Sri Lanka



Highlights based on country-reported GLAAS 2018/2019 data

The data presented in this UN-Water Global Analysis and Assessment of Sanitation and Drinking-Water (GLAAS) country highlight are country government responses to the GLAAS 2018/2019 country survey. Since 2008, GLAAS has monitored key elements of national drinking-water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) systems with a focus on governance, monitoring, human resources and finance. The data presented in this highlight are a snapshot of the WASH systems in the country and do not cover all aspects of the WASH sector. For more information, see https://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/monitoring/investments/glaas/en/

I. To what extent has the government assumed responsibility for WASH and made themselves accountable?

NATIONAL POLICIES AND PLANS: EXISTENCE AND IMPLEMENTATION

| | Policy status | Policy shared via public notice? | Year policy approved/ expected | Plan status | Plan costed? | Sufficient finance for plan? | Human resources assessed for plan? | Sufficient human resources for plan? | Year plan approved/ expected | Estimated cost |
|---|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|---|
| Urban sanitation | • | ~ | 2017 | • | ~ | • | ~ | • | _ | 206 billion Sri Lankan rupees for sewerage projects in 2017- 2025 |
| Rural sanitation | • | ✓ | 2001 | • | × | | | | Plan is being formulated | Poject by project basis |
| Urban drinking-water | • | ✓ | 2010 | • | ~ | • | ✓ | • | 2016 -2025 | 1 000 billion Sri Lankan rupees for projects in 2016-2025 |
| Rural drinking-water | • | ✓ | 2001 | • | ✓ | • | | • | | Cost based on unit cost of development |
| Policy status: ○ No national policy; ○ Policy under development; ○ Existing policy undergoing revision; ○ Policy formally approved. Plan status: ○ No plan; ○ Under development; ○ Approved, not implemented; ○ Approved, partially implemented; ○ Approved, fully implemented. Policy shared, Plan costed and Human resources assessed: ✓ Yes; ➤ No. | | | | | | | | | | |

Sufficient finance and Sufficient human resources: O Less than 50% of what is needed; D Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; More than 75% of what is needed.

SYSTEMS IN PLACE TO TRACK FUNDING FLOWS TO WASH

| | Financing plan | Availability of WASH budgets | Expenditure reports | Traceable donor funding channels | Tracking of expenditure sources |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Urban sanitation | • | | | | 0 |
| Rural sanitation | \circ | | | | |
| Urban drinking-water | | | | | |
| Rural drinking-water | \circ | | | | |
| Hygiene promotion | • | | 0 | ľ | 0 |
| ■ Agreed, used in some de WASH budgets: ○ No WAShave budget data; ① Budg disaggregated data available Expenditure reports: ○ No available; ● Donor, NGO a Donor funding channels: ○ tota Tracking expenditure source but not disaggregated by su Expenditure data available NOTE: More information on | SH budget date totals availe for ministrice preports availed governme No informal funding knows: O No exbsector; O E ole for all sou | ta available; Clable for over les listed. Ilable; Some of the som | Pewer than han han alf of ministrie e donor, NGO o ilable. funding known, gregation by fula; Some exertia available for | s listed; To r government but no disag nding channen diture data p some source | otal and t reports gregation el. provided s; |

MONITORING PROGRESS TOWARDS NATIONAL TARGETS

| | Monitoring WASH targets | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Government-led process for monitoring | ✓ | | | | | | |
| Frequency of assessment | • | | | | | | |
| Sufficiency of human resources for monitoring | | | | | | | |
| Government-led process: ✓ Yes; ➤ No. Frequency of assessment: ○ Ad hoc; ○ Every 4+ years; ○ Every 2 to 4 years; ○ Annually. | | | | | | | |
| Sufficient human resources: ○ Less than 50% of what is needed; ● More than 7 what is needed; ● More than 7 what is needed. | | | | | | | |

PROGRESS TOWARD NATIONAL TARGETS

| | Baseline | | | Current | | | |
|----------------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------------|---|
| | value | Baseline year | Current value | year | Target value | Target year | Target description |
| Urban sanitation | | | | | 100% | 2030 | Sri Lanka committed to achive SDG 6 Targets 6.1 and 6.2 by 2030 |
| Rural sanitation | | | | | 100% | 2030 | |
| Urban drinking-water | 96% | 2016 | 100% | 2025 | 95% | 2025 | Percentage of population with access to improved water |
| Rural drinking-water | 83% | 2016 | 84% | 2017 | 100% | 2030 | Provide safely managed drinking-water |
| Hygiene promotion | | | | | 100% | 2025 | Availability of handwashing facilities |





II. What regulatory framework has been developed to support the quality and sustainability of services?

REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS

| SANITATION | | | DRINKING-WATER | Urban | Rural | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|--|----------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Formal national treatment standards - on-site sanitation | ٧ | / | Formal national standards - drinking-water | ✓ | ✓ | | | | |
| Formal national treatment standards - faecal sludge | ✓ | | Surveillance requirements defined in formal instruments | ✓ | × | | | | |
| Formal national treatment standards - wastewater | • | / | Roles and responsibilities for drinking-water safety defined | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| Formal national treatment standards - safe reuse | > | Κ | Service delivery requirements beyond water quality | ~ | ✓ | | | | |
| Surveillance requirements defined in formal instruments | ١ | / | | | | | | | |
| SANITATION RISK MANAGEMENT | Urban | Rural | DRINKING-WATER RISK MANAGEMENT | | | | | | |
| Sanitation safety planning | • | 1 | Water safety planning | • | | | | | |
| ✓ Yes; X No. | | - | | - | | | | | |
| Risk management: O No such instrument; O Instrument anticipated; O Instrument under development; O Instrument fully approved. | | | | | | | | | |

REGULATORY AUTHORITIES

| SCOPE OF RESPONSIBILITIES | Urban sanitation | Rural sanitation | Urban drinking-water | Rural drinking-water |
|---|------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Responsible for setting tariffs | ✓ | × | ✓ | × |
| Oversee drinking-water quality | | | ✓ | × |
| Oversee effluent quality | ✓ | × | | |
| Oversee service coverage and quality of service | | | ~ | • |
| delivery | • | ^ | ^ | ^ |
| REGULATORY AUTHORITY MANDATE | | | | |
| Established by law | ✓ | × | ✓ | × |
| Located in different institution than service | . // | ~ | | • |
| providers | • | * | • | * |
| Can publish findings independently without | | ~ | | |
| clearance | ▼ | ^ | ~ | ~ |
| ✓ Yes; X No. | | | | |

FUNCTIONS OF REGULATORS

| SANITATION | Urban | Rural | DRINKING-WATER | Urban | Rural |
|--|----------|-------|---|----------|----------|
| Collect population service coverage data from operators | × | _ | Collect population service coverage data from providers | _ | _ |
| Set standards and monitor design, construction, use of plants/sewers | ~ | Δ | Collect water quality data from service providers | A | A |
| Set standards and monitor design, construction, use of on-site systems | ~ | Δ | Publish accessible reports on drinking-water quality | ~ | A |
| Set standards and monitor faecal sludge management | Δ | Δ | Publish accessible reports on water service delivery | √ | Δ |
| Publish accessible reports on flows and sludge volumes | X | × | Take corrective action against nonperformers | X | × |
| Publish accessible reports on quality of on-site sanitation services | × | × | | | |
| Take corrective action against nonperformers | 4 | Δ | | | |
| ✓ Yes; ▲ Partially; 🗙 No. | | - | | | |

INDEPENDENT SURVEILLANCE

| SANITATION | Sewered wastewater effluent quality | On-site facilities | DRINKING-WATER | Urban | Rural | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|-------|------------|--|--|--|
| Testing of effluent and sludge quality against national standards | • | • | Testing of water quality against national standards | 0 | \bigcirc | | | |
| Independent assessment of risk management approaches | | 0 | Independent assessment of risk management approaches | | | | | |
| Independent sanitary inspections at premises to assess risks | | | Independent sanitary inspections to assess risk | | | | | |
| Collection and review of service provider monitoring records | | 1 | Collection and review of service provider monitoring | | | | | |
| $igcirc$ Not done or insufficiently performed; $oldsymbol{\Phi}$ Performed but data not used | ; Performed | and inform | ns remedial action. | | | | | |
| SANITATION | Urban | Rural | DRINKING-WATER | Urban | Rural | | | |
| Adequacy of testing frequency | • | 0 | Adequacy of testing frequency | 0 | • | | | |
| Sufficiency of human resources for surveillance activities | 0 | • | Sufficiency of human resources for surveillance activities | | | | | |
| Adequacy of testing frequency: O No frequency requirement; C Less than 50%; Between 50% and 75%; Between 75% and 100%; 100% of required frequency. Sufficiency of human resources: C Less than 50% of what is needed; Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; | | | | | | | | |

III. To what extent are stakeholders coordinated and what data are available for evidence-based decision-making?

INSTITUTIONAL ROLES - NUMBER OF LEAD AGENCIES

| | Govern / Regulate | Provide Service | Monitor / Surveillance |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Drinking-water | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Hygiene promotion | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Basic sanitation | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Municipal wastewater | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Faecal sludge collection and treatment | 4 | 2 | 5 |

NOTE: Number indicates number of lead ministries and national institutions.

LIST OF MINISTRIES / INSTITUTIONS WITH ROLES IN WASH

Ministry of City Planning and Water Supply; Ministry of Health; Ministry of Provincial Councils and Local Government; National Water Supply and Drainage Board; Ministry of Environment; Central Environmental Authority

INSTITUTIONAL WASH IN NATIONAL POLICY AND PLANS

| | Included in policies / plans | Year that policy was approved or is expected |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| WASH in health care facilities | ✓ | 2016-2025 |
| WASH In schools | ✓ | 2015 |
| Infection prevention and control | ✓ | 2016 |
| ✓ Yes; X No. | | |

COORDINATION BETWEEN ACTORS

| | Formal or informal coordination process |
|--|---|
| Mechanism to coordinate work of different ministries exists | |
| O No; O In development; O Informal mechanism exists; Formal mechanis | sm exists. |
| The coordination mechanism: | |
| · includes all ministries/agencies influencing service delivery | ✓ |
| · includes non-governmental stakeholders | ✓ |
| · includes donors that contribute to WASH activities nationally | ✓ |
| · includes mutual review and assessment | ✓ |
| · applies evidence-based decision-making | ✓ |
| · bases work on agreed sectoral framework or national plan | ✓ |
| · includes documentation of processes and activities | ✓ |
| · has a budget line allocated for coordination activities | ~ |
| ✓ Yes; A Partially; No. | - |

JOINT SECTOR REVIEW

| JOHN SECTOR REVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|
| | | | | Sectors covered | | | | | |
| | | Year of most recent | | | Drinking- | | WASH in health care | WASH In | |
| | Conducted | JSR | Title of JSR | Sanitation | water | Hygiene | facilities | schools | Other |
| Joint sector review (JSR) | × | | | | | | | | |
| ✓ Yes; X No. | | | | | | | | | |

DATA AVAILABILITY FOR DECISION-MAKING

| | | Drinking- | Health | | |
|--|------------|-----------|--------|--|--|
| SANITATION AND DRINKING-WATER | Sanitation | water | sector | | |
| Sector review and/or planning processes | | | | | |
| Resource allocation | 1 | | | | |
| National standard or regulation development | | • | | | |
| Targeting surveillance activities | • | • | | | |
| HEALTH SECTOR | | | | | |
| Identifying public health priorities for reducing WASH-related | | | | | |
| diseases | | | | | |
| Responding to WASH-related disease outbreaks | | | | | |
| Identifying priority health care facilities needing WASH | | | | | |
| improvements | | | | | |
| O Limited data collection and availability; O Partial availability and little use of data; O Data available and used | | | | | |
| for minority of decisions; Data available and used for majority of decisions. | | | | | |

IV. How sufficient are current resources and what actions are being taken to close resource gaps?

SUFFICIENCY OF HUMAN RESOURCES

| | | | | WASH in health care | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|---------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| | Sanitation | Drinking-water | Hygiene | facilities | WASH in schools | |
| Achieving national targets | • | • | • | • | • | |
| | WASH sector | | | | | |
| Operations and maintenance | | | | | | |
| Design/construction of WASH facilities and | | | | | | |
| networks | • | | | | | |
| C Less than 50% of what is needed; Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; Over 75% of what is needed. | | | | | | |

UTILIZATION OF AVAILABLE FUNDS (ABSORPTION)

| | | | | Rural drinking- |
|---|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| | Urban sanitation | Rural sanitation | Urban drinking-water | water |
| Domestic commitments | | | | |
| Donor commitments | | • | | • |
| O Less than 50%; Between 50% and 75%; Over 75%. | | | | |

COST RECOVERY STRATEGIES


| | | | | Rural drinking- | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | Urban sanitation | Rural sanitation | Urban drinking-water | water | | | |
| Operations and basic maintenance covered by tariffs | | | | | | | |
| Cost recovery addressed in financing plan/budget | ✓ | × | | | | | |
| Operations and maintenance covered by tariffs: ○ Less than 50%; | | | | | | | |


SUFFICIENCY OF FINANCIAL RESOURCES

| Implementation of national plan | Urban sanitation | Rural sanitation | Urban drinking- water | Rural drinking- water | Hygiene | WASH in health care facilities | WASH in schools |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---|-----------------|
| Achievement of national targets | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 |
| Estimated annual need to reach national targets (US\$ millions) Quantitative data on specific financial needs by subsector are not available | | | | | | | |
| Identified available finance from all sources (US\$ millions) | 32.80 | 9.18 | 102.99 | 26.24 | | | 13.12 |
| O Less than 50% of what is needed; O Between 50% and 75% of what is needed; Over 75% of what is needed. | | | | | | | |

WASH BUDGETS AND EXPENDITURES

| | 2018 |
|---|--------|
| Government WASH budget (US\$ millions, current US\$) | 381.77 |
| Government WASH budget per capita (current US\$) | 18.22 |
| Government WASH budget as a percentage of GDP | 0.44% |
| | 2018 |
| National WASH expenditure (US\$ millions, current US\$) | 694.67 |
| National WASH expenditure per capita (current US\$) | 33.16 |
| National WASH expenditure as a percentage of GDP | 0.80% |





V. What actions are being taken to leave no one behind?

HUMAN RIGHTS

| | Sanitation | Water |
|---|------------|-------|
| Does the constitution recognize the human rights to water and sanitation? | X | × |
| Do laws recognize the human rights to water and sanitation? | × | × |
| Has a court recognized the human rights to water and sanitation? | X | ✓ |
| ✓ Yes; X No. | | _ |

REACHING VULNERABLE GROUPS

| | | | Sanitation | | | | 0 | rinking-wat | er | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | Financing | | | | | Financial |
| | Measures | | | | plan | Measures | | | | plan |
| | to extend | | | | includes | to extend | | | | includes |
| | services to | Level of | Specific | | measures to | | Level of | Specific | | measures to target |
| | group included in | implementa | coverage | Drogress for | target resources to | group | implementa | | Progress for | resources |
| | policies or | tion of | targets for | group is | reduce | policies or | tion of | targets for | group is | to reduce |
| | plans | measures | group | monitored | inequities | plans | measures | group | monitored | inequities |
| Poor populations | ~ | • | ~ | ~ | | ~ | • | ✓ | ~ | |
| Populations living in slums or informal settlements | ~ | • | ~ | ~ | • | ~ | • | ~ | ✓ | • |
| Populations living in remote or hard-to-reach areas | ~ | • | ✓ | × | • | ✓ | • | ~ | × | • |
| Indigenous populations | √ | • | V | ✓ | | ~ | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Internally displaced persons and/or refugees | ✓ | • | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Women | ✓ | • | ✓ | ✓ | | ~ | | ~ | ✓ | |
| Ethnic minorities | ✓ | • | ✓ | ✓ | NA | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | NA |
| People living with disabilities | ✓ | 1 | ✓ | ✓ | | ~ | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Populations with a high burden of disease | ✓ | 1 | ✓ | ✓ | | | • | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Other: displaced people due to hydro- | | | | | | | | | | |
| meteorological disasters | | | | ~ | | | | | ~ | |
| Measures included in plans, Specific coverage targets | , and Progress | is monitored | : 🗸 Yes; 🗙 | No; NA = No | t applicable. | | | | | |
| Level of implementation: O Not yet implemented; (| Partially impl | emented; | Fully impler | nented. | | | | | | |
| Measures in financing plan to reduce inequities: O | lo; ① Yes, but | not consister | ntly applied; | Yes and m | neasures appli | ed. | | | | |

AFFORDABILITY

| | | | Urban | Rural | |
|--|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | Urban | Rural | drinking- | drinking- | |
| | sanitation | sanitation | water | water | |
| Financial schemes to make access to WASH more affordable for vulnerable groups | • | • | • | • | |
| O No schemes exist; O Schemes exist, but not widely used; O Schemes exist and are widely used. | | | | | |

SDG means of implementation target 6.b aims to support and strengthen the participation of local communities in improving water and sanitation management. WHO, in collaboration with partners, monitors this target through the GLAAS initiative, looking at existence of participation procedures, participation of women and availability of resources for participation.

PARTICIPATION PROCEDURES ESTABLISHED AT NATIONAL LEVE

| | Participation procedures defined in laws or policy | Extent of participation by the service users | Women's participation specified | Extent of women's participation | | |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Urban sanitation | ✓ | • | ✓ | • | | |
| Rural sanitation | ✓ | | V | • | | |
| Urban drinking-water | ✓ | • | ✓ | 1 | | |
| Rural drinking-water | ✓ | | ✓ | • | | |
| Hygiene promotion | ✓ | • | V | 1 | | |
| Water resources management | × | • | × | 1 | | |
| Participation procedures in law/policy and Women's participation specified: ✓ Yes; X No. Extent of participation: ○ Very low; ○ Low; ○ Medium; ○ High; ○ Very high. | | | | | | |

GOVERNMENT RESOURCES FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

| | Financial resources | Human resources | Agency responsible for monitoring extent and effectiveness of participation |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---|
| Urban WASH | • | | V |
| Rural WASH | | • | ~ |
| Water resources management | • | • | ~ |
| Financial and human res | ources: O I | ess than 50% | of what |

Financial and human resources: O Less than 50% of what

is needed; $oldsymbol{0}$ Between 50% and 75% of what is needed;

Over 75% of what is needed.Responsible agency: ✓ Yes; X No.

VI. To what extent have countries pursued the ambition of SDG 6?

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) establish global aspirational targets leading up to 2030. Goal 6 aims to ensure the availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all. Governments are expected to set their own national targets guided by the global level of ambition while taking into account national circumstances. This section presents findings on the extent to which countries are pursuing the ambition of SDG 6 by incorporating elements of safely managed services and other SDG themes into national WASH policies, plans and coverage targets. In addition, WHO is a co-custodian of SDG 6 means of implementation targets 6.a and 6.b through the GLAAS initiative.

NATIONAL POLICIES AND PLANS: INCORPORATING SDG 6 ELEMENTS

| | Urban | Rural | | Urban drinking- | Rural drinking- |
|---|------------|------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| SANITATION | sanitation | sanitation | DRINKING-WATER | water | water |
| SANITATION | Samuation | Samuation | DRINKING-WATER | water | Water |
| Access to safely managed services | ✓ | ✓ | Access to safely managed services | ~ | ✓ |
| Access to improved sources or facilities | ✓ | ✓ | Access to improved sources or facilities | ✓ | V |
| Climate resilience of WASH technology | ✓ | ✓ | Climate resilience of WASH technology | × | × |
| Open defecation | ✓ | ✓ | Affordability measures | V | ✓ |
| Reuse of municipal wastewater and faecal sludge | ✓ | × | Household connections | V | ✓ |
| Municipal wastewater and sewerage | ✓ | ✓ | HYGIENE | | |
| Faecal sludge collection and transport | ✓ | ✓ | Promotion of handwashing with soap | ٧ | / |
| Faecal sludge treatment | ✓ | ✓ | Safe menstrual hygiene management | ٧ | / |
| ✓ Yes; X No. | - | - | • | - | |

NATIONAL COVERAGE TARGETS: INCORPORATING SDG 6 ELEMENTS IN NATIONAL COVERAGE CRITERIA

| SANITATION | Urban sanitation | Rural sanitation | DRINKING-WATER | Urban drinking- water | Rural drinking- water | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Excreta is transported via a sewerage network and treated off-site | • | • | Minimum volume of water per day | • | | | |
| Excreta is temporarily stored, emptied, and treated offsite | • | • | Minimum service hours per day | • | | | |
| Excreta is treated and disposed of on-site | • | • | Maximum roundtrip collection time, including queuing | • | | | |
| Improved sanitation facilities | • | • | Maximum roundtrip distance | • | | | |
| Shared sanitation facilities between two or more households | • | • | Drinking-water is accessible on premises | • | | | |
| | | | Drinking-water is free from contamination | • | | | |
| Target year | 2030 | 2030 | Target year | 2025 | 2030 | | |
| Target value | 100% | 100% | Target value | 95% | 100% | | |
| HYGIENE | | | | | | | |
| Handwashing facility on premises | | | | | | | |
| Soap and water on premises | | | | | | | |
| O Not included in target; O Included in target, but monitoring data not available; Included in target and monitoring data available. | | | | | | | |

TARGET-SETTING PROCESSES: ARE THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS INCLUDED?

| | Sanitation | Drinking- water | Hygiene | WASH in health care facilities |
|--|------------|--------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|
| Inclusion of stakeholders | V | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Baseline analysis | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Financial analysis | ✓ | √ | × | ✓ |
| Human resources needs assessment | ✓ | ~ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Public consultation | ✓ | ✓ | × | × |
| Revisions based on public consultation | ✓ | ✓ | × | × |
| Political endorsement | ~ | ~ | × | × |
| Public sharing of final targets | ✓ | ✓ | × | × |
| ✓ Yes; X No. | | | | |

SDG means of implementation target 6.a aims to expand international cooperation and capacity-building support for water- and sanitation-related activities and programmes. WHO monitors international cooperation between donor and recipient governments for this target.

