

India

Highlights based on country reported GLAAS 2016/2017 data

DEMOGRAPHIC ESTIMATES

Population (millions, 2017) ^a	1 339 M
% Urban (2014) ^b	32%
% Rural (2014) ^b	68%
Population growth rate (2015) ^c	1.16%

HEALTH ESTIMATES

	NATIONAL	GLOBAL	LOWER-MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES
Infant mortality (per 1000 live births, 2015) ^c	38	33	41
Under 5 mortality (per 1000 live births, 2015) ^c	48	45	55
Life expectancy at birth (years, 2015) ^c	68	71	67
Diarrhoea deaths due to inadequate WASH in children under 5 years (total, 2012) ^d	87 125	360 688	
Diarrhoea deaths due to inadequate WASH in children under 5 years (per 100 000, 2012) ^d	72	—	

SANITATION AND DRINKING-WATER ESTIMATES

	NATIONAL	URBAN	RURAL
% of population using at least basic sanitation services (2015) ^e	44	65	34
% of population using at least basic drinking-water sources (2015) ^e	88	93	85

WASH FINANCIAL ESTIMATES^f

	2017
Government WASH budget (US\$ millions, current US\$)	3 393
Government WASH budget per capita (current US\$)	2.53
Government WASH budget as percentage of GDP (%)	0.15
	2016
National WASH expenditure (US\$ millions, current US\$)	5 264
National WASH expenditure per capita (current US\$)	3.98
National WASH expenditure as percentage of GDP (%)	0.23

^a Total population, data supplement. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population (2017). World Population Prospects: The 2017 Revision.

^b Population of Urban and Rural Areas at Mid-Year (thousands) and Percentage Urban, 2014. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2014). World Urbanization Prospects: The 2014 Revision, CD-ROM Edition.

^c Total population, medium fertility variant. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2017). World Population Prospects: The 2017 Revision, DVD Edition.

^d WHO (2014) Preventing diarrhoea through better water, sanitation and hygiene: Exposures and impacts in low- and middle-income countries. World Health Organization, Geneva.

^e UNICEF/WHO (2017) Joint Monitoring Programme. Progress on Drinking Water, Sanitation and Hygiene: 2017 Update and SDG Baselines. World Health Organization, Geneva.

^f WASH budget and expenditure data are sourced from the GLAAS 2013/2014 and 2016/2017 data. GDP data and average exchange rates are from the World Bank World Development Indicators database (sourced from the International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics).

I. Governance

NATIONAL POLICIES AND PLANS: EXISTENCE AND IMPLEMENTATION

	NO POLICY OR PLAN	POLICY FORMALLY APPROVED	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN DEVELOPED BASED ON APPROVED POLICY	PLAN PARTIALLY IMPLEMENTED	FULLY IMPLEMENTED PLAN
Urban sanitation					
Rural sanitation					
Urban drinking-water					
Rural drinking-water					
Hygiene promotion					
Hygiene promotion in health care facilities					
Hygiene promotion in schools					
Water and sanitation in health care facilities					
Water and sanitation in schools					
Infection prevention and control strategy					

Under Sustainable Development Goal 6, there is a greater focus on safely managed sanitation services as well as wastewater treatment.

URBAN SANITATION POLICY

	INCLUDED IN POLICY/PLAN
Access to basic sanitation	✓
Municipal wastewater	✓
Faecal sludge collection	✓
Safe use of wastewater	✓

✓ Yes. ✗ No.

SUSTAINABILITY MEASURES

	EXISTENCE AND LEVEL OF IMPLEMENTATION	RESPONSIBILITY ASSIGNED TO
Keep rural water supply functioning over the long-term	■	Gram Panchayats
Improve reliability and continuity of urban water supply	■	Urban local bodies
Rehabilitate disused drinking-water hand pumps	■	Gram Panchayats
Rehabilitate broken or disused latrines in schools	■	School authorities
Safely empty or replace latrines when full	■	Individual house owners
Maintain sewer systems and treatment facilities	■	Urban local bodies/State agencies
Ensure environmental sustainability of water services	■	State agencies
Improve climate resiliency	✗	State agencies
Rehabilitate disused WASH systems in health care facilities	■	State health departments
Safely reuse wastewater and/or faecal sludge	✗	Urban local bodies/State agencies
Ensure drinking-water quality meets national standards	✓	State Water and Sanitation Department

✓ Plans exist with high levels of implementation. ■ Plans exist, but only moderate levels of implementation. ✗ No plan or low levels of implementation.

I. Governance (continued)

SAFETY PLANNING

	LEVEL OF DEVELOPMENT
Water safety planning	✓
Sanitation safety planning	■

✓ Formally approved. ■ Under development/anticipated. ✗ Not required.

COORDINATION MECHANISMS: EXISTENCE AND LEVEL OF COORDINATION

Mechanism exists to coordinate WASH actors	✓
Is it a formal mechanism?	✓

✓ Yes. ■ Under development. ✗ No.

DOES THE COORDINATION MECHANISM:

Include all governmental agencies that directly or indirectly influence service delivery	✓
Include non-governmental stakeholders	■
Include donors that contribute to WASH activities nationally	✓
Include mutual review and assessment	■
Apply evidence-based decision-making	✓
Base its work on a sectoral framework or national plan	✓
Have documentation of the coordination process	✓
Have an allocated budget line	■

✓ Yes. ■ Partly. ✗ No.

Top five development partners (as reported by country)

- 1) World Bank
- 2) Asian Development Bank
- 3) Japan Bank for International Cooperation
- 4) UNICEF
- 5) World Health Organization

COMMUNITY AND USER PARTICIPATION

	USER PARTICIPATION PROCEDURES DEFINED IN LAW/ POLICY ^a	LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION ^b	WOMEN'S PARTICIPATION INCLUDED IN LAW/ POLICY ^a
Urban sanitation	✓	■	✓
Rural sanitation	✓	■	✓
Urban drinking-water	✓	■	✓
Rural drinking-water	✓	■	✓
Hygiene promotion	✓	■	✓
WASH in health care facilities	✓	✗	✓
Water pollution control	✓	✗	✗
Water quality monitoring	✓	✗	✓
Water rights/allocation	✓	✗	✓
Water resources management	✓	✗	✓
Water-related environmental protection	✓	✗	✓

^a ✓ Yes. ✗ No.

^b ✓ High. ■ Moderate. ✗ Low.

II. Monitoring

JOINT SECTOR REVIEW (JSR)

Year of most recent JSR: 2016

Sectors covered

✔ Yes. ✖ No.

SANITATION	DRINKING-WATER	HYGIENE
✔	✔	✔

DATA AVAILABILITY FOR DECISION-MAKING

Policy and strategy
Resource allocation
Status and quality of service delivery
National standards
Response to WASH-related disease outbreak
Identify public health priorities for reducing diseases
Identify priority health care facilities needing improvements

SANITATION	DRINKING-WATER	HEALTH SECTOR
✔	✔	
✔	✔	
✔	■	
	✔	
		■
		■
		■

✔ Data available and used for a majority of decisions. ■ Partial data or only used for a minority of decisions. ✖ Limited availability.

REGULATION

Regulatory authority responsible for setting tariffs
Legally binding national standards for service quality
Regulatory authority responsible for service quality
Collection of coverage data from service providers
Collection of data on quality ^a
Publish publicly accessible reports ^b
Publish publicly accessible reports on service quality
Regulatory authority located in a different institution than service providers
Regulatory authority can report findings without government clearance
Regulatory authority can dismiss employees without government clearance
Funding independent of government budget
Ability to take punitive action against non-performers

SANITATION		DRINKING-WATER	
Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
✔	✖	✔	✔
✔	✔	✔	✔
✔	✔	✔	✔
■	✖	■	✔
■	✖	■	✔
✔	✖	✔	✔
✔	■	✔	✔
✔	✔	✖	✖
✔	✔	✔	✔
✔	✔	✔	✔
✖	✖	✖	✖
■	■	■	■

✔ Yes. ■ Partially. ✖ No.

^a For sanitation, effluent quality data from treatment plant operators; for drinking-water, water quality data from service providers.

^b For sanitation, reports on treated wastewater flows; for drinking-water, reports on drinking-water quality.

III. Human resources

Impact of increased human resources capacity
Policy development
Institutional coordination
National and local/provincial WASH planning
Construction of facilities
Operations and maintenance
Community mobilization
Financial planning and expenditure
Enforcement of regulations
Health promotion
Monitoring and evaluation

SANITATION	DRINKING-WATER	HYGIENE
■	■	■
✔	✔	✔
■	■	■
✔	✔	✔
✔	✔	✔
✔	✔	✔
■	■	■
■	■	■
■	■	■
■	■	■

✔ Large benefit from increased WASH human resources capacity. ■ Moderate benefit. ✖ Little or no benefit.

IV. Financing

EXISTENCE AND IMPLEMENTATION OF WASH FINANCING PLAN

	NO AGREED FINANCING PLAN	FINANCING PLAN IN DEVELOPMENT	FINANCING PLAN AGREED, BUT INSUFFICIENTLY IMPLEMENTED	FINANCING PLAN AGREED AND USED FOR SOME DECISIONS	FINANCING PLAN AGREED AND CONSISTENTLY FOLLOWED
Urban sanitation					
Rural sanitation					
Urban drinking-water					
Rural drinking-water					
Hygiene promotion					
WASH in health care facilities					
WASH in schools					

FINANCIAL REPORTING

Expenditure reports available and include actual expenditure vs. committed funding

SANITATION		DRINKING-WATER	
Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural

✔ Government, official development assistance (ODA) and non-ODA expenditure reports are available. Some reports available. ✖ Expenditure reports are not available.

UTILIZATION OF AVAILABLE FUNDING (ABSORPTION)

Domestic commitments
Donor commitments

SANITATION		DRINKING-WATER	
Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
✔	✔	✔	✔
✖			

✔ Over 75%. Between 50% and 75%. ✖ Less than 50%.

COST RECOVERY STRATEGIES

Operations and basic maintenance covered by tariffs

SANITATION		DRINKING-WATER	
Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
✖			✖

✔ Covers over 80% of costs. Covers between 50% and 80% of costs. ✖ Covers less than 50% of costs.

SUFFICIENCY OF FINANCE TO MEET NATIONAL TARGETS

Sanitation
Drinking-water supply
Drinking-water quality

URBAN	RURAL
	✔
✖	✖
✖	✖

✔ More than 75% of what is needed. Between 50% and 75% of what is needed. ✖ Less than 50% of needs.

NATIONAL WASH EXPENDITURE (US\$ MILLIONS): 5264

Reported WASH expenditure^a by source of financing, 2016

Repayable financing DATA NOT AVAILABLE


External sources DATA NOT AVAILABLE



Reported WASH expenditure^a by subsector, 2016

Urban Rural Urban and rural

Hygiene^b DATA NOT AVAILABLE



^a Only government WASH expenditure was reported in the GLAAS survey, and is based on budget provisions for 2016-2017.

^b Government hygiene expenditure was not reported on the GLAAS survey.

V. Equity

GOVERNANCE

Plans for vulnerable population groups: existence and level of implementation

Poor populations	■
Populations living in slums or informal settlements	■
Populations living in remote or hard to reach areas	✗
Indigenous populations	■
Internally displaced persons and/or refugees	✗
Women	■
Ethnic minorities	■
People living with disabilities	✗
Populations with high burden of disease ^a	■

✓ Plans exist with high levels of implementation. ■ Plans exist, but only moderate levels of implementation. ✗ No plan, or low levels of implementation.

MONITORING

Tracking of progress in access to services

Poor populations	SANITATION ✓	DRINKING-WATER ✓	HYGIENE ✓
------------------	-----------------	---------------------	--------------

✓ Yes. ✗ No.

FINANCE

Specific financial measures to increase access for:

	SANITATION	DRINKING-WATER
Rural populations	✓	✓
Poor populations	✓	■
Populations living in slums or informal settlements	■	■
Populations living in remote or hard to reach areas	✓	✓
Indigenous populations	✓	✓
Internally displaced persons and/or refugees	✓	
Women	✓	✗
Ethnic minorities	✓	✗
People living with disabilities	✓	✓
Populations with high burden of disease ^a	✓	✓

✓ Yes, and measures are applied. ■ Yes, but measures are not applied consistently. ✗ No.

^a e.g. diarrhoea, undernutrition, neglected tropical diseases and cholera.

Changes in budget allocations to target inequalities (past three years)	↑
---	---

↑ Increasing. ■ Relatively constant. ↓ No.

Affordability	SANITATION	DRINKING-WATER
Affordability schemes for vulnerable groups	✓	✓

✓ Affordability schemes exist and are widely used. ■ Affordability schemes exist, but are not widely used. ✗ No schemes exist.