# **Pakistan**



# Highlights based on country-reported GLAAS 2018/2019 data

The data presented in this UN-Water Global Analysis and Assessment of Sanitation and Drinking-Water (GLAAS) country highlight are country government responses to the GLAAS 2018/2019 country survey. Since 2008, GLAAS has monitored key elements of national drinking-water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) systems with a focus on governance, monitoring, human resources and finance. The data presented in this highlight are a snapshot of the WASH systems in the country and do not cover all aspects of the WASH sector. For more information, see <a href="https://www.who.int/water\_sanitation\_health/monitoring/investments/glaas/en/">https://www.who.int/water\_sanitation\_health/monitoring/investments/glaas/en/</a>

# I. To what extent has the government assumed responsibility for WASH and made themselves accountable?

## NATIONAL POLICIES AND PLANS: EXISTENCE AND IMPLEMENTATION

	Policy status	Policy shared via public notice?	Year policy approved/ expected	Plan status	Plan costed?	Sufficient finance for plan?	Human resources assessed for plan?	Sufficient human resources for plan?	Year plan approved/ expected	Estimated cost
Urban sanitation	•	<b>✓</b>	2006	O	<b>✓</b>	0	×		2019	5 832 915 million Pakistani rupees by 2030
Rural sanitation	•	<b>~</b>	2006	•	✓	0	×		2019	The SDG Costing Tool has been utilised but its finalisation and consolidation at national level has yet to be made for final approval
Urban drinking-water	•	<b>~</b>	2009	•	✓	0	×	0	2019	The SDG Costing Tool has been utilised but its finalisation and consolidation at national level has yet to be made for final approval
Rural drinking-water	•	✓	2009	•	✓	0	×	0	2019	
Plan status: O No plan; C Policy shared, Plan costed a	Policy status: ○ No national policy; ● Policy under development; ● Existing policy undergoing revision; ● Policy formally approved.  Plan status: ○ No plan; ● Under development; ● Approved, not implemented; ● Approved, partially implemented; ● Approved, fully implemented.  Policy shared, Plan costed and Human resources assessed: ✓ Yes; メ No.  Sufficient finance and Sufficient human resources: ○ Less than 50% of what is needed; ● Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; ● More than 75% of what is needed.									

## SYSTEMS IN PLACE TO TRACK FUNDING FLOWS TO WASH

		A: - -  : :+£		Traceable	- I. c
		Availability of		donor	Tracking of
	Financing	WASH	Expenditure	funding	expenditure
	plan	budgets	reports	channels	sources
Urban sanitation	•		•		
Rural sanitation	•		•		0
Urban drinking-water	•		•	1	
Rural drinking-water	•		•		O
Hygiene promotion	0		$\circ$		•
Financing plan: O No plan	; 🔿 Under d	evelopment; ${f d}$	Agreed, insuff	iciently imple	mented;
Agreed, used in some de					
<b>WASH budgets:</b> O No WAS					
have budget data;   Budg disaggregated data available			alf of ministries	listed;   To	otal and
Expenditure reports: O No	reports avai	lable; 🛈 Some	donor, NGO or	government	reports
available;   Donor, NGO a	nd governme	nt reports avai	lable.		
Donor funding channels:	No informa	tion; 🛈 Total f	unding known,	but no disagg	regation
by funding channel;  Tota					
Tracking expenditure source					
but not disaggregated by su			ta available for	some sources	5;
<ul> <li>Expenditure data availat</li> </ul>					
NOTE: More information on	budgets and	finance is avai	lable in section	IV of this higi	hlight.

#### MONITORING PROGRESS TOWARDS NATIONAL TARGETS

	Monitoring WASH targets
Government-led process for monitoring	✓
Frequency of assessment	•
Sufficiency of human resources for monitoring	•
Government-led process: ✓ Yes; ※ No.  Frequency of assessment: ○ Ad hoc; ○ Every 4+ years; (to 4 years; ● Annually.  Sufficient human resources: ○ Less than 50% of what is not between 50% and 75% of what is needed; ● More that what is needed.	eeded;

## PROGRESS TOWARD NATIONAL TARGETS

FROMESS TOWARD NATIONAL TARGETS										
	Baseline			Current						
	value	Baseline year	Current value	year	Target value	Target year	Target description			
Urban sanitation	55%	2015-16			62%	2030	Percentage of people with access to safe sanitation			
Rural sanitation					62%	2030	Percentage of people with access to safe sanitation			
Urban drinking-water	41%	2015			97%	2030	Percentage of people with access to safe sanitation services			
Rural drinking-water	32%	2015			97%	2030	Percentage of people with access to safe sanitation services			
Hygiene promotion	87% (urban); 52% (rural)	2012-13			100%	2024	Percentage of the population having access to health and hygiene promotion activities			





# II. What regulatory framework has been developed to support the quality and sustainability of services?

# **REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS**

SANITATION	DRINKING-WATER	Urban	Rural		
Formal national treatment standards - on-site sanitation	>	(	Formal national standards - drinking-water	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Formal national treatment standards - faecal sludge	×		Surveillance requirements defined in formal instruments	×	×
Formal national treatment standards - wastewater	✓		Roles and responsibilities for drinking-water safety defined	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>
Formal national treatment standards - safe reuse	×		Service delivery requirements beyond water quality	✓	×
Surveillance requirements defined in formal instruments	>	ζ			
SANITATION RISK MANAGEMENT	Urban	Rural	DRINKING-WATER RISK MANAGEMENT		
Sanitation safety planning	•	•	Water safety planning		
✓ Yes; X No.					
Risk management: O No such instrument; O Instrument anticipated; O Inst	rument und	er develop	ment; Instrument fully approved.		

# **REGULATORY AUTHORITIES**

SCOPE OF RESPONSIBILITIES	Urban sanitation	Rural sanitation	Urban drinking-water	Rural drinking-water
Responsible for setting tariffs	×	×	×	×
Oversee drinking-water quality			×	×
Oversee effluent quality	×	×		
Oversee service coverage and quality of service	<b>~</b>		~	×
delivery	^	^	^	^
REGULATORY AUTHORITY MANDATE				
Established by law				
Located in different institution than service				
providers				
Can publish findings independently without				
clearance				
✓ Yes; X No.				

#### FUNCTIONS OF REGULATORS

FUNCTIONS OF REGULATORS					
SANITATION	Urban	Rural	DRINKING-WATER	Urban	Rural
Collect population service coverage data from operators			Collect population service coverage data from providers		
Set standards and monitor design, construction, use of plants/sewers			Collect water quality data from service providers		
Set standards and monitor design, construction, use of on-site systems			Publish accessible reports on drinking-water quality		
Set standards and monitor faecal sludge management			Publish accessible reports on water service delivery		
Publish accessible reports on flows and sludge volumes			Take corrective action against nonperformers		
Publish accessible reports on quality of on-site sanitation services					
Take corrective action against nonperformers					
✓ Yes;   A Partially;   No.					

INDEPENDENT SURVEILLANCE								
SANITATION	Sewered wastewater effluent quality	On-site facilities	DRINKING-WATER	Urban	Rural			
Testing of effluent and sludge quality against national standards	0	0	Testing of water quality against national standards					
Independent assessment of risk management approaches	0	0	Independent assessment of risk management approaches	0	0			
Independent sanitary inspections at premises to assess risks	0	0	Independent sanitary inspections to assess risk	0	0			
Collection and review of service provider monitoring records	0	0	Collection and review of service provider monitoring	0	$\circ$			
O Not done or insufficiently performed; O Performed but data not used;	<ul><li>Performed a</li></ul>	and informs	remedial action.					
SANITATION	Urban	Rural	DRINKING-WATER	Urban	Rural			
Adequacy of testing frequency	0	0	Adequacy of testing frequency	•	•			
Sufficiency of human resources for surveillance activities	0	0	Sufficiency of human resources for surveillance activities	0	0			
Adequacy of testing frequency: O No frequency requirement; D Less than 50%; D Between 50% and 75%; D Between 75% and 100%; 100% of required frequency.  Sufficiency of human resources: O Less than 50% of what is needed; D Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; More than 75% of what is needed.								

# III. To what extent are stakeholders coordinated and what data are available for evidence-based decision-making?

## **INSTITUTIONAL ROLES - NUMBER OF LEAD AGENCIES**

	Govern / Regulate	Provide Service	Monitor / Surveillance
Drinking-water	0	3	6
Hygiene promotion	1	0	3
Basic sanitation	0	0	4
Municipal wastewater	0	1	3
Faecal sludge collection and treatment	0	1	4

NOTE: Number indicates number of lead ministries and national institutions.

# LIST OF MINISTRIES / INSTITUTIONS WITH ROLES IN WASH

Ministry of Climate Change; Ministry Health Service Regulation and Coordination; Ministry of Federal Education and Professional Training; Provincial Housing and Urban Development; Local Government Department; Provincial Health Department; Provincial Education Department; Provincial Environmental Protection Department

# **INSTITUTIONAL WASH IN NATIONAL POLICY AND PLANS**

	Included in policies / plans	Year that policy was approved or is expected
WASH in health care facilities	✓	2006
WASH In schools	✓	2015
Infection prevention and control	×	2015
✓ Yes; X No.		

# **COORDINATION BETWEEN ACTORS**

	Formal or
	informal
	coordination
	process
Mechanism to coordinate work of different ministries exists	
O No; O In development; O Informal mechanism exists; Formal mechanism	n exists.
The coordination mechanism:	
· includes all ministries/agencies influencing service delivery	✓
· includes non-governmental stakeholders	✓
· includes donors that contribute to WASH activities nationally	
· includes mutual review and assessment	
· applies evidence-based decision-making	
· bases work on agreed sectoral framework or national plan	
· includes documentation of processes and activities	
· has a budget line allocated for coordination activities	✓
✓ Yes;   A Partially;   No.	

# JOINT SECTOR REVIEW

				Sectors covered					
	Conducted	Year of most recent JSR	Title of JSR	Sanitation	Drinking- water	Hygiene	WASH in health care facilities	WASH In schools	Other
Joint sector review (JSR)	✓	2018	Joint Sector Review	✓	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	×	<b>✓</b>	
✓ Yes; X No.	•								

## **DATA AVAILABILITY FOR DECISION-MAKING**

		Drinking-	Health		
SANITATION AND DRINKING-WATER	Sanitation	water	sector		
Sector review and/or planning processes	1	•			
Resource allocation	•	1			
National standard or regulation development	0	•			
Targeting surveillance activities		•			
HEALTH SECTOR					
Identifying public health priorities for reducing WASH-related					
diseases					
Responding to WASH-related disease outbreaks			•		
Identifying priority health care facilities needing WASH					
improvements					
O Limited data collection and availability; O Partial availability and little use of data; O Data available and used					
for minority of decisions;   Data available and used for majority of decision	S.				

# IV. How sufficient are current resources and what actions are being taken to close resource gaps?

## **SUFFICIENCY OF HUMAN RESOURCES**

				WASH in health care		
	Sanitation	Drinking-water	Hygiene	facilities	WASH in schools	
Achieving national targets				0	0	
	WASH sector					
Operations and maintenance						
Design/construction of WASH facilities and	/					
networks	(	)				
O Less than 50% of what is needed; • Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; • Over 75% of what is needed.						

# **UTILIZATION OF AVAILABLE FUNDS (ABSORPTION)**

				Rural drinking-
	Urban sanitation	Rural sanitation	Urban drinking-water	water
Domestic commitments				
Donor commitments				
O Less than 50%;		•	•	

#### COST RECOVERY STRATEGIES


	Urban sanitation	Rural sanitation	Urban drinking-water	Rural drinking- water			
Operations and basic maintenance covered by tariffs	0		0				
Cost recovery addressed in financing plan/budget	×	×	×	×			
Operations and maintenance covered by tariffs: ○ Less than 50%; ● Between 50% and 80%; ● Over 80%.  Cost recovery addressed in plan: ✓ Yes; × No.							


# **SUFFICIENCY OF FINANCIAL RESOURCES**

						WASH in	
	Urban	Rural	Urban drinking-	Rural drinking-		health care	WASH in
	sanitation	sanitation	water	water	Hygiene	facilities	schools
Implementation of national plan	0	0	0	0	0		
Achievement of national targets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Estimated annual need to reach national targets (US\$ millions)	Quantitative	data on spec	ific financial	needs by su	ubsector are	not availab	le.
Identified available finance from all sources (US\$ millions)  Quantitative data on funds available for subsectors are not available.							
O Less than 50% of what is needed; O Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; Over 75% of what is needed.							

# **WASH BUDGETS AND EXPENDITURES**

	2012	2016	2018
Government WASH budget (US\$ millions, current US\$)	391.65	623.39	953.99
Government WASH budget per capita (current US\$)	2.20	3.23	4.75
Government WASH budget as a percentage of GDP	0.17%	0.22%	0.31%
	2012	2016	2017
National WASH expenditure (US\$ millions, current US\$)	568.71	898.39	1 133.74
National WASH expenditure per capita (current US\$)	3.20	4.65	5.75
National WASH expenditure as a percentage of GDP	0.25%	0.32%	0.37%





# V. What actions are being taken to leave no one behind?

## **HUMAN RIGHTS**

	Sanitation	Water
Does the constitution recognize the human rights to water and sanitation?	✓	✓
Do laws recognize the human rights to water and sanitation?	×	×
Has a court recognized the human rights to water and sanitation?	✓	✓
✓ Yes; X No.		

#### **REACHING VULNERABLE GROUPS**

			Sanitation			Drinking-water				
					Financing					Financial
	Measures				plan	Measures				plan
	to extend				includes	to extend				includes
	services to				measures to	services to				measures to
	group	Level of	Specific		target	group	Level of	Specific		target
	included in	implementa	coverage	Progress for	resources to	included in	implementa	coverage	Progress for	resources
	policies or	tion of	targets for	group is	reduce	policies or	tion of	targets for	group is	to reduce
	plans	measures	group	monitored	inequities	plans	measures	group	monitored	inequities
Poor populations	$\checkmark$	1	✓	✓	1	$\checkmark$	1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Populations living in slums or informal settlements	✓	•	✓	✓	•	✓	•	✓	✓	•
Populations living in remote or hard-to-reach areas	<b>~</b>	•	✓	✓	•	<b>✓</b>	•	<b>✓</b>	✓	•
Indigenous populations	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA
Internally displaced persons and/or refugees	✓	•	×	×	1	<b>√</b>	•	×	×	1
Women	✓	•	<b>V</b>	✓	1	<b>✓</b>	•	<b>4</b>	<b>√</b>	•
Ethnic minorities	×	•	×	×	1	<b>~</b>	•	×	×	•
People living with disabilities	×	•	×	×	0	$\checkmark$	•	×	×	0
Populations with a high burden of disease	✓	1	<b>V</b>	✓		✓	1	</td <td>✓</td> <td></td>	✓	
Other:	NA		NA			NA		NA		
Measures included in plans, Specific coverage targets, ar	nd <b>Progress is</b>	monitored:	✓ Yes; 🗶 I	No; NA = Not a	pplicable.					
Level of implementation: O Not yet implemented; O P.	artially imple	mented; $lacktriangle$ F	ully implem	ented.						

Measures in financing plan to reduce inequities: ○ No; ① Yes, but not consistently applied; ② Yes and measures applied.

# **AFFORDABILITY**

	Urban sanitation	Rural sanitation	Urban drinking- water	Rural drinking- water		
Financial schemes to make access to WASH more affordable for vulnerable groups	0	0	0	0		
O No schemes exist; O Schemes exist, but not widely used; O Schemes exist and are widely used.						

SDG means of implementation target 6.b aims to support and strengthen the participation of local communities in improving water and sanitation management. WHO, in collaboration with partners, monitors this target through the GLAAS initiative, looking at existence of participation procedures, participation of women and availability of resources for participation.

PARTICIPATION PROCEDURES ESTABLISHED AT NA	Participation procedures defined in laws or policy	Extent of participation by the service users	Women's participation specified	Extent of women's participation		
Urban sanitation	<b>√</b>	•	<b>~</b>	0		
Rural sanitation	<b>4</b>	•	<b>4</b>	•		
Urban drinking-water	✓	•	<b>√</b>	0		
Rural drinking-water	✓	•	<b>√</b>	•		
Hygiene promotion	<b>√</b>	•	<b>√</b>	1		
Water resources management	<b>√</b>	•	<b>4</b>	•		
Participation procedures in law/policy and Women's participation specified: ✓ Yes; X No.  Extent of participation: ○ Very low; ○ Low; ① Medium; ④ High; ● Very high.						

# **GOVERNMENT RESOURCES FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

	Financial resources	Human resources	Agency responsible for monitoring extent and effectiveness of participation		
Urban WASH	0	0	×		
Rural WASH	•	1	✓		
Water resources management	0	•	×		
Financial and human resources: O Less than 50% of what is needed;  Between 50% and 75% of what is needed;					

# VI. To what extent have countries pursued the ambition of SDG 6?

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) establish global aspirational targets leading up to 2030. Goal 6 aims to ensure the availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all. Governments are expected to set their own national targets guided by the global level of ambition while taking into account national circumstances. This section presents findings on the extent to which countries are pursuing the ambition of SDG 6 by incorporating elements of safely managed services and other SDG themes into national WASH policies, plans and coverage targets. In addition, WHO is a co-custodian of SDG 6 means of implementation targets 6.a and 6.b through the GLAAS initiative.

## **NATIONAL POLICIES AND PLANS: INCORPORATING SDG 6 ELEMENTS**

				Urban	Rural
	Urban	Rural		drinking-	drinking-
#VALUE!	sanitation	sanitation	DRINKING-WATER	water	water
Access to safely managed services	✓	×	Access to safely managed services	✓	✓
Access to improved sources or facilities	✓	✓	Access to improved sources or facilities	✓	<b>✓</b>
Climate resilience of WASH technology	✓	✓	Climate resilience of WASH technology	✓	<b>V</b>
Open defecation	✓	✓	Affordability measures	✓	<b>4</b>
Reuse of municipal wastewater and faecal sludge	✓	×	Household connections	✓	$\checkmark$
Municipal wastewater and sewerage	✓	×	HYGIENE		
Faecal sludge collection and transport	✓	×	Promotion of handwashing with soap	٧	1
Faecal sludge treatment	×	✓	Safe menstrual hygiene management	✓	
✓ Yes; X No.					

## NATIONAL COVERAGE TARGETS: INCORPORATING SDG 6 ELEMENTS IN NATIONAL COVERAGE CRITERIA

SANITATION	Urban sanitation	Rural sanitation	DRINKING-WATER	Urban drinking- water	Rural drinking- water
Excreta is transported via a sewerage network and treated off-site	•	•	Minimum volume of water per day	•	•
Excreta is temporarily stored, emptied, and treated offsite	•	•	Minimum service hours per day	•	•
Excreta is treated and disposed of on-site	•	•	Maximum roundtrip collection time, including queuing	•	•
Improved sanitation facilities	•		Maximum roundtrip distance	0	0
Shared sanitation facilities between two or more households	•	•	Drinking-water is accessible on premises		•
			Drinking-water is free from contamination	•	•
Target year	2030	2030	Target year	2030	2030
Target value	62%	62%	Target value	97%	97%
HYGIENE					
Handwashing facility on premises					
Soap and water on premises					
$igcirc$ Not included in target; $oldsymbol{0}$ Included in target, but monitoring (	data not availabl	e;   Included i	n target and monitoring data available.		

# TARGET-SETTING PROCESSES: ARE THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS INCLUDED?

	Sanitation	Drinking- water	Hygiene	WASH in health care facilities
Inclusion of stakeholders	✓	✓	✓	
Baseline analysis	✓	✓	✓	✓
Financial analysis	✓	✓	✓	✓
Human resources needs assessment	×	×	×	×
Public consultation	✓	✓	✓	✓
Revisions based on public consultation	✓	✓	✓	✓
Political endorsement	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	×
Public sharing of final targets  Yes; X No.	×	X	×	×

**SDG** means of implementation target 6.a aims to expand international cooperation and capacity-building support for water- and sanitation-related activities and programmes. WHO monitors international cooperation between donor and recipient governments for this target.

