Georgia

Highlights based on country-reported GLAAS 2018/2019 data

The data presented in this UN-Water Global Analysis and Assessment of Sanitation and Drinking-Water (GLAAS) country highlight are country government responses to the GLAAS 2018/2019 country survey. Since 2008, GLAAS has monitored key elements of national drinking-water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) systems with a focus on governance, monitoring, human resources and finance. The data presented in this highlight are a snapshot of the WASH systems in the country and do not cover all aspects of the WASH sector. For more information, see https://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/monitoring/investments/glaas/en/

I. To what extent has the government assumed responsibility for WASH and made themselves accountable?

NATIONAL POLICIES AND PLANS: EXISTENCE AND IMPLEMENTATION

	Estimated cost									
Irban sanitation										
tural sanitation										
Jrban drinking-water										
ural drinking-water										
Policy status: ○ No national policy; Policy under development; Existing policy undergoing revision; Policy formally approved. Plan status: ○ No plan; Under development; Approved, not implemented; Approved, partially implemented; Approved, fully implemented. Policy shared, Plan costed and Human resources assessed: Yes; No.										
Irban drinking-water ural drinking-water olicy status: O No nation lan status: O No plan; C										

SYSTEMS IN PLACE TO TRACK FUNDING FLOWS TO WASH

3131EIVIS IN PLACE TO I								
	Financing plan	Availability of WASH budgets	Expenditure reports	Traceable donor funding channels	Tracking of expenditure sources			
Urban sanitation	•							
Rural sanitation	•				J			
Urban drinking-water	•	•		\circ				
Rural drinking-water	•				J			
Hygiene promotion	•				1			
Financing plan: O No plan	Financing plan: ○ No plan; ○ Under development; ① Agreed, insufficiently implemented;							
 Agreed, used in some de 								
WASH budgets: O No WAS				_				
have budget data;			half of ministrie	s listed; T	otal and			
Expenditure reports: O No	reports avai	lable; ① Some	e donor, NGO o	r government	t reports			
available; Donor, NGO a	nd governme	ent reports ava	ilable.					
Donor funding channels:	No informa	tion; 🛈 Total	funding known,	but no disag	gregation			
by funding channel; Tot	al funding kn	own with disag	ggregation by fu	inding channe	el.			
Tracking expenditure source								
but not disaggregated by su	ubsector; 🛈	Expenditure da	ata available for	some source	es;			
 Expenditure data availal 								
NOTE: More information on	budgets and	finance is avai	lable in section i	V of this high	light.			

MONITORING PROGRESS TOWARDS NATIONAL TARGETS

MONITORING PROGRESS TOWARDS NATIONAL TARGETS							
	Monitoring WASH targets						
Government-led process for monitoring	✓						
Frequency of assessment							
Sufficiency of human resources for monitoring	0						
Government-led process: ✓ Yes; × No.							
Frequency of assessment: O Ad hoc; O Every 4+ years;	① Every 2						
to 4 years; Annually.							
Sufficient human resources: O Less than 50% of what is r	needed;						
⊕ Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; ● More that what is needed.	an 75% of						

PROGRESS TOWARD NATIONAL TARGETS

	Baseline			Current	Target		
	value	Baseline year	Current value	year	value	Target year	Target description
Urban sanitation					97%	2020	Proportion of population using improved sanitation facilities
Rural sanitation					78%	2020	Proportion of population using improved sanitation facilities
Urban drinking-water					98%	2020	Proportion of population using improved water supplies
Rural drinking-water					92%	2020	Proportion of population using improved water supplies
Hygiene promotion					100%	2030	Proportion of population with basic handwashing facilities on premises





II. What regulatory framework has been developed to support the quality and sustainability of services?

REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS

SANITATION			DRINKING-WATER	Urban	Rural
Formal national treatment standards - on-site sanitation	•	/	Formal national standards - drinking-water	✓	✓
Formal national treatment standards - faecal sludge	•	/	Surveillance requirements defined in formal instruments	~	~
Formal national treatment standards - wastewater	J		Roles and responsibilities for drinking-water safety defined	✓	✓
Formal national treatment standards - safe reuse	3	K .	Service delivery requirements beyond water quality	✓	✓
Surveillance requirements defined in formal instruments	•	/			
SANITATION RISK MANAGEMENT	Urban	Rural	DRINKING-WATER RISK MANAGEMENT		
Sanitation safety planning	1	0	Water safety planning	0	1
✓ Yes; X No.					
Risk management: O No such instrument; O Instrument anticipated; O	Instrument un	der develo	oment; Instrument fully approved.		

REGULATORY AUTHORITIES

SCOPE OF RESPONSIBILITIES	Urban sanitation	Rural sanitation	Urban drinking-water	Rural drinking-water
Responsible for setting tariffs	✓	✓	✓	✓
Oversee drinking-water quality			✓	✓
Oversee effluent quality	✓	✓		
Oversee service coverage and quality of service	-1	~		•
delivery	•	^	•	^
REGULATORY AUTHORITY MANDATE				
Established by law	✓	✓	✓	✓
Located in different institution than service	-1			
providers	•	•	•	•
Can publish findings independently without	./	.1		
clearance	₩	•	*	*
✓ Yes; X No.				

FUNCTIONS OF REGULATORS

SANITATION	Urban	Rural	DRINKING-WATER	Urban	Rural
Collect population service coverage data from operators	✓	A	Collect population service coverage data from providers	✓	_
Set standards and monitor design, construction, use of plants/sewers	~	A	Collect water quality data from service providers	~	A
Set standards and monitor design, construction, use of on-site systems	_	_	Publish accessible reports on drinking-water quality	✓	A
Set standards and monitor faecal sludge management	×	×	Publish accessible reports on water service delivery	✓	
Publish accessible reports on flows and sludge volumes		×	Take corrective action against nonperformers		
Publish accessible reports on quality of on-site sanitation services	_	×			
Take corrective action against nonperformers	~				
✓ Yes; A Partially; No.		•			

SANITATION	Sewered wastewater effluent quality	On-site facilities	DRINKING-WATER	Urban	Rural		
Testing of effluent and sludge quality against national standards	•	0	Testing of water quality against national standards		•		
Independent assessment of risk management approaches		0	Independent assessment of risk management approaches				
Independent sanitary inspections at premises to assess risks	•	0	Independent sanitary inspections to assess risk				
Collection and review of service provider monitoring records		0	Collection and review of service provider monitoring				
O Not done or insufficiently performed; O Performed but data not used;	Performed	and inform	s remedial action.				
SANITATION	Urban	Rural	DRINKING-WATER	Urban	Rural		
Adequacy of testing frequency	•	0	Adequacy of testing frequency	1	•		
Sufficiency of human resources for surveillance activities	0	0	Sufficiency of human resources for surveillance activities	1	0		
Adequacy of testing frequency: O No frequency requirement; C Less than 50%; Between 50% and 75%; Between 75% and 100%; 100% of required frequency. Sufficiency of human resources: C Less than 50% of what is needed; Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; More than 75% of what is needed.							

III. To what extent are stakeholders coordinated and what data are available for evidence-based decision-making?

INSTITUTIONAL ROLES - NUMBER OF LEAD AGENCIES

	Govern / Regulate	Provide Service	Monitor / Surveillance
Drinking-water	3	2	4
Hygiene promotion	1	1	2
Basic sanitation	2	2	3
Municipal wastewater	1	1	2
Faecal sludge collection and treatment	1	1	2

NOTE: Number indicates number of lead ministries and national institutions.

LIST OF MINISTRIES / INSTITUTIONS WITH ROLES IN WASH

Ministry of Environment Protection and Agriculture of Georgia; Georgian National Energy and Water Supply Regulatory Commission; Ministry of Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs) from the Occupied Territories, Labour, Health and Social Affairs of Georgia; Ministry of Regional Development and Infrastructure of Georgia; local self-government; National Statistics Office of Georgia

INSTITUTIONAL WASH IN NATIONAL POLICY AND PLANS

	Included in policies / plans	Year that policy was approved or is expected
WASH in health care facilities	✓	2018
WASH In schools	✓	2018
Infection prevention and control	V	2018
✓ Yes; X No.		

COORDINATION BETWEEN ACTORS

	Formal or
	informal
	coordination
	process
Mechanism to coordinate work of different ministries exists	
O No; O In development; O Informal mechanism exists; Formal mechanism	m exists.
The coordination mechanism:	
· includes all ministries/agencies influencing service delivery	✓
· includes non-governmental stakeholders	
· includes donors that contribute to WASH activities nationally	
· includes mutual review and assessment	
· applies evidence-based decision-making	
· bases work on agreed sectoral framework or national plan	
· includes documentation of processes and activities	
· has a budget line allocated for coordination activities	✓
✓ Yes; A Partially; No.	

JOINT SECTOR REVIEW

					Sectors covered					
	Conducted	Year of most recent JSR	Title of JSR	Sanitation	Drinking- water	Hygiene	WASH in health care facilities	WASH In schools	Other	
Joint sector review (JSR)	~	2015	National Report "Health Status of Population in Georgia"	4	~	~	~	~		
✓ Yes; X No.										

DATA AVAILABILITY FOR DECISION-MAKING			
		Drinking-	Health
SANITATION AND DRINKING-WATER	Sanitation	water	sector
Sector review and/or planning processes	1		
Resource allocation	1		
National standard or regulation development	•		
Targeting surveillance activities	•		
HEALTH SECTOR			
Identifying public health priorities for reducing WASH-related			
diseases			
Responding to WASH-related disease outbreaks			
Identifying priority health care facilities needing WASH			
improvements			
$igcirc$ Limited data collection and availability; $oldsymbol{f O}$ Partial availability and little (use of data; ${f d}$	Data availa	ble and used
for minority of decisions; Data available and used for majority of decision	ıs.		

IV. How sufficient are current resources and what actions are being taken to close resource gaps?

SUFFICIENCY OF HUMAN RESOURCES

	Sanitation	Drinking-water	Hygiene	WASH in health care facilities	WASH in schools	
Achieving national targets						
	WASH sector					
Operations and maintenance	()				
Design/construction of WASH facilities and	/	1				
networks	•					
O Less than 50% of what is needed; • Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; • Over 75% of what is needed.						

UTILIZATION OF AVAILABLE FUNDS (ABSORPTION)

	Urban sanitation	Rural sanitation	Urban drinking-water	Rural drinking- water
Domestic commitments				
Donor commitments		•		
O Less than 50%; O Between 50% and 75%; O Over 75%.				

COST RECOVERY STRATEGIES


COST RECOVERT STRATEGIES							
	Urban sanitation	Rural sanitation	Urban drinking-water	Rural drinking- water			
Operations and basic maintenance covered by tariffs							
Cost recovery addressed in financing plan/budget							
Operations and maintenance covered by tariffs: ○ Less than 50%; Between 50% and 80%; Over 80%.							
Cost recovery addressed in plan: ✓ Yes; × No.							

SUFFICIENCY OF FINANCIAL RESOURCES

						WASH in	
			Urban	Rural		health	
	Urban	Rural	drinking-	drinking-		care	WASH in
	sanitation	sanitation	water	water	Hygiene	facilities	schools
Implementation of national plan	0	0	0				
Achievement of national targets							
Estimated annual need to reach national targets (US\$ millions)	Quantitative	data on spec	cific financia	I needs by s	subsector ar	e not availa	ble.
Identified available finance from all sources (US\$ millions) Quantitative data on funds available for subsectors are not available.							
O Less than 50% of what is needed; • Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; • Over 75% of what is needed.							

WASH BUDGETS AND EXPENDITURES

	2014	2017
Government WASH budget (US\$ millions, current US\$)	96.90	120.55
Government WASH budget per capita (current US\$)	24.27	30.81
Government WASH budget as a percentage of GDP	0.59%	0.80%
	2014	2017
National WASH expenditure (US\$ millions, current US\$)	96.90	120.55
National WASH expenditure per capita (current US\$)	24.27	30.81
National WASH expenditure as a percentage of GDP	0.59%	0.80%





V. What actions are being taken to leave no one behind?

HUMAN RIGHTS

	Sanitation	Water
Does the constitution recognize the human rights to water and sanitation?	✓	✓
Do laws recognize the human rights to water and sanitation?	✓	✓
Has a court recognized the human rights to water and sanitation?		
✓ Yes; × No.	<u> </u>	

REACHING VULNERABLE GROUPS

			Sanitation			Drinking-water				
					Financing					Financial
	Measures				plan	Measures				plan
	to extend				includes	to extend				includes
	services to				measures to	services to				measures to
	group	Level of	Specific		target	group	Level of	Specific		target
		implementa	coverage	Progress for	resources to		implementa	coverage	Progress for	
	policies or	tion of	targets for	group is	reduce	policies or	tion of	targets for	group is	reduce
	plans	measures	group	monitored	inequities	plans	measures	group	monitored	inequities
Poor populations	✓		X	NA	NA	✓		X	NA	NA
Populations living in slums or informal	y		×	NA	NA	~		×	NA	NA
settlements	•		_	IVA	IVA			^	IVA	IVA
Populations living in remote or hard-to-reach	4		×	NA	NA	>	0	×	NA	NA
areas	•		^	INA	INA	•		^	INA	INA
Indigenous populations	✓		×	NA	NA	✓	•	×	NA	NA
Internally displaced persons and/or refugees	4		X	✓		✓	1	X	4	
Women	✓		×	×	NA	✓	•	×	×	NA
Ethnic minorities	V		×	✓	NA	✓	•	×	~	NA
People living with disabilities	✓		X	√	NA	✓	0	X	V	NA
Populations with a high burden of disease	V		×	NA	NA	✓	1	×	NA	NA
Other:										

Measures included in plans, Specific coverage targets, and Progress is monitored: ✓ Yes; X No; NA = Not applicable.

Level of implementation: ○ Not yet implemented; **①** Partially implemented; **●** Fully implemented.

Measures in financing plan to reduce inequities: ○ No; ① Yes, but not consistently applied; ● Yes and measures applied.

AFFORDABILITY

			Urban	Rural		
	Urban	Rural	drinking-	drinking-		
	sanitation	sanitation	water	water		
Financial schemes to make access to WASH more affordable for vulnerable groups						
O No schemes exist;						

SDG means of implementation target 6.b aims to support and strengthen the participation of local communities in improving water and sanitation management. WHO, in collaboration with partners, monitors this target through the GLAAS initiative, looking at existence of participation procedures, participation of women and availability of resources for participation.

PARTICIPATION PROCEDURES ESTABLISHED AT NATIONAL LEVEL

	Participation procedures defined in laws or policy	Extent of participation by the service users	Women's participation specified	Extent of women's participation		
Urban sanitation	✓	0	4	0		
Rural sanitation	✓	•	4	1		
Urban drinking-water	✓	•	V	•		
Rural drinking-water	✓	•	V	•		
Hygiene promotion	4	0	4	0		
Water resources management	4	•	V	0		
Participation procedures in law/policy and Women's participation specified: Ves; X No.						

GOVERNMENT RESOURCES FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

	Financial resources	Human resources	Agency responsible for monitoring extent and effectiveness of participation			
Urban WASH	0	0	4			
Rural WASH	0	Ó	V			
Water resources						
management			~			
Financial and human resources: O Less than 50% of what						

Financial and human resources: O Less than 50% of what is needed; **①** Between 50% and 75% of what is needed;

● Over 75% of what is needed.

Responsible agency: ✓ Yes; × No.

VI. To what extent have countries pursued the ambition of SDG 6?

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) establish global aspirational targets leading up to 2030. Goal 6 aims to ensure the availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all. Governments are expected to set their own national targets guided by the global level of ambition while taking into account national circumstances. This section presents findings on the extent to which countries are pursuing the ambition of SDG 6 by incorporating elements of safely managed services and other SDG themes into national WASH policies, plans and coverage targets. In addition, WHO is a co-custodian of SDG 6 means of implementation targets 6.a and 6.b through the GLAAS initiative.

NATIONAL POLICIES AND PLANS: INCORPORATING SDG 6 ELEMENTS

	I I ale a se	Descrip		Urban	Rural
	Urban	Rural		drinking-	drinking-
SANITATION	sanitation	sanitation	DRINKING-WATER	water	water
Access to safely managed services	✓	✓	Access to safely managed services	\checkmark	✓
Access to improved sources or facilities	✓	✓	Access to improved sources or facilities	</td <td>✓</td>	✓
Climate resilience of WASH technology	×	×	Climate resilience of WASH technology	×	×
Open defecation	✓	✓	Affordability measures	✓	✓
Reuse of municipal wastewater and faecal sludge	✓	✓	Household connections	✓	✓
Municipal wastewater and sewerage	✓	✓	HYGIENE		
Faecal sludge collection and transport	✓	✓	Promotion of handwashing with soap	٧	9
Faecal sludge treatment	✓	✓	Safe menstrual hygiene management	X	
✓ Yes; X No.					

NATIONAL COVERAGE TARGETS: INCORPORATING SDG 6 ELEMENTS IN NATIONAL COVERAGE CRITERIA

SANITATION	Urban sanitation	Rural sanitation	DRINKING-WATER	Urban drinking- water	Rural drinking- water
Excreta is transported via a sewerage network and treated off-site	•	•	Minimum volume of water per day	•	•
Excreta is temporarily stored, emptied, and treated offsite	0	0	Minimum service hours per day	•	•
Excreta is treated and disposed of on-site	•	•	Maximum roundtrip collection time, including queuing	•	•
Improved sanitation facilities	•	•	Maximum roundtrip distance	•	•
Shared sanitation facilities between two or more households	•	•	Drinking-water is accessible on premises	•	•
			Drinking-water is free from contamination	•	•
Target year	2020	2020	Target year	2020	2020
Target value	97%	78%	Target value	98%	92%
HYGIENE					
Handwashing facility on premises					
Soap and water on premises					
O Not included in target; O Included in target, but monitoring	data not availa	ble; Include	ed in target and monitoring data available.		

TARGET-SETTING PROCESSES: ARE THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS INCLUDED?

	Sanitation	Drinking- water	Hygiene	WASH in health care facilities
Inclusion of stakeholders	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓
Baseline analysis	×	4	✓	✓
Financial analysis	×	×	×	×
Human resources needs assessment	×	×	×	×
Public consultation	- 1	- 1	J	- 1
Revisions based on public	V	*	V	~
consultation	✓	✓	✓	✓
Political endorsement	×	×	×	×
Public sharing of final targets	×	×	×	×
✓ Yes; X No.				

SDG means of implementation target 6.a aims to expand international cooperation and capacity-building support for water- and sanitation-related activities and programmes. WHO monitors international cooperation between donor and recipient governments for this target.

