and additional oral, live-attenuated vaccines in development, PDVAC encouraged the rationalization of target product profiles for these new candidates, to clearly articulate the distinguishing/advantageous features over the existing vaccines, i.e. cost, safety, efficacy in LMIC, stability, breadth of protection etc. The potential for any of these vaccine candidates to be included in combination with other emerging enteric vaccines will clearly be advantageous and should be encouraged and explorations of combination with IPV could be considered.

#### 2.4 *Clostridium difficile*

*Clostridium difficile* is the leading cause of healthcare-associated diarrhoeal disease in the high-income countries, and is strongly associated with increasing age and frailty, immunodeficiency and in particular, modification of the normal flora through antibiotic use. The results of infection range from asymptomatic carriage through mild infection to severe diarrheal disease, with complications including pseudomembranous colitis and toxic megacolon. In the US alone, it is believed to have caused approximately 0.5 million infections and 29,000 deaths in 2012<sup>26</sup>. Current interventions include antibiotic treatments, but their use can trigger relapse on withdrawal. Data on the burden of disease in LMICs is lacking, however hospital based studies in India, Thailand and South Korea suggest that the *C.difficile* infection is widespread, and global (Douce, manuscript in preparation).

There is a correlation between toxin neutralising antibody in human serum and disease protection; antibodies against toxin A are associated with protection against acute diarrhoea, whilst immune responses to toxin B appear to be effective against severe disease and relapse. Toxin-mediated disease is recapitulated in the Syrian Golden Hamster, which is the standard preclinical model for demonstration of proof of concept. Currently there are three vaccines in clinical development. A toxoid vaccine candidate (containing toxins A and B) recently completed a phase II study in healthy adults and demonstrated induction of high levels of neutralizing antibodies<sup>27</sup> and a phase III study has been initiated. A genetically modified, detoxified whole cell vaccine has also completed phase II, although results have not yet been reported. In phase I, the vaccine was shown to be safe and induced toxin-specific neutralizing antibodies that were sustained for 12 months<sup>28</sup>. The third candidate is an adjuvanted recombinant protein encoding binding domains of both toxins, and the results of a phase I trial has been reported<sup>29</sup>, and a phase II study has been completed. Passive immunity by administration of a monoclonal antibody is also in Phase III evaluation (NCT01513239 and NCT01241552).

PDVAC agreed that the role for WHO in facilitating *C.difficile* vaccine development is not clear given the lack of data regarding the disease burden in LMICs. However, it would be useful to understand the potential effectiveness of a vaccine in low resource contexts, and PDVAC raised the possibility of testing existing samples from the GEMS and MAL-ED studies for the presence of *C.difficile*. In addition, it would be helpful to assess the impact that these vaccines may have on reducing the use, and cost of antibiotics, and to consider this in the value proposition for vaccine decision-making.

#### 2.5 *Helicobacter pylori* (*H.pylori*)

*H.pylori* is a highly motile, Gram-negative bacterium that infects the mucus layer lining the stomach. Infection typically occurs in childhood, although symptoms and clinical disease develop in only a minority of infected individuals during their lifetime. *H.pylori* is associated with gastritis, which causes several pathologies including gastric peptic and duodenal ulcer disease. Most significantly, long term infection can result in gastric

adenocarcinoma (GA) in later years of life; ~65-90% of GA cases are due to *H. pylori* infection. GA is the 3rd leading cause of death due to cancer, globally (~723,000 deaths in 2012, 8.8% of all cancers)<sup>30</sup>. The global prevalence of *H. pylori* is believed to be approximately 50% with the highest mortality rates in East Asia and Eastern Europe.

The route of transmission is poorly characterised but the oral-oral route appears to be a common mechanism, as well as vertical transmission from mother to child. If untreated, most *H. pylori* infections are sustained for life, and ~15% of those infected are thought to develop an associated pathology. If diagnosed, *H. pylori* infections are currently treatable with combination antimicrobial therapies. However antibiotic resistance is increasing, with ~20% of patients in some countries currently failing first treatment and 5% failing two rounds of therapy. Antimicrobial treatment offers no protection against reinfection.

The choice of indication for an *H. pylori* vaccine is challenging: a prophylactic vaccine would likely need to be given to children in the first few years of life (to reach the maximum number of the target group while uninfected) but would need to offer long term protection to demonstrate clinical benefit against GA. An effective therapeutic vaccine however could be given at almost any age and would ideally be given by the 4th decade of life, prior to the peak of GA development which typically occurs from 50 years of age. The most advanced candidate is a urease toxin fusion approach and has completed phase III trials in children, in China, and demonstrated 71.8% efficacy against natural acquisition of infection<sup>31</sup>. However, next steps for this vaccine are not clear. Several other candidates are in preclinical development with one close to phase I studies.

PDVAC concluded that the burden of *H. pylori* is significant but that it will be logistically and technically challenging to demonstrate clinical benefit of a prophylactic vaccine given early in life, when there are rarely clinical symptoms of infection. Therapeutic candidates are currently too upstream in development for there to be a role for PDVAC.

### **3. Vaccines to be administered by maternal immunization**

Maternal immunization is increasingly considered as a strategy to prevent maternal and/or neonatal disease. This approach has been proven to protect against maternal and neonatal tetanus and has been in place for decades. WHO recommends influenza and pertussis vaccination of pregnant women to prevent disease in mothers and newborns, respectively. However, for the first time there are now vaccines in development, specifically indicated for immunization of pregnant women as the target population. RSV vaccines are most advanced in this area followed by Group B Streptococcal vaccines.

Since the 2015 PDVAC meeting, a special journal issue dedicated to the issues regarding the maternal immunization vaccination strategy has been published and a great deal of work is underway to strengthen the maternal immunization platform<sup>32</sup>.

#### **3.1 Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV)**

Due to the advanced stage of RSV vaccine and monoclonal antibody development, RSV was presented to SAGE for information in April 2016. RSV causes 33.8 million episodes of lower respiratory infection (LRI) annually in children and approximately 200,000 deaths, 99% of which are in LMICs<sup>33</sup>. Recently updated estimates for RSV acute and severe LRI (community based and hospitalized) disease and deaths will be published by the RSV Global Epidemiology Network (RSV-GEN) later this year. In addition, the pneumonia etiology research for child health (PERCH) study will present and publish results this year on the etiology of severe and very severe pneumonia in hospitalized infants and children in 9 sites in Africa and Asia. Preliminary data analyses indicate that RSV was the leading pathogen in infants with severe pneumonia in this study.

There are four RSV intervention strategies currently in development: 1) maternal immunization to enable passive transfer of maternal antibodies to the foetus *in utero*, 2) birth or early infant passive immunization with a long-acting monoclonal antibody, 3) active paediatric immunization and 4) vaccination of the elderly. The most advanced maternal immunization candidate begun phase III efficacy testing in late 2015 following the demonstration of induction of palivizumab competing antibodies (measured by ELISA) in women of childbearing age (PMID: 26259809)

and pregnant women. This efficacy trial has a group sequential design and will enroll 5,000 – 8,255 participants in a randomised placebo controlled trial across multiple sites in both the Northern and Southern hemispheres, and is expected to take 2-4 years to complete.

Monoclonal antibody development for the prevention of RSV in pediatrics is the next most advanced, with an extended half-life candidate (MEDI8897) that has been shown to be more potent in vitro than the currently licensed palivizumab. One dose may offer protection for up to 6 months. A phase IIb clinical study in infants born at 29-35 week gestation is planned, and the FDA recently granted fast-track designation for this product. Since the palivizumab patent recently expired, WHO in collaboration with the University of Utrecht will develop a 'biosimilar' of palivizumab and reduce costs for LMIC markets through high yield production and a novel financing plan<sup>34</sup>. The estimated price is \$US 250 per child for the full 5 month dose series and the first market authorization is expected in late 2017.

Pediatric RSV vaccine candidates are the least advanced, however two adenovirus-based approaches have entered the clinic since the last PDVAC meeting. A chimp adenovirus (ChAd) candidate is currently in phase I testing in adults, to be followed by age de-escalation into seropositive, and ultimately seronegative infants. Ad26 and Ad35 are also being evaluated as a heterologous prime-boost regimen, currently in phase I testing in adults. A number of pediatric vaccine candidates developed by the Laboratory of Infectious Diseases, NIH are in phase I trials in infants and children. Of note, a vaccine containing a deletion of the M2-2 gene showed evidence of diminished replication, enhanced immunogenicity, and asymptomatic 'boosting' (anamnestic response) following naturally acquired RSV infection (PMID: 26537255).

Two vaccine candidates are in clinical development for the elderly with a post-fusion based adjuvanted nanoparticle in phase III efficacy testing, with data expected in late 2016/early 2017.

PDVAC fully supported the following SAGE recommendations and called for WHO and partners to develop plans to support global policy-making for RSV maternal immunization as well as passive immunization with long-acting mAb, following licensure. Particular areas of emphasis include: 1) RSV surveillance to determine seasonality and age-stratified RSV disease burden and community morbidity and mortality, especially in Africa and south-east Asia 2) assessment of the long term effects of RSV interventions and the potential impact of vaccination on reducing recurrent wheeze, which, if demonstrated, would substantially increase the cost-effectiveness and impact of RSV preventive interventions 3) generation of cost-effectiveness and impact data. SAGE also emphasized the need for strengthening of the maternal immunization platform in collaboration with the influenza, tetanus and pertussis vaccine communities, along with preparations for potential country introductions of RSV vaccine.

There is an urgent need to establish a WHO prequalification pathway for monoclonal antibodies, which does not currently exist. As a RSV vaccine or extended half-life monoclonal Ab may become available in the next 5 years, it will also be imperative to initiate early discussions with financing bodies, and to align with the GAVI Vaccine Investment Strategy (VIS) to avoid delay in achieving the potential major public health impact of RSV immunization if recommended for use by WHO.

### *3.2 Group B Streptococcus (GBS)*

Globally, (GBS) remains the leading cause of sepsis and meningitis in young infants, with its greatest burden in the first 90 days of life. Intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis (IAP) for women at risk of transmitting GBS to their newborns has been effective in reducing the young infant GBS disease burden in many high income countries, but IAP uptake is limited and difficult to implement in LMICs. Immunization of pregnant women with a GBS vaccine represents an alternative pathway to protecting newborns and young infants from GBS disease, through transplacental antibody transfer to the fetus in utero.

PDVAC prioritized GBS in 2015 and encouraged WHO to engage on developing guidance on the development pathway for GBS vaccines, including development of a PPC guidance document and a vaccine roadmap. In April 2016, WHO convened its first consultation on GBS vaccine development<sup>35</sup>. The focus was on GBS maternal

immunization development programs targeting LMIC with the ultimate goal of reducing global newborn and young infant deaths. The major knowledge gaps about the disease burden characterization were identified. Recent data suggesting that GBS is an under-reported cause of stillbirth may have profound implications on the estimate of the global public health impact of a future GBS vaccine. The relationship between GBS colonization and prematurity should also be clarified. Disease surveillance in HIC also suggest an important residual unmet medical need, despite implementation of IAP.

Two major pharmaceutical companies are currently developing a multivalent polysaccharide conjugate vaccine, based on the available evidence of an association between trans-placental maternal-foetal transfer of antibodies targeting polysaccharides of the GBS envelope, acquired as a consequence of natural exposure, and a reduced risk of invasive infant disease. A vaccine incorporating five of the eleven described GBS serotypes is predicted to cover over 95% of the global circulating serotypes, but the risk of serotype replacement is unknown. An alternative approach is targeting surface expressed proteins, in an attempt to confer broad protection across all serotypes.

Epidemiological studies evaluating the role of maternal antibodies acquired following natural exposure will determine whether a protective threshold at birth can serve as an acceptable vaccine-induced correlate of protection. Until additional epidemiological and immunological data are available, estimating vaccine efficacy against invasive GBS disease in neonates and young infants in a double blind placebo-controlled vaccine trial remains the gold standard for generating the evidence required to determine potential public health impact and inform policy decision-making.

PDVAC endorsed the consensus-based prioritisation of future activities including the development of a PPC and vaccine development technology roadmap. Efforts should be made to raise awareness of the burden of GBS disease and potential public health value of a GBS vaccine, particularly in countries that lack local epidemiological data. As with RSV, efforts must be made to leverage and strengthen the maternal immunization platform by alignment with other vaccines that are administered in pregnancy, including the Brighton Collaboration's considerations for safety monitoring through the Global Alignment of Immunization Safety Assessment in Pregnancy (GAIA)<sup>36</sup>.

#### **4. Vaccines that may reduce Antimicrobial drug resistance (AMR)**

Antimicrobial-resistant infections currently claim at least 50,000 lives each year across Europe and the US alone, but AMR affects many hundreds of thousands in other areas of the world<sup>2</sup>. In 15 European countries, more than 10% of bloodstream *Staphylococcus aureus* infections are caused by methicillin-resistant strains (MRSA), with several of these countries seeing resistance rates closer to 50%. Emerging resistance to treatments for other diseases, such as TB, malaria and HIV, have enormous impacts in lower-income settings, and by 2050, the death toll due to AMR infections in Africa is predicted to be approx. 4,000,000 per year. As mentioned above, each year almost 0.5 million cases of drug-resistant TB are reported, and these are extremely costly to treat; an MDR case costs 8-15 fold more to treat than drug a sensitive case, while an XDR case is 25-32 fold more expensive<sup>37</sup>. The WHO estimates that approximately \$8 billion per year is required to support TB care and control efforts in LMICs. This is significantly more than the current investment in TB vaccine development programs.

At the Sixty-eighth World Health Assembly in May 2015, a global action plan to tackle antimicrobial resistance, including antibiotic resistance, was adopted<sup>38</sup>. Its goal is to ensure continuity of successful treatment and prevention of infectious diseases with effective and safe medicines – including vaccines - that are quality-assured, used in a responsible way, and accessible to all who need them. The recommendations include increasing the use of existing vaccines, developing vaccines against high burden diseases currently treated systematically with antibiotics and prioritizing the development of vaccines for diseases where resistance is becoming a problem. Emerging AMR reduces the available treatment options for many pathogens in addition to those mentioned below, many of which are mentioned elsewhere in this report.

##### **4.1 Group A *Streptococcus* (GAS)**

GAS is a ubiquitous human pathogen that causes a broad disease spectrum, from mild to severe, the most serious of which is rheumatic heart disease (RHD). RHD affects approximately 30 million people globally, of whom 1 million experience heart failure and an estimated 300,000 die. GAS is also a major cause of invasive disease, with a case

fatality rate of 10-15% in high income countries, and as high as 38% in LMICs<sup>39</sup>. On the milder end of the spectrum, GAS causes approx. 615 million cases of pharyngitis per year, resulting in 60-70% of cases being treated with broad spectrum antibiotics, rather than penicillin (9% of cases), to which GAS is universally susceptible. This extensive use of unnecessary and inappropriate antibiotics increases the likelihood of AMR emergence against antibiotics that are used to treat a range of pathogens.

Previous human challenge studies, as well as preclinical animal models suggest that it is feasible to develop a vaccine against GAS, and since the previous PDVAC meeting, phase I studies for one candidate has been initiated in adults, and two additional candidates are expected to enter phase I studies in the next 12 months. Despite this encouraging progress, significant debate remains as to the appropriate indication and optimal clinical endpoints, and the regulatory pathway for a vaccine to prevent or reduce RHD is unclear. In addition, there is a perception that increased prescription of penicillin would be an equally as effective and a significantly more cost effective method of reducing conditions that result from GAS infection. These issues are likely major stumbling blocks in incentivising investment in GAS vaccine development.

GAS has been prioritized by PDVAC previously, with a recommendation to develop a business case for both a global market, and also specifically for LMICs which would focus on prevention of severe outcomes in resource poor settings. Despite significant effort, it has been very difficult to engage stakeholders in this activity. PDVAC recommends that WHO convenes a consultation to examine the value proposition for GAS vaccines, considering its potential impact across both high income and lower income settings – including the consideration of how current treatment regimens may increase AMR, as well as to investigate the perceived regulatory obstacles.

#### 4.2 *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*)

*S. aureus* is a bacterium that is found as both an asymptomatic colonizer of the skin and nares of human hosts, as well as a frequent cause of human disease. It causes a spectrum of clinical manifestations of varying severity, and is the most commonly isolated pathogen from skin and soft-tissue infections, septic arthritis, pneumonia, endovascular infections, osteomyelitis, catheter/ other foreign-body infections, septicaemia, and toxic shock syndrome. Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) has been documented to be emerging at a rapid and increasing rate since the antibiotic was first introduced in 1959, and hospital-associated MRSA (HA-MRSA) clones are now recognized to be the leading cause of nosocomial infections both in the United States and around the world, in high income as well as LMICs. The emergence of community-associated MRSA (CA-MRSA) in the past several decades is of concern, as is the emergence of highly resistant vancomycin-resistant *S. aureus* (VRSA).

To date, active and passive immunization approaches have been based on increasing the concentration of opsonic antibodies to single surface antigens, and all have failed to demonstrate protection. Antigenic variation, the multiple invasion pathways and lack of a surrogate of protection all present significant obstacles to vaccine development. Following the failure of single antigen vaccine approaches, most development efforts are now focused on multiple antigens, and a number of candidates are in preclinical development. One multi antigen approach, comprised of 4 antigens including two capsule polysaccharides (clumping factor A and a manganese transport) is the most advanced<sup>40</sup>. Current efforts are also focused on further characterizing the immunopathology and immunity of *S. aureus* infections to identify new antigenic targets, and developing more representative preclinical models in which opsonising and/or neutralising immune responses are measured.

To date none of the vaccine candidates in development have contemplated target populations or indications that are prevalent in LMICs. Focus has been on development of a vaccine that will protect against life-threatening *S. aureus* infections in high income countries, but it is hoped that such a vaccine would also protect against all *S. aureus* infections including more commonly encountered skin and soft tissue infections, and therefore be applicable in LMIC contexts.

### 5. Sexually transmitted infections (STIs)

Since the 2015 PDVAC meeting, a new Global Health Sector Strategy on Sexually Transmitted Infections has been developed for 2016–2021 and adopted by WHO member states at the 69th World Health Assembly. Within this strategic framework, STI vaccine development was highlighted as key need for future STI control<sup>41</sup>. In addition, the

global roadmap for vaccines against STIs has been updated and included in the WHO Special Issue on pipeline vaccines published in *Vaccine*<sup>42</sup>. Currently, the only STI vaccine candidates that are undergoing or approaching clinical development are against herpes simplex virus (HSV) and *Chlamydia trachomatis*, and as such discussion was limited to these pathogens.

### 5.1 Herpes Simplex Virus

HSV is the leading cause of genital ulcer disease, and a particular concern for LMICs as it increases both acquisition and transmission of HIV infection. HSV type 2 and type 1 disease burden estimates were recently updated<sup>43,44</sup>, and it is estimated more than half a billion people live with genital HSV infection, worldwide. PDVAC previously recommended that improved global estimates of neonatal herpes burden be generated, and assessment of available data has recently been completed with preliminary estimates of >14,000 new cases globally, an incidence rate of approx. 10/100,000 births, which is concerning because of a case fatality rate of 60% (Looker, submitted). The incidence is likely to be under-estimated in LMICs where HSV infection rates are highest and poor healthcare infrastructure means that neonatal herpes cases are likely to be undetected, but primary data are lacking. Ongoing evaluation of HSV infection as part of the Child Health and Mortality Prevention Surveillance (CHAMPS) network will help to address this burden gap.

At the 2015 meeting, the advance of therapeutic vaccine candidates for HSV-2 was highlighted, and the role of these types of vaccines in modulating the interaction between HSV and HIV acquisition was discussed as an important consideration for these vaccines in LMICs. In consideration of this, and with WHO support, a systematic review/meta-analysis of HSV-2 and risk of HIV acquisition including 54 studies will inform modelling of the potential impact of an HSV-2 vaccine on HIV incidence, and is expected to be published in late 2016. A review of biological mechanisms of HSV-HIV interaction and implications for vaccine development has also been drafted. The pipeline for therapeutic vaccines remains robust, with 5 candidates in clinical development, the most advanced of which now has data demonstrating significant reductions in HSV2 shedding (55%) and days with genital lesions (60%) over 12 months<sup>45</sup>. In response to these positive data, NIAID has formed an HSV working group to propose desired characteristics for therapeutic and prophylactic vaccines for HSV, including indication, priority target populations, clinical trial endpoints, and safety and efficacy criteria. This document could form the foundation for a WHO consultative process to generate a guidance document on Preferred Product Characteristics (PPC).

PDVAC encouraged WHO to actively collaborate and support development of PPCs for HSV vaccines. The PDVAC committee itself will potentially expand to include an additional subject matter expert in the STI arena to help guide development of vaccines against these pathogens.

### 5.2 Chlamydia

*Chlamydia trachomatis* is a Gram-negative bacterium that can infect genital, ocular and lung epithelium. It includes three sets of serovars:

- Serovars Ab, B, Ba, or C — cause ocular trachoma, which can lead to blindness
- Serovars D-K — cause sexually transmitted infection resulting in urethritis, cervicitis, pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) (and associated infertility, ectopic pregnancy, and chronic pelvic pain), neonatal pneumonia, and neonatal conjunctivitis
- Serovars L1, L2 and L3 — cause lymphogranuloma venereum

*C. trachomatis* can ascend to the upper genital tract and cause pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), which can in turn lead to long-term sequelae including tubal factor infertility, ectopic pregnancy, and chronic pelvic pain. Other adverse outcomes of chlamydia include preterm birth, neonatal conjunctivitis and pneumonia, and increased HIV risk. Currently management is through screening programmes in some high income countries that are not feasible in resource constrained settings, where most cases are likely never diagnosed. WHO estimates that there were 131 million new cases of chlamydia in 2012<sup>46</sup> with most cases among adolescents and young adults. The global burden of chlamydia-associated PID, infertility and other sequelae has not been well characterized and estimates of the proportion of infertility presumed to be associated with genital infection (e.g., have a Fallopian tube etiology) in Africa are outdated<sup>47</sup>, but are thought to be approx. 65-85% in women seeking fertility care.

There are several of vaccine candidates currently in preclinical development, with a subunit vaccine based on the chlamydial major outer membrane protein (MOMP) and live-attenuated (plasmid-deficient) approaches being the most advanced. Phase I trials are due to commence in 2016 and 2017, respectively. The intended goal of a chlamydia vaccine is to decrease upper genital tract sequelae, however PID is challenging to use as clinical endpoint as it is difficult to definitively diagnose and the causes of PID are multi-factorial (typically the result of *C. trachomatis* in 1/3 of cases). The chlamydia vaccine community is seeking guidance and consensus building on clinical endpoints for clinical studies, including evaluating the potential role of biomarkers, radiologic, and other measures of upper tract ascension, infection, inflammation and damage. Improved global burden of disease data and vaccine impact modelling on long term sequelae are also needed to define the investment case for these vaccines. PDVAC commended the progress towards the first vaccine study against chlamydia since the 1960s and look forward to discussing the path ahead once the early clinical data are available.

## **6. Currently under-utilised licensed vaccines**

This section refers to vaccines that have been licensed, or are approaching licensure in some areas of the world, but are currently limited in their use outside any single WHO region. In some instances, the vaccines may have the potential of offering broader public health impact by expanding approval and use in other geographical regions, and PDVAC is seeking to understand the perspective in this regard.

### **6.1 Enterovirus 71 (EV71)**

EV71 is one of the most common causes of hand-foot-and-mouth disease (HFMD). Sporadic EV71 outbreaks have occurred globally since it was first isolated in 1969, but from the late 1990s a series of large HFMD epidemics caused by EV71 have been reported in the Asia-Pacific region. In China alone, 7.2 million cases were reported between 2008 and 2012, of which 2,457 (0.03%) were fatal<sup>48</sup>. Children less than 5 years of age have the highest risk of disease, and although infection is unusually mild and self-limiting, severe infections can result in neurological and cardiopulmonary complications, and death.

Several EV71 vaccine candidates are in development, and it was stated at the meeting that the Chinese national regulatory authority has licensed three EV71 vaccines. One of these is Sinovac's formalin-inactivated EV71 vaccine that has been approved for use on a 2-dose schedule, one month apart, to prevent EV71 disease in 6-71 month olds based on a Phase III study that demonstrated 95% efficacy over 12 mo<sup>49</sup>. The Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Beijing Vigoo have also licensed inactivated EV71 vaccines in China (NCT01508247). All three vaccines are adjuvanted with aluminum hydroxide.

Given that EV71 outbreaks occur in other areas of the world (recently reported in Spain<sup>50</sup>), further discussions are warranted in the international health community about how to assess the role of the Chinese vaccines during outbreaks outside China.

## **7. Emerging pathogens and the WHO R&D Blueprint**

In the wake of the 2014-15 Ebola outbreak, various strategies were proposed to avoid such crises from reoccurring. Key to improving R&D preparedness and response is determining which pathogens are likely to be the greatest threat, creating consensus with respect to product development strategies and co-ordinating global funding for complementary R&D efforts going forward. To tackle these questions, and at the request of its 194 Member States, WHO convened a broad global coalition to develop the R&D Blueprint<sup>51</sup> as a sustainable platform for accelerated R&D, with two complementary objectives:

- to develop (and implement) a roadmap for R&D preparedness for known priority pathogens, and
- to enable roll-out of an emergency R&D response as early and as efficiently as possible

The main approaches underpinning the improvement of preparedness within the R&D Blueprint include:

1. Assessing epidemic threats & defining priority pathogens
2. Developing R&D roadmaps to accelerate evaluation of diagnostics, therapeutics & vaccines
3. Outlining appropriate regulatory & ethical pathways

WHO has defined its priority list of pathogens within the published Blueprint. PDVAC has a contributory role within this framework, and when WHO declares a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC), PDVAC may be tasked with forming a working group to facilitate development of guidance tools for the vaccine development community in the context of the emergency. For example, the current status of Ebola virus vaccine and Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) vaccine development was reviewed by PDVAC at this and previous meetings, and a PDVAC Working Group is developing a WHO Zika vaccine Target Product Profile.

### 7.1 MERS-CoV

As reported in the 2015 meeting summary, a consultation to initiate work towards a MERS CoV roadmap was held in December 2015 with aims of defining the key basic and applied research activities, identifying the priority technologies and capacities to support vaccine development, and finally understanding the financing/procurement opportunities. Following this meeting, a draft roadmap was developed and underwent public consultation prior to finalisation and publication<sup>52</sup>.

### 7.2 Ebola virus

It is well accepted that the extraordinary rate of Ebola virus vaccine development was as a result of unprecedented collaboration and co-ordination of global vaccine R&D activities, and the availability of a number of candidate vaccines that could enter clinical phase evaluation<sup>53</sup>. In the face of another PHEIC so soon following Ebola virus disease (EVD) outbreak, the global vaccine community is rallying, and reflecting on lessons learned from the experience in West Africa only 2 years ago. At the time of responding to the EVD emergency, the availability of well-characterised pre-clinical models and robust data was essential for the comparative evaluation and selection of candidates to move into clinical studies. Novel recombinant viral vector platforms, in combination with recombination proteins have been validated by EVD experience and it could be argued are now less risky for development of vaccine against future pathogens, but manufacturing feasibility and scale-up capabilities still need to be confirmed for the most novel platforms. Critically, sustainable public sector push and pull investment mechanisms beyond the initial emergency response phase need to be created, to incentivise manufacturers to engage in the long term commitment to developing and licensing vaccines that may only be used in outbreak or emergency scenarios.

PDVAC noted that there is now a call to develop a target product profile for a second generation Ebola virus vaccine that will likely cover several valencies, and will need to demonstrate longer duration of protection. WHO is developing a second generation EVD vaccine TPP to provide guidance about WHO's preferences and minimally acceptable criteria for vaccines in this area. During the discussion it was clarified that WHO TPPs include minimally acceptable criteria, whereas Preferred Product Characteristics specify only preferences.

### 7.3 Zika virus (ZIKV)

The status of Zika virus epidemiology, and the understanding of its pathogenesis and associated sequelae is evolving so rapidly that publications on these issues are almost immediately out of date. In preparation for PDVAC, a landscape analyses on ZIKV vaccine development status and prospects was revised (Barrett, in press, 2016). PDVAC's role has been to oversee a working group that has developed a target product profile (TPP) for use *in an emergency*, or future outbreak scenario. The TPP was made available for public consultation from 4th-23<sup>rd</sup> May, after which subject matter experts, global regulators, developers and manufacturers were convened to discuss the regulatory considerations for developing a vaccine with the characteristics described in the TPP. The finalised TPP and position paper arising from the meeting are in preparation and will be made publically available<sup>54</sup>.

## 8. Cross-cutting product development and implementation issues

In addition to reviewing the status of vaccine development against pathogens, PDVAC considered a number of cross-cutting issues that could better integrate and therefore facilitate product development efforts for vaccines and other interventions.

### 8.1 Novel vaccine delivery technologies

In addition to the significant morbidity and mortality that drives the development of vaccines against pathogens for which vaccines are currently not available, the WHO estimates that there are approximately 1.5 million deaths per year in children under 5 from vaccine preventable diseases<sup>55,56</sup>. One of the reasons for this striking immunization gap



is the cost and logistical challenges of delivery of these vaccines, over and above the cost of their manufacture. The remit of WHO's Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (IPAC) is to provide strategic advice on immunization practices, tools, and technologies intended to improve the delivery of immunization programmes at the country level. It oversees the recently formed delivery technologies working group (DTWG) composed of public health organizations, funders and procurement agencies as well as vaccine developers to evaluate R&D in novel delivery technologies and devices, for example the microarray patch, and compact, pre-filled auto-disable injection technologies (cPAD). Of particular focus for this group is the development and evaluation of a framework to analyze high-level trade-offs between important variables such as development, procurement and supply chain costs, coverage, efficacy, and safety in order to facilitate investment decisions by product developers, vaccine manufacturers, global policy makers, in-country decision makers and procurement agencies. This framework is referred to as total systems effectiveness (TSE). The intent of this delivery technology working group is to offer a platform for discussion and guidance regarding vaccine preferences for LMICs, early on in development, so that ultimately the vaccine is suitable for programmatic use. The DTWG reports directly to IPAC, but has potential overlap with activities that are overseen by PDVAC, particularly in consideration of second generation vaccines or new vaccines that may be developed with an alternative presentation to that of a needle and syringe.

PDVAC was supportive of the DTWG and encouraged continued communication between vaccine development and device/delivery technology development to identify potential opportunities novel combination product development.

### *8.2 The need for a WHO monoclonal antibody (MAb) prequalification pathway*

There are several pathogen areas where MAb are being developed as vaccine-like interventions, as their single dose regimen and long half-life renders them amenable for LMICs contexts, where they could offer significant public health benefit. Candidates for RSV and rabies are approaching licensure within the next 5 years, and a WHO procedure for WHO prequalification is urgently needed to avoid delay implementation. This gap has been recognized and will be addressed.

### *8.3 PDVAC's role in and coordination with other vaccine/intervention development efforts*

The scope of PDVAC overlaps with several other research agendas such as GVAP, AMR and, development and consolidation of maternal immunization platforms. The PDVAC research agenda needs to be clearly communicated, and PDVAC and IVB will strive to be well-informed of efforts in other research areas, to help shape and align strategy where appropriate. Future PDVAC meetings will consider these potential overlaps in more detail, as well as how PDVAC and IVB can facilitate development of integrated product development approaches.

## **9. PDVAC going forward**

With the publication of vaccine pipeline analyses for 25 pathogens, and the discussion of seven new pathogens in 2016, PDVAC has effectively reviewed the landscape of emerging vaccines for the next 3 years. With RSV, TB, HIV and enteric candidates approaching pivotal data points, WHO will need to shift some focus to preparing for beyond licensure, and understanding what data are needed to support policy outcomes. Consultation with policy groups and procurement agencies will be key, as well as understanding the potential impact of vaccines within the broader control strategy – including diagnostics and other preventatives - for these pathogens. Vaccine impact modelling, and understanding the composite set of cost drivers through to vaccine delivery will be important. Interaction with WHO's IPAC and PQ teams will increase going forward, to strengthen the link between product development and programmatic requirements.

Under the recommendation of PDVAC, WHO will seek to broaden its role to support development of value propositions for vaccines against pathogens for which there is a poorly defined business case, for example GAS and HSV. Raising awareness of LMIC disease burden and requirements/procedures for access to LMICs markets may help to incentivise financing development of these vaccines. Of key consideration may be the potential for these vaccines to reduce the emergence of AMR, and PDVAC recommended that this be considered as a criterion in future landscape analyses and PPC guidance documents.

PDVAC and WHO will continue to align activities with the priorities within the WHO R&D Blueprint. PDVAC is aware that several other organizations which are responsible for emergency preparedness have been through a process to prioritize their R&D agendas, with some commonality and some complementarity to the pathogens listed in the WHO Blueprint. In this arena, PDVAC will continue its horizon scanning role, and will advocate for commitment to product development of vaccines for emerging diseases to progress through robust preclinical proof of concept to generation of phase I data, as a minimum. PDVAC strongly recommends the collaboration with other groups to co-ordinate advocacy and funding for vaccine development to prepare for the inevitable future emergencies.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We gratefully acknowledge the 2016 pathogen specific landscape analyses that were prepared by the following individuals: Alan Barrett, Mary Jane Cordosa, Toni Darville, Gillian Douce, Sami Gottlieb, Carl Kirkwood, Taylor B. Poston, Phil Sutton, as well as the individuals who prepared and presented for the 2016 PDVAC meeting: Salim Abdulla, Alan Barrett, Louis Bourgeois, Alejandro Cravioto, Robert Daum, Carolyn Deal, Gillian Douce, Sami Gottlieb, Anna-Lea Kahn, Judith Kallenberg, Ruth Karron, Carl Kirkwood, Ivana Knezevic, Qihan Li, Benjamin Lopman, Weining Meng, Francis Moussy, Justin Ortiz, Merlin Robb, Carmen Rodriguez, Stephanie Schrag, Andrew Steer, Phil Sutton, Dereck Tait, Elizabeth Tayler and Richard Walker.

This report contains the collective views of an international group of experts, and does not necessarily represent the decisions or the stated policy of the World Health Organization, of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defence, nor of the U.S. Government.

CFL is an employee of the U.S. Government. This work was prepared as part of their official duties. Title 17 U.S.C. §105 provides that 'Copyright protection under this title is not available for any work of the United States Government.'

#### **References**

1. Procedure for assessing the acceptability, in principle, of vaccines for purchase by United Nations agencies: [http://www.who.int/immunization\\_standards/vaccine\\_quality/TRS\\_978\\_61st\\_report\\_Annex\\_6\\_PQ\\_vaccine\\_procedure.pdf?ua=1](http://www.who.int/immunization_standards/vaccine_quality/TRS_978_61st_report_Annex_6_PQ_vaccine_procedure.pdf?ua=1)
2. Tackling drug resistant infections globally: Final report and recommendation: [http://amr-review.org/sites/default/files/160525\\_Final%20paper\\_with%20cover.pdf](http://amr-review.org/sites/default/files/160525_Final%20paper_with%20cover.pdf)
3. Lipsitch M, Siber GR How Can Vaccines Contribute to Solving the Antimicrobial Resistance Problem? MBio. 2016 Jun 7;7(3).
4. WHO Vaccine Pipeline tracker: [http://who.int/immunization/research/vaccine\\_pipeline\\_tracker\\_spreadsheet/en/](http://who.int/immunization/research/vaccine_pipeline_tracker_spreadsheet/en/)
5. WHO Product Development for Vaccines Advisory Committee (PDVAC) Pipeline Analyses for 25 Pathogens, Edited by Birgitte K. Giersing, Kayvon Modjarrad and Vasee S. Moorthy, Volume 34, Issue 26, Pages 2863-3006
6. Weekly epidemiological record, 11 Dec 2015, No. 50, 2015, 90, 681–700 <http://www.who.int/wer/2015/wer9050.pdf?ua=1>
7. Weekly epidemiological record 27 May 2016, No 21, 2016, 91, 265–284 <http://www.who.int/wer/2016/wer9121.pdf?ua=1>
8. Mind the gap: jumping from vaccine licensure to routine use, O'Brien K, Binka F, Marsh K, Abramson JS Volume 387, No. 10031, p1887–1889, 7 May 2016
9. Global Vaccine Action Plan (GVAP) [http://www.who.int/immunization/global\\_vaccine\\_action\\_plan/DoV\\_GVAP\\_2012\\_2020/en/](http://www.who.int/immunization/global_vaccine_action_plan/DoV_GVAP_2012_2020/en/)
10. SAGE GVAP Assessment Report 2015 [http://www.who.int/immunization/global\\_vaccine\\_action\\_plan/SAGE\\_GVAP\\_Assessment\\_Report\\_2015\\_EN.pdf](http://www.who.int/immunization/global_vaccine_action_plan/SAGE_GVAP_Assessment_Report_2015_EN.pdf)
11. Global TB Report 2015 [http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/191102/1/9789241565059\\_eng.pdf?ua=1](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/191102/1/9789241565059_eng.pdf?ua=1)
12. The End TB Strategy [http://www.who.int/tb/End\\_TB\\_brochure.pdf](http://www.who.int/tb/End_TB_brochure.pdf)
13. Impact and cost-effectiveness of new tuberculosis vaccines in low- and middle-income countries, Knight GM et al, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014 Oct 28;111(43):15520-5.
14. Evans TG, Schrager L, Thole J. Status of vaccine research and development of vaccines for tuberculosis Vaccine. 2016 Jun 3;34(26):2911-4. Evans PDVAC paper

15. Rerks-Ngarm S, Pitisuttithum P, Nitayaphan S et al. Vaccination with ALVAC and AIDSVAX to prevent HIV-1 infection in Thailand. *N Engl J Med*. 2009 Dec 3;361(23):2209-20.
16. <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/large-scale-hiv-vaccine-trial-launch-south-africa>
17. WHO World Malaria Report 2015:  
[http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/200018/1/9789241565158\\_eng.pdf?ua=1](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/200018/1/9789241565158_eng.pdf?ua=1)
18. Report from the World Health Organization's Product Development for Vaccines Advisory Committee (PDVAC) meeting, Geneva, 7-9th Sep 2015. Giersing BK, Modjarrad K, Kaslow DC, Moorthy VS et al. *Vaccine*. 2016 Jun 3;34(26):2865-9.
19. 2013 Malaria vaccine roadmap:  
[http://www.who.int/immunization/topics/malaria/vaccine\\_roadmap/TRM\\_update\\_nov13.pdf?ua=1](http://www.who.int/immunization/topics/malaria/vaccine_roadmap/TRM_update_nov13.pdf?ua=1)
20. WHO global consultation on universal influenza vaccines, August 2016  
[http://www.who.int/immunization/research/meetings\\_workshops/8th\\_influenza\\_vaccine\\_chicago2016/en/](http://www.who.int/immunization/research/meetings_workshops/8th_influenza_vaccine_chicago2016/en/)
21. The Global Burden of Norovirus & Prospects for Vaccine Development  
<http://collections.plos.org/norovirus>
22. Lopman BA, Steele D, Kirkwood CD, Parashar UD. The Vast and Varied Global Burden of Norovirus: Prospects for Prevention and Control. *PLoS Med*. 2016 Apr 26;13(4):e1001999.
23. [https://www.takeda.com/news/2016/20160620\\_7467.html](https://www.takeda.com/news/2016/20160620_7467.html)
24. Tate JE, Burton AH, Boschi-Pinto C et al Global, Regional, and National Estimates of Rotavirus Mortality in Children <5 Years of Age, 2000-2013. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016 May 1;62 Suppl 2:S96-S105.
25. WHO guidance document for the quality, safety and efficacy of oral live attenuated rotavirus vaccines (<http://www.who.int/biologicals/publications/trs/areas/vaccines/rotavirus/Annex%203%20rotavirus%20vaccines.pdf?ua=1>)
26. Lessa FC, Gould CV, McDonald LC. Current status of *Clostridium difficile* infection epidemiology. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2012 Aug;55 Suppl 2:S65-70.
27. de Bruyn G, Saleh J, Workman D et al. Defining the optimal formulation and schedule of a candidate toxoid vaccine against *Clostridium difficile* infection: A randomized Phase 2 clinical trial. *Vaccine*. 2016 27;34(19):2170-8.
28. Sheldon E, Kitchin N, Peng Y et al. A phase 1, placebo-controlled, randomized study of the safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of a *Clostridium difficile* vaccine administered with or without aluminum hydroxide in healthy adults. *Vaccine*. 2016 Apr 19;34(18):2082-91.
29. Bézay N, Ayad A, Dubischar K et al Safety, immunogenicity and dose response of VLA84, a new vaccine candidate against *Clostridium difficile*, in healthy volunteers. *Vaccine*. 2016 May 17;34(23):2585-92.
30. [http://globocan.iarc.fr/Pages/fact\\_sheets\\_cancer.aspx](http://globocan.iarc.fr/Pages/fact_sheets_cancer.aspx)
31. Zeng M, Mao XH, Li JX, et al. Efficacy, safety, and immunogenicity of an oral recombinant *Helicobacter pylori* vaccine in children in China: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2015;386:1457-64
32. Edited by M. Nesin, J. Read, M. Koso-Thomas, M. Brewinski Isaacs and A. Sobanjo-ter Meulen Advancing Maternal Immunization Programs through Research in Low and Medium Income Countries Volume 33, Issue 47, Pages 6371-6502
33. Nair H, Nokes DJ, Gessner BD, et al Global burden of acute lower respiratory infections due to respiratory syncytial virus in young children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2010 May 1;375(9725):1545-55.
34. <http://www.uu.nl/en/news/first-consortium-of-local-manufacturers-to-make-affordable-biosimilars-available-for-low-income>
35. Miwako Kobayashia, Stephanie J. Schrag, Johan Vekemans' and Vasee S.Moorthy WHO consultation on Group B Streptococcus vaccine development: report from a meeting held on 27–28 April 2016 Vekemans (in preparation)
36. Global Alignment of Immunization Safety Assessment in Pregnancy:  
<https://brightoncollaboration.org/public/what-we-do/Projects/Gaia.html>
37. TB Vaccine Research & Development: A Business Case for Investment  
[http://www.aeras.org/img/uploads/attachments/120/tb\\_vaccine\\_rd\\_business\\_case\\_2014\\_2.pdf](http://www.aeras.org/img/uploads/attachments/120/tb_vaccine_rd_business_case_2014_2.pdf)
38. Global Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance:  
[http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/193736/1/9789241509763\\_eng.pdf?ua=1](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/193736/1/9789241509763_eng.pdf?ua=1)
39. Seale AC, Davies MR, Anampiu K et al. Invasive Group A *Streptococcus* Infection among Children, Rural Kenya. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2016 Feb;22(2):224-32.

40. Anderson AS, Miller AA, Donald RG et al. Development of a multicomponent *Staphylococcus aureus* vaccine designed to counter multiple bacterial virulence factors. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2012 Nov 1;8(11):1585-94.
41. Global Health Sector Strategy on Sexually Transmitted Infections [http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf\\_files/WHA69/A69\\_33-en.pdf?ua=1](http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA69/A69_33-en.pdf?ua=1).
42. Gottlieb SL, Deal CD, Giersing B, et al. The global roadmap for advancing development of vaccines against sexually transmitted infections: Update and next steps. *Vaccine*. 2016 Jun 3;34(26):2939-47.
43. Looker KJ, Magaret AS, Turner KM et al. Global estimates of prevalent and incident herpes simplex virus type 2 infections in 2012. *PLoS One*. 2015 Jan 21;10(1):e114989.
44. Looker KJ, Magaret AS, May MT et al. Global and Regional Estimates of Prevalent and Incident Herpes Simplex Virus Type 1 Infections in 2012. *PLoS One*. 2015 Oct 28;10(10):e0140765.
45. <http://www.genoecea.com/platform-pipeline/pipeline/gen003-for-genital-herpes/>
46. Newman L, Rowley J, Vander Hoorn S Global Estimates of the Prevalence and Incidence of Four Curable Sexually Transmitted Infections in 2012 Based on Systematic Review and Global Reporting. *PLoS One*. 2015 Dec 8;10(12):e0143304
47. Cates W Jr, Washington AE, Rubin GL, Peterson HB. The pill, chlamydia and PID. *Fam Plann Perspect*. 1985 Jul-Aug;17(4):175-6.
48. Xing W, Liao Q, Viboud C et al. Hand, foot, and mouth disease in China, 2008-12: an epidemiological study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2014 Apr;14(4):308-18.
49. Zhu F, Xu W, Xia J et al. Efficacy, safety, and immunogenicity of an enterovirus 71 vaccine in China. *N Engl J Med*. 2014 Feb 27;370(9):818-28
50. <http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/Publications/07-06-2016-RRA-Enterovirus%2071-Spain.pdf>
51. WHO R&D Roadmap: [http://www.who.int/csr/research-and-development/WHO-R\\_D-Final10.pdf?ua=1](http://www.who.int/csr/research-and-development/WHO-R_D-Final10.pdf?ua=1)
52. Modjarrad K, Moorthy VS, Ben Embarek P et al. A roadmap for MERS-CoV research and product development: report from a World Health Organization consultation. *Nat Med*. 2016 Jul 7;22(7):701-5
53. Henao-Restrepo AM, Preziosi MP, Wood D et al On a path to accelerate access to Ebola vaccines: The WHO's research and development efforts during the 2014-2016 Ebola epidemic in West Africa. *Curr Opin Virol*. 2016 Apr;17:138-44.
54. [http://webitpreview.who.int/entity/immunization/research/meetings\\_workshops/zika\\_regulatory\\_jun2016/en/index.html](http://webitpreview.who.int/entity/immunization/research/meetings_workshops/zika_regulatory_jun2016/en/index.html)
55. Black RE, Cousens S, Johnson HL et al. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality in 2008: a systematic analysis. *Lancet*. 2010 Jun 5;375(9730):1969-87.
56. <http://www.who.int/gho/immunization/en/>