

## Appendix 2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

| CATEGORY  | MAX. POINTS | MIN. PASS POINTS |
|---|-------------|------------------|
| <b>1. Organizational Capacity</b>   | <b>10</b>   |                  |
| 1.1. Institutional profile indicating relevant experience related to roadmap development, health logistics, logistics information systems, supply chain management, digital health, or similar technical or policy-related work with government institutions in Indonesia. A list of relevant previous assignments should be provided. Previous experience working with UN agency or other international organizations is an advantage. | 8           |                  |
| 1.2. Clarity of the institution's rationale for undertaking this activity and alignment of its background with the objectives of the SATUSEHAT Logistics Roadmap development.   | 2           |                  |
| <b>2. Quality of the Technical Proposal</b>   | <b>50</b>   |                  |
| <b>The proposal should address and reflect the quality of following item:</b>   |             |                  |
| 2.1. Demonstrated understanding of the objectives, scope, and expected outputs of the activity, including the purpose of developing the SATUSEHAT Logistics Roadmap and its role in supporting national health digital transformation priorities  | 12          |                  |
| 2.2. Proposed approach and methodology for implementing the activity across all components, including preparatory coordination, situation analysis, stakeholder consultation, benchmarking, roadmap development, finalization, and dissemination.   | 14          |                  |
| 2.3 Proposed approach for engaging relevant stakeholders, including government units and other key actors, through meetings, FGDs, and workshops, and for ensuring meaningful inputs into the roadmap development process.  | 12          |                  |
| 2.4. Gantt chart describing the implementation timeline, including alignment with the indicative sequencing outlined in this ToR, key task and specific allocation of human resources for each phase. Consider the feasibility and practicality of the proposed timeline and activities   | 7           |                  |
| 2.5. Anticipated project risks and mitigation measures and quality assurance  | 5           |                  |
| <b>3. Resources and Key Personnel</b>   | <b>10</b>   |                  |
| 3.1 Full CVs of proposed team members demonstrating relevant education and experience, with a clear team structure and assignment of roles and responsibilities across the proposed timeline as reflected in the Gantt chart. (#2.4). The proposed team composition should reflect both academic and practical implementation experience in health logistics or supply chain management.  | 6           |                  |
| 3.2 Description of institutional resources available to support the activity, including internal technical support, administrative capacity, and relevant tools or systems.   | 4           |                  |
| <b>TECHNICAL PROPOSAL</b>   | <b>70</b>   | <b>50</b>        |
| <b>FINANCIAL PROPOSAL</b>   | <b>30</b>   |                  |
| <b>TOTAL MARKS</b>  | <b>100</b>  |                  |