

PROGRAMME REVIEW WORKSHOP FOR MATERNAL, NEWBORN, CHILD AND ADOLESCENT HEALTH


GROUP WORK
Step 1B



World Health
Organization

STEP 1B: To what extent have MNCAH interventions contributed towards achieving impact?

- The purpose of Step 1B is to review the coverage of specific MNCAH interventions/packages to identify underperforming interventions or packages.
- The outcomes of this step are to assess intervention coverage in terms of progress towards achieving impact and to prioritize which intervention packages should be discussed and examined in depth in subsequent steps of the workshop.



STEP 1B: Group work discussion questions

- **Please consider the questions below and fill in information in the slides below. You may add additional slides if more space is needed.**
- Review the coverage and utilisation of interventions to identify which interventions or intervention packages have the poorest coverage or have made the least progress.
 - What are the trends in each indicator over time? Is the programme on track to achieving the targets?
 - Which intervention packages are top priorities for the MNCAH programme and for achieving the target impact?
 - Based on the above, which intervention packages should be analysed further during the workshop?



If the MNCAH programme review data tool (Excel) has been used for this workshop, you may wish to refer to the information/data summarized in the tool to help complete this step.



STEP 1B: Interventions vs. intervention packages

The term “intervention package” describes a group of interventions that can be implemented together as part of the MNCAH programme.*

- **Intervention package:** Antenatal care (ANC)
- **Interventions of ANC** (*example list – non-exhaustive*)
 - Tetanus toxoid immunization
 - Birth and emergency planning
 - Detection and management of complications
 - Detection and treatment of syphilis
 - Information and counselling on self-care, nutrition, safer sex, breastfeeding, family planning for birth spacing

* Note: If this terminology is not representative of or well understood in the setting of the programme review, it can be modified to reflect the descriptions for a group of interventions used in the context.

What are the trends in each indicator over time? Are the MNCAH programme(s) on track to achieving the targets?

[illegible]

Which interventions or intervention packages have the poorest coverage and/or have made the least progress? Which intervention packages are top priorities for the programme(s) and for achieving the target impact?

[illegible]

Which interventions/intervention packages should be analysed further during the workshop?

Intervention/intervention package prioritized for further analysis during programme review workshop