Agenda for Stakeholder Consultation for

WHO- Royal Thai Government Country Cooperation Strategy (CCS) 2022 - 2026 Monday, June 7 at 13:30-16:30 hrs (via Zoom)

Objective

To generate ideas for potential priority areas for CCS 2022-2026 through a participatory consultative approach

<u>Agenda</u>

Time	Activities	Speakers / Moderators
13.00-13.30	IT testing	IT team of WHO Thailand
13.30-13.45	Session 1: Opening	
(15 mins)	- Objectives of the meeting	- Dr. Walaiporn Patcharanarumol, Director, Global Health Division, Ministry of Public Health
	- Introduction: What is Country Cooperation Strategy (CCS)? What are the current CCS priority programmes?	- Dr. Daniel Kertesz, WHO Representative to Thailand
13.45-14.30	Session 2: Panel discussion - "Overview of Health Challenges in Thailand"	<u>Panelists</u>
(45 mins)		- Dr. Daniel Kertesz, WHO Representative to Thailand
		- Mr. Renaud Meyer, Resident Representative, United
		Nations Development Programme
		- Dr. Viroj Tangcharoensathien, Senior Advisor on Global Health to
		the Office of Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Public Health
		Moderator

Time	Activities	Speakers / Moderators
		Ms. Nanoot Mathurapote, Head, Global Collaboration Unit National Health Commission Office
14.30-15.15 (45 mins)	Session 3: Group discussions to suggest the next CCS health priorities • Objectives of the session • Break out groups • Outcomes: Each group to suggest ≥5 priority areas	Dr. Wanrudee Isaranuwatchai, Project Leader and Senior Researcher, Health Intervention and Technology Assessment Program (HITAP) and team
15.15-16.00 (45 mins)	Session 4: Group presentations • All groups report back (5-7 mins) • Discussions	Dr. Wanrudee Isaranuwatchai, Project Leader and Senior Researcher, Health Intervention and Technology Assessment Program (HITAP) and team
16.00-16.30 (30 mins)	Session 5: Meeting outcomes, summary, and conclusion	Dr. Walaiporn Patcharanarumol Director, Global Health Division, Ministry of Public Health
	Closing remarks	Dr. Pongsadhorn Pokpermdee, Senior Advisor to Ministry of Public Health, Ministry of Public Health

Details of session 2		
13.45-14.30	Session 2: Panel discussion - "Overview of Health Challenges in	
(45 min)	Thailand"	

Significance and Objective

In the second session of this meeting, the panelists will share their viewpoints and opinions on health priorities of Thailand, which may serve as a background information for participants to take into consideration for group exercise.

Details of session 3		
14.30-15.15	Session 3: Group discussions to suggest the next CCS health priorities	
(45 min)		

Significance and Objective

In the third session, participants are divided into break out groups to discuss potential health priorities that they think would be appropriate for the CCS 2022-2026. Proposals for the priorities should broadly meet the <u>Criteria</u>, listed below.

<u>Criteria</u> for selection of public health and health system priorities for CCS 2022-2026 implementation (CCS priorities)

- Can WHO add value? Is this a priority where:
 - domestic or international partners need to be brought together and coordinated to move work forward (WHO convening role/catalyzing intellectual and social capitol). Is this a priority that might not be addressed without WHO convening?
 - WHO could fill technical gaps from any level of the WHO or external
 - WHO could provide social capital, advocacy
 - Is this an WHO Organizational priority. WHO is more likely to leverage support if it is explicitly part of regional or global priorities (e.g. triple billions/GPW, regional flagships)
- Will work in this priority area have a demonstrable impact on the health of people in Thailand?
 - Can results be realistically achieved
 - Can results be effectively measured
 - Achievements may be possible, but can they be clearly linked to improving health outcomes (e.g. SDGs)
- Do multiple partners agree that this area is a priority; are multiple partners already involved in work on the priority?
 - Are multiple partners willing to work together on the priority; will they participate in CCS programmatic mechanisms?
 - Are multiple partners interested in funding work on the priority?
 - Are there possibilities to attract new partners to work on this priority?
 - o Is there a clear lead agency/programme manager?
- Is the work needed in this area sufficiently clear and can it be focused?

- Is prioritization possible within the proposed area are their clear areas within the priority that CCS should focus on (e.g. fiscal measures to reduce NCD risk)?
- Can work on the priority be clearly planned with precise outcomes, outputs and strategies?
- Is the work consistent with national plans, priorities, including SDGs?
- Is the priority already included in CCS 2017-2021?
 - Has the CCS moved this priority's work forward has there been progress in the area that would not have happened had the area not been a part of CCS
 - Is there continued work in the area that would benefit from CCS approach?
 Do above criteria continue to apply
 - Consider discontinuing priority if included in >2 CCS cycles