

**Pre-Bid Meeting Minutes**

Wednesday, 20 May 2026, at 01.00 PM Jakarta time

**RFP 022-2026: Strengthening Health Statistical Metadata for Priority Program Areas 2026 to Support One Data Indonesia (Satu Data Indonesia, SDI).**

Location: via Teams (the meeting is delivered in Bahasa Indonesia)

Attendees: enclosed

Procurement Unit  
Technical Unit – NPO HSS  
Programme Assistant Team HHS  
Prospective Vendors

The purpose of the meeting was to support the Strengthening Health Statistical Metadata for Priority Program Areas 2026 to Support One Data Indonesia (Satu Data Indonesia, SDI)

The questions and answers during the meeting are as follows:

No	Question	Clarification
1	Regarding the consolidation and harmonization activities in metadata development, approximately how extensive is the scope expected or required at this stage?	The scope is to review which indicators are no longer used in programs in accordance with the RPJMN (National Medium-Term Development Plan), Renstra (Strategic Plan), or other Ministry regulations/priority, and update them with new indicators. However, the current focus is on existing programs, while consolidation activities are considered a priority or serve as the second layer. The Centre of Data and Information Technology (Pusdatin), MoH will also assess the relevance and necessity of indicators that were included in previous programs.
2	<p>a. Is the scope of work entirely new, or is it a continuation of an existing project implemented by WHO or another organization?</p> <p>b. If continuing from a previous existing project, what is the difference in the scope of work from the previous project?</p>	<p>a. This is not a standalone activity, but rather an initiative undertaken by the Centre of Data and Information Center (Pusdatin) since 2002. It is a major undertaking involving numerous methodologies and program areas, which has led to various inconsistencies during implementation. In 2002, it was still at the initiation stage. Last year, the focus shifted more toward regulations and other program areas. Currently, the emphasis is on strengthening the platform itself, aligning it with the new RPJMN (National Medium-Term Development Plan), and covering program areas that are being priorities for this period.</p> <p>b. If you would like to review the indicators already included in the platform’s metadata, you may refer to the website link <a href="#">SATUSEHAT Metadata</a>. From there, you can identify which areas need to be strengthened and which indicators are no</p>

		longer up to date. These points can be addressed in the proposal. The proposal may also include an approach for identifying metadata indicators that are no longer relevant or necessary.
3	<p>a. Will the data sources to be consolidated or reviewed under this work come only from the Ministry of Health, or will they also include data from other ministries?</p> <p>b. Regarding the working platform, will the project use the Ministry of Health's platform, WHO's platform, or is the supplier expected to provide its own platform?</p> <p>c. Will the work contract be at cost or lump sum?</p>	<p>a. The focus will be on the metadata rather than the data itself. Therefore, the review will primarily cover the indicators or variables within the Ministry of Health.</p> <p>b. Regarding the platform to be used, since the Ministry of Health already has an existing website, the work will be carried out in collaboration with Pusdatin using their platform.</p> <p>c. The contract arrangement will be based on actual costs incurred (reimbursable/real cost basis).</p>
4	Will the harmonization technique use the FHIR concept? Since this concept is currently used by the Ministry of Health, kindly provide further clarification.	It is not mandatory to use the FHIR concept. Instead, bidders are expected to propose their own technical approach for conducting the harmonization process. There may also be a jointly agreed document or framework that will be reviewed and assessed in the proposal that has been submitted.
5	<p>a. Regarding the metadata outputs, if a completely new repository format is later required, could the structure itself become one of the expected outputs?</p> <p>b. Regarding metadata administration, the scope is quite broad and forms part of the effort under this project. Therefore, it will largely depend on the methodology proposed by the bidder in their proposal.</p>	<p>a. Yes, that is correct. We expect the platform and repository to be improved by enhancing the existing system. As the platform evolves, the metadata may also need to be adjusted in line with the Ministry of Health's priorities and regulations, such as the RPJMN (National Medium-Term Development Plan) and other relevant regulations. This is the main objective of strengthening and improving the current platform.</p> <p>b. Yes, that's right, because as previously stated, the problem of harmonization between programs in the Ministry is also a challenge in itself.</p>
6	<p>a. Since the scope is quite extensive and covers four priority areas, during the coordination process, will the selected consultant receive support, or will they be expected to coordinate independently with the relevant units within the Ministry of Health?</p> <p>b. The tender document requires bidders to attach at least two previous work contracts. Could you please clarify what is meant by this requirement?</p>	<p>a. The selected consultant will assist in coordinating with Pusdatin and collaborating with other program units. The proposal may also include recommendations, from the consultant's perspective, on which Ministry of Health units should be consulted or engaged in further discussions.</p> <p>b. Bidders may provide a list of previous work contracts relevant to the current scope of services by completing the table on page 26 of the tender document and attaching evidence of satisfactory performance from previous clients.</p>