

Fields marked with an asterisk (\*) are mandatory.

## Contact details

Last name: \*

Kuusipalo

First name: \*

Heli

E-mail: \*

[heli.kuusipalo@thl.fi](mailto:heli.kuusipalo@thl.fi)

Name of government/organization/association if applicable: \*

THL, National Institute for Health and Welfare in Finland

Position within organization \*

Senior researcher, vice director of the nutrition unit

Address of organization

Mannerheimintie 166

Helsinki

Country \*

fin

Are the responses approved or endorsed by your organization?

Yes

## Comments on the "[Discussion paper](#)"

General comments : Please comment on the clarity and comprehensiveness of the approach

For more clarity there should be the definition and criteria for what is meant with good public health nutrition.

Specific comments

Addition to Step 1: definition and criteria for good public health nutrition,

## Comments on the "[Introductory paper](#)"

General comments : Please comment on the clarity and comprehensiveness of the introductory paper

Very good list of references and practical examples are provided. However the new ways for COI might evolve with the means of IT, regular up-dating is needed.

Specific comments

## Comments on the "[Tool](#)"

General comments : Please comment on the clarity and practical value of the tool

Appendix tables provide good examples.

#### Specific comments

Page 1: Fair and transparent procurement; training for tenders is needed.

Page 6: Exclusion criteria is good but should there be also private companies' unnecessary fortification of foods. Or should this be mentioned in page 10-13 balancing risk-benefits analysis?