Angola



Highlights based on country-reported GLAAS 2018/2019 data

The data presented in this UN-Water Global Analysis and Assessment of Sanitation and Drinking-Water (GLAAS) country highlight are country government responses to the GLAAS 2018/2019 country survey. Since 2008, GLAAS has monitored key elements of national drinking-water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) systems with a focus on governance, monitoring, human resources and finance. The data presented in this highlight are a snapshot of the WASH systems in the country and do not cover all aspects of the WASH sector. For more information, see https://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/monitoring/investments/glaas/en/

I. To what extent has the government assumed responsibility for WASH and made themselves accountable?

NATIONAL POLICIES AND PLANS: EXISTENCE AND IMPLEMENTATION

Policy status	Policy shared via public notice?	Year policy approved/ expected	Plan status	Plan costed?	Sufficient finance for plan?	Human resources assessed for plan?	Sufficient human resources for plan?	Year plan approved/ expected	Estimated cost
•			•						
•			•						
	X	2018	•	✓	0		0	2018	US\$ 2,3 million
	✓	2007	•	✓	0	✓	1	2018	80 716 363,92 Angolan kwanza
Policy status: ○ No national policy; ● Policy under development; ● Existing policy undergoing revision; ● Policy formally approved. Plan status: ○ No plan; ● Under development; ● Approved, not implemented; ● Approved, partially implemented; ● Approved, fully implemented. Policy shared, Plan costed and Human resources assessed: ✓ Yes; ※ No.									
	status al policy; Under deve	Policy status notice? Policy via public notice? Policy via public notice? Policy via public notice? Policy via public notice?	Policy status notice? approved/expected 2018 2007 al policy; Policy under development; Under development; Approved, not im not Human resources assessed: Yes;	Policy status notice? expected Plan status Plan status 2018 Policy plan status 2018 Plan status Approved, not implemented; and Human resources assessed: Yes; No.	Policy status notice? approved/ expected Plan status Plan costed? Plan status Plan costed?	Policy status notice? approved/ expected Plan status Plan costed? finance for plan? Plan costed? plan status Plan costed? finance for plan? 2018 2018 2007 Existing policy undergoing revision; Poly under development; Existing policy undergoing revision; Poly under development; Approved, not implemented; Approved, partially implemented Human resources assessed: Yes; No.	Policy shared via public approved/ expected Plan status Plan costed? Plan costed? plan? resources assessed for plan? Plan costed? Plan costed? plan? plan? Plan costed? plan costed? plan? Plan costed? pl	Policy shared via public approved/ expected Plan status Plan costed? Plan costed? Plan status Plan costed? Plan? resources for plan? plan	Policy shared via public approved/ expected Plan status Plan costed? Plan costed? plan? resources assessed for plan? pla

SYSTEMS IN PLACE TO TRACK FUNDING FLOWS TO WASH

Urban sanitation Rural sanitation Urban drinking-water Rural drinking-water	•	•	<u> </u>	\circ	0
Urban drinking-water	•	•	0	\cap	U
		•	1	\cap	
Rural drinking-water				\circ	\circ
			•		O
Hygiene promotion	9		•		0
WASH budgets: O No WASH have budget data; D Budget disaggregated data available for	totals avail for ministrie	lable for over h es listed.	nalf of ministries	s listed; To	otal and
Expenditure reports: O No re				r government	reports
available; Donor, NGO and					
Donor funding channels: O					
by funding channel; Total f					
Tracking expenditure sources					
but not disaggregated by subs			ta available for	some source	s;
Expenditure data available NOTE: More information on but			lahle in section	IV of this high	hliaht

MONITORING PROGRESS TOWARDS NATIONAL TARGETS

	Monitoring WASH targets
Government-led process for monitoring	✓
Frequency of assessment	
Sufficiency of human resources for monitoring	0
Government-led process: ✓ Yes; X No.	
Frequency of assessment: ○ Ad hoc; ○ Every 4+ years; to 4 years; ● Annually.	① Every 2
Sufficient human resources: O Less than 50% of what is	needed;
◆ Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; ◆ More that what is needed. ◆ More that is needed; ◆ More that is needed. ◆ More t	ın 75% of

PROGRESS TOWARD NATIONAL TARGETS

	Baseline			Current			
	value	Baseline year	Current value	year	Target value	Target year	Target description
Urban sanitation	84%	2006	82%	2016	100%	2030	
Rural sanitation					50%	2022	
Urban drinking-water					85%	2022	Achieve an urban water supply coverage rate
Rural drinking-water					80%	2022	Achieve a rural water supply coverage rate
Hygiene promotion					50%	2022	





II. What regulatory framework has been developed to support the quality and sustainability of services?

REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS

SANITATION	SANITATION DF			Urban	Rural
Formal national treatment standards - on-site sanitation	~	/	Formal national standards - drinking-water	✓	✓
Formal national treatment standards - faecal sludge	✓		Surveillance requirements defined in formal instruments		
Formal national treatment standards - wastewater	✓		Roles and responsibilities for drinking-water safety defined		
Formal national treatment standards - safe reuse			Service delivery requirements beyond water quality		
Surveillance requirements defined in formal instruments	~	/			
SANITATION RISK MANAGEMENT	Urban	Rural	DRINKING-WATER RISK MANAGEMENT		
Sanitation safety planning			Water safety planning	•	•
✓ Yes; X No.					
Risk management: O No such instrument; O Instrument anticipated; O Inst	rument und	er develop	ment; Instrument fully approved.		

REGULATORY AUTHORITIES

SCOPE OF RESPONSIBILITIES	Urban sanitation	Rural sanitation	Urban drinking-water	Rural drinking-water
Responsible for setting tariffs	✓	✓	✓	✓
Oversee drinking-water quality			✓	✓
Oversee effluent quality	✓	✓		
Oversee service coverage and quality of service				
delivery	•	•	•	•
REGULATORY AUTHORITY MANDATE				
Established by law	✓	✓	✓	✓
Located in different institution than service	>	•	~	•
providers	^	^	^	^
Can publish findings independently without	>	•	~	
clearance	^	^	^	
✓ Yes; X No.				

FUNCTIONS OF REGULATORS

SANITATION	Urban	Rural	DRINKING-WATER	Urban	Rural
Collect population service coverage data from operators	_	×	Collect population service coverage data from providers		
Set standards and monitor design, construction, use of plants/sewers	✓	~	Collect water quality data from service providers	_	
Set standards and monitor design, construction, use of on-site systems	~	~	Publish accessible reports on drinking-water quality	×	
Set standards and monitor faecal sludge management		×	Publish accessible reports on water service delivery	×	
Publish accessible reports on flows and sludge volumes	×	×	Take corrective action against nonperformers	×	
Publish accessible reports on quality of on-site sanitation services	×	×			
Take corrective action against nonperformers	✓	×			
✓ Yes; ▲ Partially; 🗙 No.					

INDEPENDENT SURVEILLANCE

INDEPENDENT SURVEILLANCE	Sewered wastewater effluent	On-site			
SANITATION	quality	facilities	DRINKING-WATER	Urban	Rural
Testing of effluent and sludge quality against national standards	0	0	Testing of water quality against national standards		
Independent assessment of risk management approaches	0	0	Independent assessment of risk management approaches		
Independent sanitary inspections at premises to assess risks	0	0	Independent sanitary inspections to assess risk		
Collection and review of service provider monitoring records	0	0	Collection and review of service provider monitoring		
O Not done or insufficiently performed; O Performed but data not used;	Performed a	and informs	s remedial action.		
SANITATION	Urban	Rural	DRINKING-WATER	Urban	Rural
Adequacy of testing frequency	•	•	Adequacy of testing frequency	0	0
Sufficiency of human resources for surveillance activities	0	0	Sufficiency of human resources for surveillance activities	0	0
Adequacy of testing frequency: \bigcirc No frequency requirement; \odot Less that	an 50%; ① Bety	ween 50% a	and 75%; 🍑 Between 75% and 100%; 🌑 100% of required frequency	/.	
Sufficiency of human resources: \bigcirc Less than 50% of what is needed; $lacktriangle$ E	Between 50% ar	nd 75% of w	hat is needed; More than 75% of what is needed.		

III. To what extent are stakeholders coordinated and what data are available for evidence-based decision-making?

INSTITUTIONAL ROLES - NUMBER OF LEAD AGENCIES

	Govern / Regulate	Provide Service	Monitor / Surveillance
Drinking-water	2	3	4
Hygiene promotion	2	2	4
Basic sanitation	1	2	3
Municipal wastewater	3	3	4
Faecal sludge collection and treatment	4	3	4

NOTE: Number indicates number of lead ministries and national institutions.

LIST OF MINISTRIES / INSTITUTIONS WITH ROLES IN WASH

Ministry of the Environment; provincial government; municipal administrations; Ministry of Health; Ministry of Energy and Water; public water and sanitation companies; Regulatory Institute for Electricity and Water Services (IRSEA)

INSTITUTIONAL WASH IN NATIONAL POLICY AND PLANS

	Included in policies / plans	Year that policy was approved or is expected
WASH in health care facilities	✓	2010
WASH In schools	✓	On approval
Infection prevention and control	✓	2012
✓ Yes; X No.		

COORDINATION BETWEEN ACTORS

	Formal or informal coordination process
Mechanism to coordinate work of different ministries exists	•
O No; O In development; O Informal mechanism exists; Formal mechanis	sm exists.
The coordination mechanism:	
· includes all ministries/agencies influencing service delivery	✓
· includes non-governmental stakeholders	✓
· includes donors that contribute to WASH activities nationally	✓
· includes mutual review and assessment	✓
· applies evidence-based decision-making	✓
· bases work on agreed sectoral framework or national plan	✓
· includes documentation of processes and activities	✓
· has a budget line allocated for coordination activities	✓
✓ Yes; ▲ Partially; 🗙 No.	·

JOINT SECTOR REVIEW

				Sectors covered					
	Conducted	Year of most recent JSR	Title of JSR	Sanitation	Drinking- water	Hygiene	WASH in health care facilities	WASH In schools	Other
Joint sector review (JSR)	✓	2018	Multisectoral Commission	✓	~	~	✓	~	✓
✓ Yes; X No.	<u> </u>								

DATA AVAILABILITY FOR DECISION-MAKING

DATA AVAILABILITY FOR DECISION-MAKING					
SANITATION AND DRINKING-WATER	Sanitation	Drinking- water	Health sector		
Sector review and/or planning processes					
Resource allocation					
National standard or regulation development					
Targeting surveillance activities	0				
HEALTH SECTOR					
Identifying public health priorities for reducing WASH-related diseases			•		
Responding to WASH-related disease outbreaks					
Identifying priority health care facilities needing WASH					
improvements					
$igcap$ Limited data collection and availability; $oldsymbol{\circ}$ Partial availability and little u	se of data; ${f 0}$	Data availal	ole and used		
for minority of decisions; Data available and used for majority of decisions.					

IV. How sufficient are current resources and what actions are being taken to close resource gaps?

SUFFICIENCY OF HUMAN RESOURCES

				WASH in health care		
	Sanitation	Drinking-water	Hygiene	facilities	WASH in schools	
Achieving national targets	0		0	0	0	
	WASH sector					
Operations and maintenance	(\supset				
Design/construction of WASH facilities and						
networks)				
C Less than 50% of what is needed; Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; Over 75% of what is needed.						

UTILIZATION OF AVAILABLE FUNDS (ABSORPTION)

				Rural drinking-
	Urban sanitation	Rural sanitation	Urban drinking-water	water
Domestic commitments				
Donor commitments				
O Less than 50%;				

COST RECOVERY STRATEGIES

	Urban sanitation	Rural sanitation	Urban drinking-water	Rural drinking- water			
	Orban Sanitation	Rurai Sanitation	Orban drinking-water	water			
Operations and basic maintenance covered by tariffs	\circ	0	0	\circ			
Cost recovery addressed in financing plan/budget	✓	✓	✓	✓			
Operations and maintenance covered by tariffs: ○ Less than 50%; Between 50% and 80%; Over 80%. Cost recovery addressed in plan: Yes; No.							


SUFFICIENCY OF FINANCIAL RESOURCES

			Urban	Rural		WASH in health	
	Urban sanitation	Rural sanitation	drinking- water	drinking- water	Hygiene	care facilities	WASH in schools
Implementation of national plan			0	0	0		
Achievement of national targets							
Estimated annual need to reach national targets (US\$ millions)	Quantitative	data on spec	ific financial	needs by s	ubsector are	not availab	le.
Identified available finance from all sources (US\$ millions) Quantitative data on funds available for subsectors are not available.							
O Less than 50% of what is needed; • Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; • Over 75% of what is needed.							

WASH BUDGETS AND EXPENDITURES

	2013	
Government WASH budget (US\$ millions, current US\$)	1 1733,50	
Government WASH budget per capita (current US\$)	451,32	
Government WASH budget as a percentage of GDP	8,58%	
National WASH expenditure (US\$ millions, current US\$)		
National WASH expenditure per capita (current US\$)		
National WASH expenditure as a percentage of GDP		





V. What actions are being taken to leave no one behind?

HUMAN RIGHTS

	Sanitation	Water
Does the constitution recognize the human rights to water and sanitation?	✓	✓
Do laws recognize the human rights to water and sanitation?	✓	✓
Has a court recognized the human rights to water and sanitation?		×
✓ Yes; X No.		

REACHING VULNERABLE GROUPS

	Sanitation				Drinking-water					
					Financing					Financial
	Measures				plan	Measures				plan
	to extend				includes	to extend				includes
	services to	1 1 6	C : C -		measures to		1 1 . 6	C : C -		measures
	group included in	Level of	Specific	D f	target	group	Level of	Specific	D	to target
	policies or	implement ation of	coverage targets for	group is	resources to reduce	included in policies or	implement ation of	coverage targets for	Progress for group is	resources to reduce
	plans	measures	group	monitored	inequities	plans	measures	group	monitored	inequities
Poor populations	~	•	✓		NA			✓		NA
Populations living in slums or informal					NA					NA
settlements										
Populations living in remote or hard-to-reach					NA					NA
areas					10/1					147 (
Indigenous populations					NA					NA
Internally displaced persons and/or refugees					NA					NA
Women					NA					NA
Ethnic minorities					NA					NA
People living with disabilities					NA					NA
Populations with a high burden of disease					NA					NA
Other: coastal cities	✓	0			NA					NA
Measures included in plans, Specific coverage targets,	and Progress	is monitored	: 🗸 Yes; 🗙	No; NA = No	t applicable.					
Level of implementation: O Not yet implemented; 1	Partially impl	emented;	Fully imple	mented.						
Measures in financing plan to reduce inequities: O No	; 🛈 Yes, but	not consister	ntly applied;	Yes and m	neasures appli	ed.				

AFFORDABILITY					
			Urban	Rural	
	Urban	Rural	drinking-	drinking-	
	sanitation	sanitation	water	water	
Financial schemes to make access to WASH more affordable for vulnerable groups	•	•	•	•	
O No schemes exist; O Schemes exist, but not widely used; O Schemes exist and are widely used.					

SDG means of implementation target 6.b aims to support and strengthen the participation of local communities in improving water and sanitation management. WHO, in collaboration with partners, monitors this target through the GLAAS initiative, looking at existence of participation procedures, participation of women and availability of resources for participation.

PARTICIPATION PROCEDURES ESTABLISHED AT NATIONAL LEVEL

	Participation procedures defined in laws or policy	Extent of participation by the service users	Women's participation specified	Extent of women's participation			
Urban sanitation	✓	•	×				
Rural sanitation							
Urban drinking-water							
Rural drinking-water							
Hygiene promotion							
Water resources management	✓	•	×				
Participation procedures in law/policy and Women's participation specified: ✓ Yes; × No.							
Extent of participation: O Very low; O Low; O Medium; O High; O Very high.							

GOVERNMENT RESOURCES FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

GOVERNMENT RESOURCES FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION						
	Financial resources	Human resources	Agency responsible for monitoring extent and effectiveness of participation			
Urban WASH	0	0				
Rural WASH	0	0				
Water resources management	0	0				
Financial and human resources: ○ Less than 50% of what is needed;						
Over 75% of what is needed. Responsible agency: ✓ Yes; × No.						

VI. To what extent have countries pursued the ambition of SDG 6?

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) establish global aspirational targets leading up to 2030. Goal 6 aims to ensure the availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all. Governments are expected to set their own national targets guided by the global level of ambition while taking into account national circumstances. This section presents findings on the extent to which countries are pursuing the ambition of SDG 6 by incorporating elements of safely managed services and other SDG themes into national WASH policies, plans and coverage targets. In addition, WHO is a co-custodian of SDG 6 means of implementation targets 6.a and 6.b through the GLAAS initiative.

NATIONAL POLICIES AND PLANS: INCORPORATING SDG 6 ELEMENTS

	Urban	Rural		Urban drinking-	Rural drinking-
SANITATION	sanitation	sanitation	DRINKING-WATER	water	water
Access to safely managed services			Access to safely managed services	✓	√
Access to improved sources or facilities			Access to improved sources or facilities	✓	✓
Climate resilience of WASH technology			Climate resilience of WASH technology	✓	
Open defecation			Affordability measures	✓	×
Reuse of municipal wastewater and faecal sludge			Household connections	✓	×
Municipal wastewater and sewerage			HYGIENE		
Faecal sludge collection and transport			Promotion of handwashing with soap	٧	/
Faecal sludge treatment			Safe menstrual hygiene management	٧	/
✓ Yes; X No.					

NATIONAL COVERAGE TARGETS: INCORPORATING SDG 6 ELEMENTS IN NATIONAL COVERAGE CRITERIA

SANITATION	Urban sanitation	Rural sanitation	DRINKING-WATER	Urban drinking- water	Rural drinking- water
Excreta is transported via a sewerage network and treated off-site	•	•	Minimum volume of water per day	0	•
Excreta is temporarily stored, emptied, and treated offsite	•	•	Minimum service hours per day	0	•
Excreta is treated and disposed of on-site	0	0	Maximum roundtrip collection time, including queuing	0	0
Improved sanitation facilities	•	•	Maximum roundtrip distance	0	0
Shared sanitation facilities between two or more households	•	•	Drinking-water is accessible on premises	•	•
			Drinking-water is free from contamination	•	•
Target year	2030	2022	Target year	2022	2022
Target value	100%	50%	Target value	85%	80%
HYGIENE					
Handwashing facility on premises					
Soap and water on premises					
$igcirc$ Not included in target; $oldsymbol{0}$ Included in target, but monitoring	data not availab	le; Included	in target and monitoring data available.		

TARGET-SETTING PROCESSES: ARE THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS INCLUDED?

	Sanitation	Drinking- water	Hygiene	WASH in health care facilities
Inclusion of stakeholders	✓	✓	✓	✓
Baseline analysis	✓		✓	✓
Financial analysis				×
Human resources needs assessment				×
Public consultation	✓			
Revisions based on public consultation	✓		~	✓
Political endorsement	✓		✓	✓
Public sharing of final targets	✓		✓	✓
✓ Yes; X No.				

SDG means of implementation target 6.a aims to expand international cooperation and capacity-building support for water- and sanitation-related activities and programmes. WHO monitors international cooperation between donor and recipient governments for this target.

